

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Praise to the Lord Jesus Christ for His love, blessing, and wisdom so that this thesis can be completed on time.

The thesis entitled “The Influence of Corporate Governance Mechanisms and Capital Structure towards Financial Performance” is written with the purpose to fulfill one of the academic requirements in order to obtain the Bachelor of Economic degree in Accounting from Universitas Pelita Harapan.

Researcher is fully aware that without the guidance, helps, and prayers from all parties, this thesis would never have been completed on time. Therefore, researcher would like to give her biggest gratitude to all parties, specifically to the following people who have helped in the working process of this thesis:

- 1) Dr. Kim Sung Suk, as the Dean of UPH Business School.
- 2) Dr. Antonius Herusetya, N., Ak., MM., as the Head of Accounting Department.
- 3) Ms. Lina, SE., M.Si., Ak., as thesis supervisor for giving many guidance, suggestions, encouragement, and support throughout the writing of this thesis.
- 4) Mr. Ferdinand Butarbutar, SE., MBA as my academic advisor.

- 5) All lecturers in Universitas Pelita Harapan who have taught the author during her learning process and made author who she is today.
- 6) All staffs of Business School and other departments in Universitas Pelita Harapan who have helped in administrative activities.
- 7) My father, mother, sister, and brothers, and the entire family who have given endless support, prayers, and love to keep going throughout this period.
- 8) All friends from Accounting International Class batch 2009, who have studied and spent time together for 7 semesters, especially Angelina, Evelyn, Nadia, Prisca, Queeny, and Sylvia.
- 9) All other friends of the researcher who always give support for the researcher.
- 10) Other parties whose name cannot be mentioned one by one.

Researcher fully realizes that this thesis is far from perfection. For that reason, researcher welcome any constructive criticisms and suggestions for the benefit of all. Hopefully this thesis can give benefits to all of the readers.

Karawaci, December 07, 2012

Researcher

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	vii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	ix
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xiv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xv
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....	xvi
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Research Background .....	1
1.2 Problem Formulation .....	5
1.3 Purpose of the Research .....	5
1.4 Advantages of the Research .....	6
1.5 Problem Limitations .....	7
1.6 Writing Scheme .....	8
<b>CHAPTER II THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT</b>	
2.1 Basic Concept Definition .....	10
2.1.1 Corporate Governance .....	10
2.1.1.1 Agency Theory .....	11
2.1.1.2 Corporate Governance Mechanisms .....	13
2.1.1.2.1 Board Size .....	13
2.1.1.2.2 Board Composition .....	14
2.1.1.2.3 Audit Committee .....	15
2.1.1.2.4 Ownership Concentration .....	16
2.1.1.2.5 Institutional Ownership .....	17

2.1.2 Capital Structure .....	18
2.1.2.1 Equity Capital .....	18
2.1.2.2 Debt Capital .....	19
2.1.2.3 Optimal Capital Structure .....	20
2.1.3 Firm Performance .....	20
2.1.3.1 Profitability Ratio .....	21
2.1.3.2 Return on Equity (ROE) .....	21
2.2 Relevant Literature .....	22
2.2.1 Corporate Governance and Firm Performance .....	22
2.2.2 Board Size and Firm Performance .....	22
2.2.3 Board Composition and Firm Performance .....	22
2.2.4 Audit Committee and Firm Performance .....	23
2.2.5 Ownership Concentration and Firm Performance .....	23
2.2.6 Institutional Ownership and Firm Performance .....	24
2.2.7 Capital Structure and Firm Performance .....	24
2.3 Conceptual Framework .....	25
2.4 Hypotheses Development .....	26
2.4.1 The Influence of Corporate Governance towards Firm Performance .....	26
2.4.1.1 The Influence of Board Size towards Firm Performance .....	26
2.4.1.2 The Influence of Board of Commissioner Composition towards Firm Performance ....	27
2.4.1.3 The Influence of Audit Committee towards Firm Performance .....	28
2.4.1.4 The Influence of Ownership Concentration towards Firm Performance .....	28
2.4.1.5 The Influence of Institutional Ownership towards Firm Performance .....	29

