

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Praise the Lord Jesus Christ for His abundant blessing and guidance upon the author throughout the research and thesis report “EFFECT OF PARTIAL SUBSTITUTION WITH HEAT-MOISTURE TREATED SWEET POTATO (*IPOMOEA BATATAS L.*) FLOUR ON PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND ORGANOLEPTIC CHARACTERISTICS OF PAN BREAD” completion. This thesis report was done as the last requirement to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Teknologi Pertanian* in the Food Technology Study Program of Universitas Pelita Harapan.

The thesis would not be completed without the support, guidance, and assistance from others. Hence, the author would like to extend the greatest gratitude to those who have given their supports and guidance, including:

1. Ir. W. Donald R. Pokatong, M.Sc., Ph.D. Head of Food Technology Study Program, as Thesis Supervisor, for the chance given to the author to conduct the research and for the guidance, feedback, and suggestions throughout the research and thesis report completion.
2. Eric Jobilong, Ph.D. as the Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
3. Dr. Nuri Arum Anugrahati, S.Si., MP. as the Vice Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
4. Ratna Handayani, S.TP., M.P. as the Vice Head of Food Technology Study Program for the informations and guidance given throughout the thesis completion.
5. Dr. Tagor M. Siregar, S.Si., M.Si. as thesis examiner, for constructive advices and suggestions for the thesis.
6. Yuniwaty Halim, M.Sc. as the Head of Quality Control and Research Laboratories and thesis examiner, for the permissions given to the author to conduct the research and for constructive advices and suggestions for the thesis.
7. Natania, M.Eng. as the Head of Food Processing Laboratory, for the permissions given for the author to conduct the research.

8. Ms. Aileen, Mr. Regy, Mr. Aji, and Mr. Adi for the help and guidance in the laboratories given to the author.
9. All lecturers and staffs of Food Technology Department for the help and information given to the author throughout the thesis completion.
10. Beloved family including father (Harianto Soebroto), mother (Lina Simon), and brother (Kevin Christian) for their care and support during the research and throughout the thesis completion.
11. Alvin Wilson for the help, motivation, and support during the research and throughout the thesis completion.
12. Irene Natasha and Ida Ayu Komang Chandra Devi for the help, support, and comfort during the research and thesis completion.
13. Michael, Steven, Gobinder, Ming Ay, Stevany, and Jessica as thesis partners for the help and support during the research and thesis completion.
14. All members of Food Technology 2017 who had also conducted the thesis together with the author, for the help and informations given regarding the research and thesis completion.
15. All family, friends, and colleagues who are not listed one by one, but have contributed to the completion of this thesis report.

The author realizes that this thesis report is far from perfect and still need much improvement. Therefore, any suggestion and criticism to improve this report are gladly welcomed. At last, the author hope this report may be useful and informative for the readers. Thank you.

Tangerang, February 5<sup>th</sup> 2021


Author

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
COVER	
FINAL ASSIGNMENT STATEMENT AND UPLOAD AGREEMENT	
APPROVAL BY THESIS SUPERVISOR	
APPROVAL BY EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	
ABSTRACT .....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	vii
LIST OF TABLES .....	x
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	xiii
 CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	 1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	2
1.3 Objectives .....	3
1.3.1 General Objectives .....	3
1.3.2 Specific Objectives.....	3
 CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW.....	 5
2.1 Sweet Potato .....	5
2.1.1 Sweet Potato Flour .....	6
2.2 Starch.....	8
2.2.1 Sweet Potato Starch.....	10
2.2.2 Starch Modification .....	10
2.3 Bread .....	11
2.3.1 Pan Bread.....	11
2.3.2 Quality Parameters of Bread.....	13
 CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	 14
3.1 Materials and Equipment.....	14
3.2 Research Methodology.....	15
3.2.1 Preliminary Research Stage .....	15
3.2.2 Main Research Stage I.....	16
3.2.3 Main Research Stage II.....	17
3.3 Experimental Design .....	18
3.3.1 Main Research Stage I.....	18
3.3.2 Main Research Stage II.....	20
3.3.2.1 Experimental Design for Selected Pan Breads.....	21

