

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Praise and gratitude to Almighty God for all the blessings that have been given by Him. Without His guidance, the author will not be able to complete this final paper.

This final paper with entitled “THE IMPACT OF SIZE/REPUTATION OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRMS TOWARDS EARNINGS INFORMATIVENESS: IS BIG 4 DIFFERENT FROM MID TIER?” is aimed to fulfill final requirement to attain the Degree of Bachelor in Economic in Pelita Harapan University. Besides, this final paper facilitates the process of learning and expanding knowledge horizon.

The author realizes without any guidance, support, encouragement and prayer from various people, this final paper cannot be completed. Therefore, the author would like to thank profusely to all those who have assisted in the process of completing this Final paper, namely to:

- 1) Dr. Kim Sung Suk, as the Dean of Business School of Pelita Harapan University.
- 2) Dr. Drs. Antonius Herusetya, Ak. MM as the Head of Accounting Department of Pelita Harapan University and thesis supervisor, for his guidance, suggestions and assistance during the writing of this final paper.

- 3) Ferdinand Butarbutar, S.E., M.B.A. as the academic advisor of the author.
- 4) All lectures who have taught and shared their knowledge to author during the study period in Pelita Harapan University.
- 5) Business School staffs for helping Author in all administrative procedures.
- 6) My beloved father and mother for their supports, prayer and motivation in many aspects during the writing process.
- 7) My beloved brother, Darren Christian, for his support and prayer.
- 8) All of my friends who are also doing this thesis, Aprilia Tasmin, Ayu Lestari, Diana Ginadevi, Ferdina Mulyono, Gracia Anastasia, Jessica Callista, Jossia Virgianto, and Stevanie Rusli.
- 9) My friends with the same thesis supervisor, Amelia, Angelina, Christabel, Devina, Evelyn, Francis, Monica, Nadhira, Reisha, and Windy, for their help, support, and guidance in the making of this thesis.
- 10) My close friends, Raymond Tan, Christine Dewi, Christabelle Nanetta, Vanessa Wiredja, Elissa Gunawan, Eric Ong, Yoerico Agusta, Kezia Mareshah, Herliani Sunarya, and Angwen Budiman, who always supportive and helped the author during difficult times.

- 11) All of my friends who have taught and shared their knowledge to author during the study period in Pelita Harapan University.
- 12) Countless parties who have helped me enormously but cannot be mention one by one.

Finally, the author realizes that there is still many deficiencies in writing this final paper and the result is far from perfect. Therefore, criticism and suggestions will be very useful for the author to improve this final paper into a better one. Hopefully this Final paper may be beneficial to those who read it.

Tangerang, December 7<sup>th</sup> 2012

(Clarissa Kristina)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	
<b>STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY OF THESIS</b>	
<b>THESIS SUPERVISOR APPROVAL</b>	
<b>THESIS EXAMINING LECTURERS APPROVAL</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	vii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b> .....	x
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xiii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xiv
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....	xv
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Problem Formulation .....	3
1.3 Purpose of the Research .....	3
1.4 Contribution of the Research .....	4
1.5 Problem Limitation .....	5
1.6 Writing Scheme.....	5
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT</b>	
2.1 Auditing and the Profession of Public Accountant.....	6
2.2 The Role of Auditing in Agency Theory.....	8
2.3 Auditing and Signaling Theory.....	9
2.4 Audit Quality.....	9
2.5 The Size/Reputation of Public Accounting Firms .....	11
2.6 Earnings Quality .....	12
2.7 Earnings Informativeness .....	14

2.8 Hypothesis Development .....	16
----------------------------------	----

### **CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Population, Sample, and Data Sources .....	19
3.2 Empirical Research Model .....	20
3.3 Operational Variables .....	22
3.3.1 Earnings Response Coefficient (ERC) .....	22
3.3.2 Controlling Variables .....	24
3.4 Data Analysis Method .....	25
3.5 Additional Testing .....	26

### **CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS**

4.1 Research Sample Description .....	27
4.2 The Impact of Size/Reputation of Public Accounting Firms towards Earnings Response Coefficient .....	28
4.2.1 Descriptive Statistic and Correlation.....	28
4.2.2 Result of Hypothesis Testing Model 1a .....	31
4.2.3 Result of Hypothesis Testing Model 1a – After Treatment of White Heteroscedasticity .....	32
4.3 The Difference in Earnings Response Coefficient between Companies Audited by Big 4 and Mid Tier .....	34
4.3.1 Descriptive Statistic and Correlation.....	34
4.3.2 Result of Hypothesis Testing Model 1b.....	36
4.3.3 Result of Hypothesis Testing Model 1b – After Treatment of White Heteroscedasticity .....	39
4.4 Summary of Testing Model 1a and 1b .....	41
4.5 Additional Testing: The Difference in Earnings Response Coefficient between Companies Audited by Mid Tier and Non Big 4 and Non Mid Tier .....	42

**CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

5.1 Conclusion .....43

    5.1.1 The Impact of Size/Reputation of Public Accounting Firms  
            towards Earnings Response Coefficient .....43

    5.1.2 The Difference in Earnings Response Coefficient between  
            Companies Audited by Big 4 and Mid Tier .....44

5.2 Implication .....44

    5.2.1 For the Researchers .....44

    5.2.2 For Auditee .....45

    5.2.3 For Audit Firms .....45

5.3 Limitation .....45

5.4 Recommendation .....46

**BIBLIOGRAPHY .....47**

**APPENDICES**

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 Conceptual Framework .....	16

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 4.1 Description of Sample Choice .....	27
Table 4.2 Industry Classification of Sample Choice .....	28
Table 4.3 Descriptive Statistics of Variables in Research Model 1a .....	29
Table 4.4 Correlation among Variables of the Research Model 1a .....	30
Table 4.5 The Results of Hypothesis Testing Model 1a .....	33
Table 4.6 Descriptive Statistics of Variables in Research Model 1b .....	35
Table 4.7 Correlation among Variables of the Research Model 1b .....	37
Table 4.8 The Results of Hypothesis Testing Model 1b .....	40
Table 4.9 Summary of Testing Model 1a and 1b .....	41

## LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix A Final Sample of the Research.....	A-1
Appendix B List of Public Accounting Firms .....	B-1
Appendix C Output Results of Model 1a.....	C-1
Appendix D Output Results of Model 1b .....	D-1
Appendix E Output Results of Additional Testing .....	E-1