

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, the author wanted to express his gratitude to God who had granted the author blessings in finishing this thesis report entitled “UTILIZATION OF *TORBANGUN (PLECTRANTHUS AMBOINICIUS L. SPRENG.)* AND *KATUK (SAUROPLUS ANDROGYNUS L. MERR.)* LEAVES FILTRATES AS GREEN GRASS JELLY”. This thesis report was written to fulfill one of the requirements to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Teknologi Pertanian Strata Satu* Universitas Pelita Harapan, Tangerang, Banten.

In preparing and arranging this final project report, the author received support from many parties. Therefore, the author would like to express gratitude as much as possible to:

1. Intan Cidarbulan Matita, Ph.D. as thesis supervisors who have guided, supported, and supervised author from the preparation of the proposal to the thesis report.
2. Eric Jobiliong, Ph.D. as Dean of the Faculty of Science and Technology.
3. Dela Rosa, M.M., M.Sc., Apt. as Vice Dean of the Faculty of Science and Technology.
4. Laurence, MT. as the Director of Science and Technology.
5. Ir. W. Donald R. Pokatong, M.Sc., Ph.D. as Head of the Food Technology Study Program.
6. Dr. Adolf J. Parhusip, Dr. Tagor M. Siregar, Natania, M. Eng, and Yuniwaty Halim, M.Sc. as the Head of the Microbiology, Chemistry, Food Processing, Quality Control, and Research laboratories that have provided opportunities for writers to conduct research in the laboratory.
7. Mr. Adi, Mr. Darius, Mr. Aji, and Christopher Imasantoso Rimba, S.TP who have helped the author during research in the laboratory.
8. All lecturers, assistant lecturers, and staff of Food Technology Study Program of Universitas Pelita Harapan who have helped which was very useful during the research.

9. Beloved father (Tahir Gusti), beloved mother (Sanggri), beloved brothers (Edward and Stephen) for the love, patient, guidance and the support for author.
10. Brigitta Bella, Celine Tanuwijaya, Kezia Olivia, Smita Maitri, and Veronica Angelia Djuarsa as friends under same supervisor for the support and companion throughout thesis completion.
11. Aliyya Asra, Cindy Said, Danielle Linggar, Devinna Nathalia, Ruth Julianna Flynn and Valentina Michelle for the endless care and supports.
12. Nathalia Gabriella Isabelle, Nico Septian, Dewi Wangsa, and Graciella Rachel Amanda Howan for cheering and supporting the author throughout thesis process.
13. All friends of Food Technology batch 2015 Universitas Pelita Harapan that cannot be mentioned one by one who had supported and shared their knowledges and experiences.
14. All relatives and friends that cannot be mentioned one by one.

The writer realizes that this thesis report is far from perfect and still require much improvement. Therefore, any suggestion and critics to improve this report are gladly welcomed. In the end, may this report be useful and informative for the readers.

Tangerang, January 2019

Author

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
COVER	
STATEMENT OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY	
APPROVAL BY THESIS SUPERVISOR	
APPROVAL BY THESIS EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	
ABSTRACT.....	v
<i>ABSTRAK</i>	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xvi
 CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	2
1.3 Objectives.....	3
1.3.1 General Objectives.....	3
1.3.2 Specific Objective.....	3
 CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 <i>Torbangun</i>	5
2.2 <i>Katuk</i>	7
2.3 Green Grass Jelly.....	9
2.3.1 Green Grass Jelly Ingredients.....	10
2.3.1.1 Green Grass Jelly Leaves.....	10

2.3.1.2 Water	13
2.5 Antioxidant Activity	13
2.5.1 Total Phenolic Content	16
2.5.2 Total Flavonoid Content	17

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Materials and Equipments	18
3.2 Research Methodology	18
3.2.1 Preliminary Research	18
3.2.1.1 Nutritional Content Analysis of <i>Torbangun</i> , <i>Katuk</i> , and <i>Cincau</i> Leaves	18
3.2.1.2 Filtration of <i>Torbangun</i> Leaves	19
3.2.1.3 Filtration of <i>Katuk</i> Leaves	20
3.2.1.4 Filtration of <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> Leaves	21
3.2.1.5 Production of Green Grass Jelly	22
3.2.2 Main Research	23
3.2.2.1 Product Comparison	25
3.3 Experimental Design	25
3.3.1 Preliminary Research	25
3.3.2 Main Research	26
3.4 Analysis Procedure	28
3.4.1 Physico-chemical Analysis	28
3.4.1.1 Syneresis	28
3.4.1.2 Texture	28
3.4.1.3 Antioxidant activity	29
3.4.1.4 Total Phenolic Content	29
3.4.1.5 Total Flavonoid Content	30
3.4.1.6 Color	30
3.4.1.7 pH	31
3.4.1.8 Toxicity	31
3.4.2 Proximate Analysis	32


