

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise and gratitude is given to Allah SWT for all the blessings that have been given by. Without Allah SWT unending love, grace, and mercy, the author will not be able to complete this final paper.

This final paper entitled “THE INFLUENCE OF BRAND IMAGE, PERCEIVED TRUST, PERCEIVED PRICE, AND PERCEIVED VALUE OF WULING MOTORS TOWARDS PURCHASE INTENTION OF INDONESIAN” is aimed to fulfill final requirement to attain the Bachelor Degree of Economics in Management. Besides, this final paper facilitates the process of learning and expanding knowledge horizon.

The author would like to thank you for the dearest supervisor, Dr. Moses L.P. Hutabarat, S.Kom., S.E, M.M. who has been generously and patiently shared his knowledge and guided throughout the making of this paper. Without his kindness and support, the author would not be able to finish this paper in time.

The author realizes without any guidance, support, encouragement and prayer from various people, this final paper cannot be completed. Therefore, the author would like to thank profusely to all those who have assisted in the process of completing this final paper, namely to:

1. To all lecturers who have educated and shared their knowledge during the study period in UPH.
2. To all staffs and employees of business school who help the author in administrative.
3. To my family especially my parents who always support me in everything, giving me never ending prayers, support and motivation, which makes this research paper possible to be done.

4. To Rachella Farmis who have always been there for me 24/7, giving me support, prayers, and giving endless encouragement.
5. To my thesis mate, Saurabh Tyagi who always ready to help and support, sharing bad or good times together.
6. To my cousin, Frijadi and Faried who always share everything and contribute in the thesis process.
7. To all my bros, Jason Pande, Axel, Eric who always giving me laugh everytime I was in a difficult time.
8. To my truly friend and my role model Christian Eka, the owner of EKA CELULLER who always support, help, and always share the good things. The best!
9. To my business partners in STELLAR INDONESIA, Rizal, Ihwan, Wily, Odi, Dendy, Nadhif who always be my side 24/7, sharing and support me in bad or good situation.
10. To all my UPH's mate for countless prayers and always be my motivation, even we rarely catch up. Chaekal, Albert Louise, Kaya, Marchel, Vaerell, Victoria, Bellinda, Bella Sugita.
11. To all my class mates of International Business.
12. To all the member of kasing selo club. Thankyou for giving many great lessons of life, and become my second family in school.

As final words, the authors realize this thesis is still far from perfection. In regard to that, any suggestions, critics, insight are welcomed. Hopefully this study can be put into good use and become useful information for readers.

Karawaci, November 26, 2020

Authors

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | |
|--|-------------|
| STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY OF THESIS | ii |
| THESIS APPROVAL | iii |
| THESIS DEFENSE COMMITTEE | iv |
| ABSTRACT | v |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiv |
| CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Research Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Purpose of the Study | 7 |
| 1.3 Research Problems..... | 7 |
| 1.4 Scope of Study | 8 |
| 1.5 Thesis Systematic Structure | 9 |
| CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.1 Brand Image..... | 10 |
| 2.2 Perceived Price | 12 |
| 2.3 Perceived Trust | 13 |
| 2.4 Perceived Value | 14 |
| 2.5 Purchase Intention..... | 15 |
| 2.6 Global Strategy..... | 16 |
| 2.7 Relationship of Brand Image on Trust, Value, Price, and Purchase Intention | 17 |
| 2.8 Relationship between Price, Value and Purchase Intention | 18 |
| 2.9 Relationship between Trust, Value, and Purchase Intention..... | 19 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 2.10 Relationship between Value and Purchase Intention..... | 19 |
| 2.11 Research Model | 20 |
| 2.12 Hypothesis | 20 |

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.1 Research Design | 22 |
| 3.1.1 Phases of Research..... | 23 |
| 3.1.2 Classification of a Research..... | 24 |
| 3.1.3 Research Subject and Object | 25 |
| 3.1.4 Unit Analysis | 26 |
| 3.2 Population and Sample | 26 |
| 3.2.1 Population | 27 |
| 3.2.2 Sample | 27 |
| 3.2.2.1 The Sampling Process..... | 27 |
| 3.2.2.2 Types of Sampling | 28 |
| 3.2.2.3 Determining the Sample Size | 29 |
| 3.3 Data Collection Method..... | 30 |
| 3.3.1 Questionnaire | 30 |
| 3.3.2 Types of Measurement Scale | 31 |
| 3.3.2.1 The Likert Scale..... | 32 |
| 3.4 Conceptual Definitions and Operational Definition | 32 |
| 3.5 Pretest Study | 33 |
| 3.6 Actual Study | 33 |
| 3.7 Descriptive Analysis | 33 |
| 3.8 Validity | 34 |
| 3.8.1 Criteria for Validity Test..... | 35 |
| 3.9 Reliability..... | 37 |
| 3.9.1 Criteria for Reliability Test..... | 38 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.10 Partial Least Squares- Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)..... | 38 |
| 3.11 Evaluation Model..... | 38 |
| 3.11.1 Outer Model Evaluation..... | 38 |
| 3.11.2 Inner Model Evaluation | 39 |
| 3.12 Validity Test in Pretest | 39 |
| 3.13 Reliability Test in Pretest..... | 40 |

