

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

May all glory be to Jesus Christ, Lord Almighty for His blessings, guidance and love that made it possible for this thesis entitled — Impact of Good Corporate Governance towards Corporate Value with Enterprise Risk Management as the Moderating Variable to be completed well and in accordance with His guidance. This thesis consists of the combination of knowledge, efforts, and experiences that were obtained through the three and half years of studies in Universitas Pelita Harapan, and it is intended to attain the Bachelor Degree in Economics at the Business School of Universitas Pelita Harapan, Lippo Village, Tangerang, Banten.

The process of compiling this thesis will not be possible without the guidance, support, and prayers from the people around the writer. In this opportunity, writer would like to dedicate her gratitude and give the highest respect to those whose names will be written in the following:

1. Dra. Gracia Shinta S. Ugut, MBA., Ph.D., as the Dean of Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
2. Dra. Wijaya Triwacaningrum SE., M.A., Ak., CA., CMA., CSP, CSRA., as the Head of Accounting Study Program of the Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
3. Mrs. Herlina Lusmeida, S.E., M.M., Ak., CA as the supervisor of this thesis for her time, advice, guidance, patience, critics, input, and also for sharing her remarkable knowledge to help complete this thesis in a well and timely manner.

4. Mr. Ricky Aprilianto Mulyana, Mr. Danet Arya Patria, Mrs. Sandra Inawaty, Mr. Iwan Prasodjo, Mr. Clint Tetelepta, Mr. Irwan Suarly, Mr. Septian Bayu Kristianto, Dr. Ferdinand Butarbutar, Mr. Sopar Julius Hutagalung, Mr. Aloysius Harry Mukti, Mr. Eduardo Naibaho, Dr. Tanggor Sihombing, Ms. Liza Handoko, Dr. Jonathan David King and all lecturers that names have not been mentioned, for their guidance and valuable knowledge for the three and half years of writer's undergraduate studies in Universitas Pelita Harapan.
5. Alm. Djoko SM Sibarani, as writer's beloved father for his outpouring love and sacrifices during his time on earth.
6. Tirzah WHL Sibarani, Nadya Romanenta Sibarani, Ariel Lorenzo Alwi, Johannes Loing, Anneke Loing, Pingkan Lalamentik, Ruben Lalamentik, Timothy Loing, Winda Loing, Jordy Loing, Bennett Lalamentik, and Yarra Lalamentik as writer's beloved family for their time, love, support, guidance, and encouraging prayers throughout writer's life, especially during the writing of this thesis.
7. Fiametta Soenardi for the irreplaceable-continuous love, support as well as guidance in life, career, and studies.
8. Tasha Helfira as writer's beloved mentor for her continuous love, support, encouragement, prayers throughout the ups and downs of life, especially during the process of writing this thesis.

9. Erica Christin Aryawan, Maria Natalia Sindy Purnomo, Eryana Christin Aryawan, Kezia Christilla Singgih, as writer's SISTERS for their warm love and encouragement throughout the writer's life who never fails to spark up joy in the writer's life.
10. Pastor Dennis, Abigail Deodatus, Yediya Juan, and Atmosphere City Church Melbourne family for the love and prayers that highly support the writer.
11. For the love, support, and care of beloved UPH mentor, Shania Octavia.
12. Beloved seniors Christa Joella, Melania Resubun, Graciella V. Suwangsa, Natasha Widjaja, Christina Teddy.
13. Beloved classmates of RMIT Accounting 2017, Bryan Jonathan Purnama, Calista Janie Soetandijo, Baek Kyeong Rim, Michelle Sugianto, Bella Anastasia Montolalu, Gracia Askim, Jennifer Antonio.
14. Service Learning Community (SLC) 2018/2019 family, Fransiska Elisabeth, Farren Williady, Riandi Sugantha, Mington Triwijaya, Delvicia Saputra, Jose Evan, Gracia, Ray Antonius, Felicia Natalie, Agatha Fortuna, Yoso Armando, Chriswiranty Sianturi, Erika Bitari, Maria, Grace, Erin, Ekklessia, Sara, Jessica, for the friendship and outpouring love throughout the writer's university life.
15. Jeannette Yovanka as beloved chief mentor as well as Jessica Hendry, Timothy Christanto, and Michelle Deris as BS 1 family for

brightening up the writer's university life, especially during the time serving in Mentoring UPH.