3.4.2.1 Moisture Content.....	32
3.4.2.2 Protein	32
3.4.2.3 Fat.....	33
3.4.2.4 Ash Content.....	33
3.4.2.6 Mineral	34
3.4.2.6.1 Calcium	34
3.4.2.6.2 Magnesium.....	35
3.4.2.6.3 Potassium	35
3.4.2.6.4 Iron	36
3.4.2 Sensory Evaluation.....	36
3.4.2.1 Hedonic test.....	36
3.4.2.2 Scoring test.....	37
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Taxonomical Verification of <i>Torbangun</i> , <i>Katuk</i> , and <i>Cincau</i> Leaves.....	38
4.2 Preliminary Stage.....	38
4.2.1 Moisture Content and Ash Content of Raw Materials.....	39
4.2.2 Mineral Content of Raw Materials.....	41
4.2.3 Protein Content of <i>Katuk</i> Leaves	43
4.2.4 Antioxidant Activity of Raw Materials.....	44
4.2.5 Total Phenolic Content of Raw Materials.....	46
4.2.6 Total Flavonoid Content of Raw Materials.....	47
4.2.7 Toxicity of <i>Torbangun</i> Leaves.....	49
4.2.8 Moisture Content of Filtrates	50
4.2.9 Antioxidant Activity of Filtrates	51
4.2.10 Total Phenolic Content of Filtrates	52
4.2.11 Flavonoid Content of Filtrates	52
4.3. Main Research.....	53
4.3.1 Syneresis	54
4.3.2 Antioxidant Activity.....	55

4.3.3 Total Phenolic Content.....	57
4.3.4 Total Flavonoid Content	58
4.3.5 Hedonic Test	60
4.3.5.1 Hedonic Test Analysis of Color.....	60
4.3.5.2 Hedonic Value of Aroma	62
4.3.5.3 Hedonic Value of Taste.....	64
4.3.5.4 Hedonic Value of Texture.....	65
4.3.5.5 Hedonic Value of Overall Likeness	66
4.3.6 Scoring Test	68
4.3.6.1 Scoring Value of Aroma	68
4.3.6.2 Scoring Value of Taste.....	69
4.3.6.3 Scoring Value of Texture.....	70
4.3.7 Determination of Best Sample	72
4.3.8 Mineral Content Analysis of Best Sample.....	73
4.3.9 Comparison of Best Sample to Control	73
4.3.10 Comparison of Nutritional Content of Best Sample to Commercial Product	76
 CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	
5.1 Conclusions.....	79
5.2 Suggestions	80
 BIBLIOGRAPHY	 81
APPENDICES	88

LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 2.1 <i>Torbangun</i> plant.....	5
Figure 2.2 <i>Katuk</i> plant.....	8
Figure 2.3 Green grass jelly	10
Figure 2.4 <i>Cycle barbata L. Miers</i> plant	12
Figure 2.6 Common Structure of Flavonoid	17
Figure 3.1 <i>Torbangun</i> leaves filtration process.....	19
Figure 3.2 <i>Katuk</i> leaves filtration process.....	20
Figure 3.3 <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> leaves filtration process	21
Figure 3.4 Green grass jelly production process	22
Figure 3.5 Green grass jelly production process added with <i>Torbangun/Katuk</i> filtrates.....	24
Figure 4.1 Syneresis of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types.....	54
Figure 4.2 Antioxidant activity of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types	56
Figure 4.3 Total phenolic content of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types ...	58
Figure 4.4 Total flavonoid content of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types	59
Figure 4.5 Hedonic value of aroma based on interaction of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types.....	62
Figure 4.6 Hedonic value of aroma based on interaction of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types	63
Figure 4.7 Hedonic value of taste based on interaction of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types	64
Figure 4.8 Hedonic value of texture based on interaction of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types	66

Figure 4.9 Hedonic value of overall likeness based on interaction of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types.....	67
Figure 4.10 Scoring value of aroma based on interaction of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types.....	68
Figure 4.11 Scoring value of taste based on interaction of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types.....	70
Figure 4.12 Scoring value of texture based on interaction of green grass jelly added with <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> filtrate with different ratio and filtrate types.....	71



LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 2.1 Nutritional value of <i>Torbangun</i> leaves per 100 gram	7
Table 2.2 Nutritional value of <i>Katuk</i> leaf per 100 gram	9
Table 2.3 Nutritional value of <i>Cyclea barbata L.Miers</i> per 100 gram	13
Table 2.4 Antioxidant activity characteristics.....	16
Table 3.1 Formulation of green grass jelly with the addition of <i>Torbangun</i> and <i>Katuk</i> leaves filtrate based on 80 ml of drink	24
Table 3.2 Experimental design.....	26
Table 3.3 Hedonic test scale.....	37
Table 3.4 Scoring test scale.....	37
Table 4.1 Moisture content analysis of raw materials and from theory.....	39
Table 4.2 Ash content analysis of raw materials and from theory.....	40
Table 4.3 Mineral content analysis of <i>Torbangun</i> leaves	41
Table 4.4 Mineral content analysis of <i>Katuk</i> leaves	42
Table 4.5 Mineral content analysis of <i>Cincau</i> leaves	43
Table 4.6 Antioxidant activity (IC ₅₀) analysis of raw materials and from theory.....	44
Table 4.7 Total phenolic content analysis of raw materials and from theory	46
Table 4.8 Total flavonoid content analysis of raw materials and from theory	47
Table 4.9 Clarkson's toxicity index.	49
Table 4.10 Moisture content of filtrates	50
Table 4.11 Antioxidant activity of filtrates	51
Table 4.12 Total phenolic content of filtrates	52
Table 4.13 Total flavonoid content of filtrates.....	53
Table 4.14 Mineral content analysis of best sample	73
Table 4.15 Physicochemical comparison analysis of best sample and control.....	74
Table 4.16 Proximate analysis comparison of best sample and commercial product	76