CHAPTER IV DATA, RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSIONS

| | |
|--|----|
| 4.1 Respondent Profile..... | 41 |
| 4.1.1 Gender..... | 44 |
| 4.1.2 Age..... | 44 |
| 4.2 Actual Test..... | 45 |
| 4.3 Descriptive Statistics..... | 45 |
| 4.3.1 Brand Image Descriptive Statistic | 46 |
| 4.3.2 Perceived Price Descriptive Statistic | 47 |
| 4.3.3 Perceived Trust Descriptive Statistic | 49 |
| 4.3.4 Descriptive Statistic Perceived Value..... | 50 |
| 4.3.5 Descriptive Statistic Purchase Intention | 52 |
| 4.4 The Data Testing Result | 53 |
| 4.4.1 Outer Model Evaluation..... | 54 |
| 4.4.2 Convergent Validity Test..... | 54 |
| 4.4.2.1 Factor Loading | 54 |
| 4.4.2.2 Average Variance Extracted (AVE) | 56 |
| 4.4.3 Discriminant Validity | 56 |
| 4.4.3.1 Cross Loading | 57 |
| 4.4.3.2 Fornell-Larcker Criterion (FLC)..... | 58 |
| 4.4.4 Reliability Test..... | 59 |
| 4.4.4.1 Cronbach's Alpha | 59 |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| 4.4.4.2 Composite Reliability | 60 |
| 4.5 Inner Model..... | 60 |
| 4.5.1 R ² Test..... | 61 |
| 4.5.2 T-Statistic Test..... | 62 |
| 4.6 Discussion..... | 64 |
| 4.6.1 Hypothesis 1 | 64 |
| 4.6.2 Hypothesis 2 | 64 |
| 4.6.3 Hypothesis 3 | 65 |
| 4.6.4 Hypothesis 4 | 65 |
| 4.6.5 Hypothesis 5 | 66 |
| 4.6.6 Hypothesis 6 | 66 |
| 4.6.7 Hypothesis 7 | 67 |
| 4.6.8 Hypothesis 8 | 67 |
| 4.6.9 Hypothesis 9 | 68 |
| 4.6.10 Hypothesis 10 | 68 |
| 4.6.11 Hypothesis 11 | 69 |
| 4.6.12 Hypothesis 12 | 69 |
| 4.6.13 Hypothesis 13 | 70 |
| 4.6.14 Hypothesis 14 | 70 |

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 Conclusion 72

5.2 Managerial Implications 74

5.3 Research Limitations 76


5.4 Recommendation 76

REFERENCE

APPENDIX A: THE QUESTIONNAIRE


APPENDIX B: PRELIMINARY TEST

APPENDIX C: ACTUAL TEST



LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1.1 Automotive Industry ASEAN | 2 |
| Figure 1.2 Wuling Motors Logo | 4 |
| Figure 1.3 Wuling Car | 4 |
| Figure 1.4 Automotive Sales Indonesia | 5 |
| Figure 2.1 The Research Model and the Proposed Relationship | 18 |
| Figure 3.1 Likert Scale..... | 30 |
| Figure 3.2 The Research Model in SMARTPLS 3.0 | 39 |
| Figure 4.1 Respondents Gender | 42 |
| Figure 4.2 Respondents Age | 43 |
| Figure 4.3 The Final Research Model in SMARTPLS 3.0..... | 51 |



LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 3.1 Conceptual Definitions and Operational Definitions | 30 |
| Table 3.2 Rule of Thumb of Validity Test..... | 34 |
| Table 3.3 Rule of Thumb of Reliability..... | 35 |
| Table 3.4 Validity Test in Pretest | 37 |
| Table 3.5 Fornell-Larcker criterion (FLc) in Pre-test..... | 39 |
| Table 3.6 Reliability Test Results in Pre-test | 40 |
| Table 4.1 Respondents Gender | 41 |
| Table 4.2 Respondents Age | 43 |
| Table 4.3 Brand Image Descriptive Statistics..... | 44 |
| Table 4.4 Perceived Price Descriptive Statistics | 45 |
| Table 4.5 Perceived Trust Descriptive Statistics | 47 |
| Table 4.6 Perceived Value Descriptive Statistics | 48 |
| Table 4.7 Purchase Intention Descriptive Statistics..... | 50 |
| Table 4.8 Factor Loading Value in Actual Test..... | 53 |
| Table 4.9 Average Variance Extracted Value in Actual Test..... | 54 |
| Table 4.10 Cross Loading Values..... | 55 |
| Table 4.11 Fornell-Larcker Criterion (FLc) | 56 |
| Table 4.12 Cronbach's Alpha Results in Actual Test..... | 57 |
| Table 4.13 Composite Reliability | 58 |
| Table 4.14 R ² Actual Test..... | 60 |
| Table 4.15 Hypothesis Testing Result (Direct Effect)..... | 61 |
| Table 4.16 Hypothesis Testing Result (Indirect Effect) | 61 |