ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All the glory and praise to the Lord for all blessings He had given so that author is capable to finish this thesis well and on time.

This thesis with the title of "The Influence of Corporate Governance Practices on The Audit Report Lag: Evidence in Indonesia" was written as one of academic requirements to achieve a Bachelor of Economic degree in Accounting Department in Universitas Pelita Harapan.

Researcher realizes that without advices, helps, supports, and prayers, from various parties, this thesis may not be finished on time. It is a pleasure for the author to thank people who have assisted her in writing this thesis as follows:

- Dr. Kim Sung Suk as the Dean of Business School, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
- Dr. Antonius Herusetya, N., Ak., MM, as the Head of Accounting Department and the thesis advisor, who provides time, guidance, support, and much courage for the author especially during the thesis preparation.
- 3) Mr. Sahat S. Simanjuntak as the academic advisor, who provides advice and support for research during her study in Universitas Pelita Harapan.
- 4) Drs. Partogian Sormin, Ak., MM, CPMA and Ms. Lina S.E., M.Si., Ak. as the thesis examiner, who has give guidance and courage during the thesis defense session.
- 5) All lecturers in Universitas Pelita Harapan who have taught the author during her learning process and made author who she is today, especially

- Mr. Satria Militant Sembiring, and Mr. Tanggor Sihombing, who have taught the authors often and share much knowledge and inspiring stories.
- All staffs of Business School and other department in Universitas Pelita

 Harapan who have helped in administrative activities.
- My sincere thanks to Dad and Mom, who had brought me to this world and raise me with love, joy, and patience, furthermore give both moral and material support for whole time of my life.
- 8) My lovely thanks to my siblings, Hansen Viriya and Melisa Viriya, and other big families who support me during this thesis preparation that cannot be mentioned one by one.
- All friends in Accounting class A batch 2009 for the friendship that shared throughout these years and for always being there for each other, especially Angelina Permana, Nadia Febianita, Prisca Laksono, Queeny Tan, Silvia Tjong, Sylvia Kurniawan, Amelia Susanto, Clarissa Louis, Gracia Anastasia, Monica Meidiana, Erika Natasya, Juliet Khoo, and Kartika Pribadi.
- All friends in Accounting class B and C batch 2009, especially for those who share togetherness during thesis preparation, Angelina Windy, Christabel Patricia, Devina Martina, Franciscia, Mega Nadhira, Reisha Pujilestari, Helvi Suriyadi, and Nita Azalia.
- 11) All UPH friends from different batch and major, Marsheilla, Devianita Irman, Kanfido Sindaran, Shianny Hudiono, Tara Rahardja, Jesse Trianto, Raisa Lim, Evelyn Lie, and Teddy Iskandar.

12) All other friends of researcher that always give support.

13) Other parties that have been helping the researcher for all this time that

cannot be mentioned one by one.

Lastly, researcher realizes that this thesis is far from perfection. For that

reason, researcher welcomes any supporting critiques and suggestions from

readers for benefit of all. We hope this thesis may give meaningful information

for the readers.

Karawaci, November 28 2012

Researcher

ix

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
TITLE PAGE	
STATEMENT OF THESIS ORIGINALITY	
THESIS SUPERVISOR APPROVAL	
THESIS EXAMINING LECTURERS APPROVAL	
ABSTRACT	vi
ACKNOLEDGEMENTS	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	X
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	XV
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Formulation	4
1.3 Purpose of Research	5
1.4 Advantage of Research	5
1.5 Problem Restriction	6
1.6 Writing Scheme	6
CHAPTER II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT	
2.1 Corporate Governance	9
2.1.1 Agency Theory	9
2 1 1 1 Agency Conflict	10

2.1.1.2 Agency Cost	11
2.1.2 Definition and Characteristic of Corporate Governance	12
2.1.3 Components of Corporate Governance	14
2.1.4 Board of Commissioner	16
2.1.5 Board of Director	17
2.1.6 Audit Committee	18
2.1.7 Ownership Concentration	19
2.1.8 Institutional Ownership	20
2.1.9 Corporate Governance Perception Index	21
2.2 Audit Report Lag	22
2.3 Hypothesis Development	23
2.3.1 Influence of Corporate Governance Practice to Audit	
Report Lag	23
CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHOD	
3.1 Populations, Sample, and Data Source	25
3.2 Empirical Research Model	26
3.2.1 Testing Model of Influence of Corporate Governance	
Practice to Audit Report Lag	26
3.3 Operazionalization of Research Variables	29
3.3.1 Corporate Governance Perception Index	29
3.3.2 Audit Report Lag	30
3.4 Control Variable	31
3.5 Testing Empirical Model	33
3.6 Sensitivity Analysis	34
3.6.1 Sensitivity Test of Audit Report Lag	34

CHAPTER IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION 4.1 Description of Sample Observation 38 4.2 Descriptive Statistic and Correlation 39 4.2.1 Descriptive Statistic 4.2.2 Correlation 42 4.3 Classic Assumption Test 43 44 4.3.1 Test of Normality 4.3.2 Test of Multicollinearity 44 4.3.3 Test of Heteroscedasticity 45 4.4 Hypothesis Result 46 4.5 Sensitivity Test and Robustness 48 CHAPTER V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION 5.1 Conclusion 51 5.2 Implication 52 5.3 Limitation 52 5.4 Recommendation 53 REFERENCE 54 **APPENDICES**

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Hypothesis Development	23
------------	------------------------	----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1	Description of Sample Choice	38
Table 4.2	Industry Classification of Sample Choice	39
Table 4.3	Descriptive Statistic	39
Table 4.4	Pearson Correlation	42
Table 4.5	Hypothesis Result: Influence of Corporate Governance	
	Practice to Audit Report Lag	46
Table 4.6	Hypothesis Result: Sensitivity Analysis	48

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. MODEL 1	
Appendix 1 Sample List	A - 1
Appendix 2 Correlation	A - 4
Appendix 3 Descriptive Statistic	A - 5
Appendix 4 Classic Assumption Test	A - 6
Appendix 5 Test of Normality	A - 7
Appendix 6 Test of Multicollinearity	A - 8
Appendix 7 Test of Heteroscedasticity	A - 9
APPENDIX B. MODEL 2	
Appendix 1 Sample List	B - 1
Appendix 2 Correlation	B - 5
Appendix 3 Descriptive Statistic	B - 6
Appendix 4 Classic Assumption Test	B - 7
Appendix 5 Test of Normality	B - 8
Appendix 6 Test of Multicollinearity	B - 9
Appendix 7 Test of Heteroscedasticity	B - 10