16. Ryztasya Timoza, Anggie Tsai, and other friends who have helped the writer in overcoming difficulties throughout the process of writing the thesis.

17. Michelle Torita, Sita Sukma Dewi, Christy Laurencia, Viola Serena Saptari, Joshua Darrel Kurniawan, Stefani Verlinda Latief, Patrick Kosmayandi, Ferdian Dharmawan for the friendship and support throughout high school years up to university years.

Writer realizes that this thesis is still far from perfection, and that the writer would gladly receive any critics, suggestions and comments, which would become a very useful input for the writer. Writer hopes that this thesis may be put to good use.

Lippo Village, 07 December 2020

Author

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER

FINAL ASSIGNMENT STATEMENT AND UPLOAD AGREEMENT

THESIS APPROVAL

THESIS DEFENSE COMMITTEE

| | |
|--|-------------|
| ABSTRACT | v |
| ABSTRAK | vii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | viii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | xi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiv |
| LIST OF TABLES | xv |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xvii |
| CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2. Research Problem | 6 |
| 1.3. Research Objective | 7 |
| 1.4. Significance of the Study | 8 |
| 1.5 Scope of the Study | 9 |
| 1.6 Systematic Discussion | 10 |
| CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT | 11 |
| 2.1. Grand Theory | 11 |
| 2.1.1. Agency Theory | 11 |
| 2.1.2. Signaling Theory | 12 |
| 2.1.3. Efficient Market Theory | 13 |
| 2.2.1. Corporate Governance | 14 |
| 2.2.1.1. Independent Commissioners | 15 |
| 2.2.1.2. Audit Committee | 17 |
| 2.2.1.3. Managerial Ownership | 20 |
| 2.2.2. Risk Management | 20 |
| 2.2.2.1. Enterprise Risk Management | 21 |
| 2.2.3. Corporate Value | 25 |
| 2.3. Literature Review | 26 |
| 2.4. Conceptual Framework | 35 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2.5. Hypothesis Development | 36 |
| 2.5.1. Effect of Independent Commissioners on Corporate Value | 36 |
| 2.5.2. Effect of Audit Committee on Corporate Value | 37 |
| 2.5.3. Effect of Managerial Ownership on Corporate Value | 38 |
| 2.5.4. Moderating role of Enterprise Risk Management on correlation of Good Corporate Governance and Corporate Value | 39 |
| CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 42 |
| 3.1. Population and Sample..... | 42 |
| 3.2. Empirical Model | 43 |
| 3.3. Operational Variable Definition..... | 45 |
| 3.3.1. Measurement for Dependent Variable: Corporate Value | 45 |
| 3.3.2. Measurement for Independent Variable: Good Corporate Governance | 46 |
| 3.3.2.1. Independent Commissioners | 46 |
| 3.3.2.2. Audit Committee..... | 46 |
| 3.3.2.3. Managerial Ownership..... | 46 |
| 3.3.3. Measurement for Moderating Variable: Enterprise Risk Management | 47 |
| 3.4. Method for Data Analysis | 48 |
| 3.4.1. Descriptive Statistics..... | 48 |
| 3.4.2. Regression Model Fitness Tests..... | 49 |
| 3.4.2.1. Coefficient of Determination | 50 |
| 3.4.2.2. Test of Classical Assumption..... | 50 |
| 3.4.2.2.1. Normality Test | 51 |
| 3.4.2.2.2. Multicollinearity..... | 52 |
| 3.4.2.2.3. Heteroscedasticity | 52 |
| 3.4.2.2.4. Autocorrelation | 53 |
| 3.5. Hypothesis Testing..... | 54 |
| 3.5.1. F-Statistics..... | 54 |
| 3.5.2. t-Statistics..... | 55 |
| 3.5.3. Adjusted R-squared..... | 55 |
| CHAPTER IV DATA ANALYSIS | 57 |
| 4.1. Overview of Research Data | 57 |
| 4.2. Descriptive Statistics..... | 58 |
| 4.3. Classical Assumption Test..... | 60 |
| 4.3.1. Normality Test | 60 |
| 4.3.2. Multicollinearity..... | 62 |
| 4.3.3. Heteroscedasticity | 63 |
| 4.3.4. Autocorrelation | 64 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 4.4. Hypothesis Testing..... | 65 |
| 4.4.1. Coefficient Determination Test (Adjusted R2) | 65 |
| 4.4.2. ANOVA | 67 |
| 4.4.1. Partial Test (t-test statistics)..... | 68 |
| 4.5. Moderated Regression Analysis (MRA)..... | 69 |
| 4.6. Discussion | 70 |
| 4.6.1. Impact of Independent Commissioners towards Corporate Value | 70 |
| 4.6.2. Impact of Audit Committee towards Corporate Value | 71 |
| 4.6.3. Impact of Managerial Ownership towards Corporate Value | 71 |
| 4.6.4. Enterprise Risk Management moderates the impact of Independent Commissioners towards Corporate Value..... | 72 |
| 4.6.5. Enterprise Risk Management moderates the impact of Audit Committee towards Corporate Value..... | 74 |
| 4.6.6. Enterprise Risk Management moderates the impact of Managerial Ownership towards Corporate Value | 74 |
| CHAPTER V CONCLUSION | 77 |
| 5.1. Conclusion | 77 |
| 5.2. Research Limitation | 78 |
| 5.3. Recommendation | 78 |
| 5.4. Implications of Research Results | 79 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 81 |
| APPENDIX A | A-1 |
| APPENDIX B | B-1 |
| APPENDIX C | C-1 |
| APPENDIX D | D-1 |
| APPENDIX E | E-1 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 2.1 COSO ERM Integrated Framework Cube..... | 24 |
| Figure 2.2 Conceptual Framework..... | 35 |



LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2.1 List of prior research | 28 |
| Table 3.1 Autocorrelation decision determinants | 54 |
| Table 4.1 Summary of Research Sample | 58 |
| Table 4.2 Descriptive Statistics | 58 |
| Table 4.3 Result of Normality Test for Model 1 Before Treatment | 60 |
| Table 4.4 Result of Normality Test for Model 1 After Treatment | 61 |
| Table 4.5 Result of Normality Test for Model 2 | 62 |
| Table 4.6 Model 1 Multicollinearity Test | 62 |
| Table 4.7 Model 2 Multicollinearity Test | 63 |
| Table 4.8 Model 1 Heteroscedasticity Test | 63 |
| Table 4.9 Model 2 Heteroscedasticity Test | 64 |
| Table 4.10 Model 1 Autocorrelation Test | 64 |
| Table 4.11 Model 2 Autocorrelation Test | 65 |
| Table 4.12 Model 1 Adjusted R-Square | 65 |
| Table 4.13 Model 2 Adjusted R-Square | 66 |
| Table 4.14 Model 1 ANOVA | 67 |
| Table 4.15 Model 2 ANOVA | 67 |
| Table 4.16 Model 1 Hypothesis Test | 68 |
| Table 4.17 Model 2 Hypothesis Test | 69 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| APPENDIX A | A-1 |
| APPENDIX B | B-1 |
| APPENDIX C | C-1 |
| APPENDIX D | D-1 |
| APPENDIX E | E-1 |