2.4.1.6 The Influence of Capital Structure towards Firm Performance .....	30
---	----

**CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Population and Sample .....	32
3.2 Data Collection Method .....	33
3.3 Research Empirical Model .....	33
3.3.1 The Research Empirical Model of The Impact of Corporate Governance Mechanisms and Capital Structure towards Firm Performance .....	33
3.4 Operational Variable Definition .....	35
3.4.1 Dependent Variable .....	35
3.4.2 Independent Variables .....	35
3.4.2.1 Corporate Governance Mechanisms .....	35
3.4.2.2 Capital Structure .....	36
3.5 Data Analysis Method .....	36
3.5.1 Descriptive Statistics .....	37
3.5.2 Data Quality Test .....	37
3.5.2.1 Normality Test .....	37
3.5.2.2 Outlier Test .....	38
3.5.3 Classical Assumption Method .....	38
3.5.3.1 Multicollinearity Test .....	38
3.5.3.2 Heteroscedasticity Test .....	39
3.5.3.3 Autocorrelation Test .....	40
3.5.4 Hypothesis Test .....	40
3.5.4.1 Coefficient of Correlation (R) Test .....	41
3.5.4.2 Coefficient of Determination (Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> ) ..	41
3.5.4.3 F-Test .....	42
3.5.3.3 t-Test .....	42

## CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Descriptive of Sample Observation .....	44
4.2 Descriptive Statistics .....	45
4.3 Data Quality Test .....	47
4.3.1 Normality Test .....	47
4.3.2 Outlier Test .....	48
4.4 Classical Assumption Test .....	49
4.4.1 Multicollinearity Test .....	49
4.4.2 Heteroscedasticity Test .....	50
4.4.3 Autocorrelation Test .....	51
4.5 Hypothesis Test .....	52
4.5.1 Coefficient of Correlation (R) Test .....	52
4.5.2 Coefficient of Determination (Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> test) .....	52
4.5.3 <i>F</i> -Test .....	53
4.5.4 <i>t</i> -Test .....	53
4.6 Discussion .....	56
4.6.1 The Influence of Board of Commissioner Size towards Firm Performance .....	56
4.6.2 The Influence of Board of Commissioner Composition towards Firm Performance .....	57
4.6.3 The Influence of Audit Committee towards Firm Performance .....	57
4.6.4 The Influence of Ownership Concentration towards Firm Performance .....	58
4.6.5 The Influence of Institutional Ownership towards Firm Performance .....	58
4.6.6 The Influence of Short-Term Debt to Total Asset towards Firm Performance .....	58
4.6.7 The Influence of Long-term Debt to Total Asset towards Firm Performance .....	59

4.6.8 The Influence of Total-Debt to Equity to Total Asset  
towards Firm Performance ..... 59

**CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

5.1 Conclusions ..... 60  
5.2 Implications ..... 62  
5.3 Limitations ..... 62  
5.4 Recommendations ..... 63

**REFERENCES ..... 64**

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Conceptual Framework .....	25
---------------------------------------	----



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 Sample Choice .....	44
Table 4.2 Descriptive Statistics .....	45
Table 4.3 Result of One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test .....	47
Table 4.4 Multicollinearity Test Result .....	49
Table 4.5 Glejser Test Result .....	50
Table 4.6 Breusch-Godfrey Test Results .....	51
Table 4.7 R and Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> Test Results .....	52
Table 4.8 <i>F</i> -Test Results .....	53
Table 4.9 <i>t</i> -Test Results .....	54
Table 4.10 Hypothesis Acceptance .....	56

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix A List of Companies Taken as Sample .....	A-1
Appendix B Output SPSS Before Outlier Treatment .....	B-1
Appendix C Output SPSS After Outlier Treatment .....	D-1