	page
3.4 Method of Analyses .....	23
3.4.1 Swelling Power (Kusumayanti <i>et al.</i> , 2015) .....	23
3.4.2 Water Solubility (Kusumayanti <i>et al.</i> , 2015).....	23
3.4.3 Total Starch Content (AOAC, 2005).....	23
3.4.4 Amylose Content (AOAC, 2005).....	23
3.4.5 Amylopectin Content (AOAC, 2005).....	23
3.4.6 Weight and Volume (Brennan and Al-Saleh, 2012) .....	23
3.4.7 Lightness (Nielsen, 2010) .....	24
3.4.8 Scoring Test (Lestari <i>et al.</i> , 2019).....	24
3.4.9 Sensory Evaluation (Lawless and Heymann, 2010).....	24
3.5 Proximate Analysis .....	25
3.5.1 Moisture Content (AOAC, 2005).....	25
3.5.2 Ash Content (AOAC, 2005) .....	25
3.5.3 Crude Protein Content (AOAC, 2005) .....	26
3.5.4 Fat Content (AOAC, 2005).....	27
3.5.5 Carbohydrate Content (AOAC, 2005).....	27
<b>CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
4.1 Taxonomical Verification of Sweet Potato .....	28
4.2 Effect of HMT Temperature and Time on Physicochemical Characteristics of Sweet Potato Flour .....	28
4.2.1 Swelling Power of Modified Sweet Potato Flour .....	28
4.2.2 Solubility of Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	30
4.2.3 Lightness of Modified Sweet Potato Flour .....	31
4.2.4 Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour Based on Swelling Power .....	32
4.2.5 Chemical Composition of Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour .....	33
4.3 Effect of Wheat Flour to Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour Ratio on Physical and Organoleptic Characteristics of Pan Bread .....	35
4.3.1 Weight .....	35
4.3.2 Volume .....	36
4.3.3 Hardness .....	38
4.3.4 Crust Lightness.....	39
4.3.5 Crumb Lightness .....	40
4.4 Effect of Wheat Flour to Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour Ratio on the Organoleptic Characteristics of Pan Bread .....	41
4.4.1 Scoring Test .....	41
4.4.2 Hedonic Test .....	43
4.5 Selected Pan Breads Based on Physical and Organoleptic Characteristics.....	45
4.6 Chemical Composition of Selected Pan Breads .....	46

	page
4.7 Final Selected Pan Breads Based on Physicochemical and Organoleptic Characteristics .....	49
CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.....	50
5.1 Conclusions .....	50
5.2 Suggestions .....	51
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	52
APPENDICES.....	53



## LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 2. 1 Nutritional value of raw sweet potato .....	7
Table 2. 2 Composition of sweet potato flour .....	7
Table 2. 3 Composition of sweet potato starch .....	10
Table 3. 1 Pan bread formulation .....	17
Table 3. 2 Experimental design for main research stage I .....	18
Table 3. 3 Experimental design for main research stage II .....	20
Table 3. 4 Sensory parameters for scoring test of pan bread .....	22
Table 3. 5 Hedonic scale for sensory evaluation of pan bread .....	25
Table 4. 1a Effect of HMT temperature on solubility of sweet potato flour.....	30
Table 4. 1b Effect of HMT time on solubility of sweet potato flour.....	30
Table 4. 2 Chemical composition sweet potato flour and wheat flour .....	33
Table 4. 3 Scoring test result of pan bread .....	42
Table 4. 4 Hedonic test result of pan bread .....	44
Table 4. 5 Chemical composition of pan bread .....	46

## LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 2. 1 Sweet potato (left) and sweet potato plant (right).....	5
Figure 2. 2 Structure of amylose (A) and amylopectin (B).....	6
Figure 2. 3 Flow diagram of straight dough method.....	12
Figure 3. 1 Production of sweet potato flour.....	15
Figure 3. 2 Production of HMT modified sweet potato flour .....	16
Figure 4. 1 Swelling power of modified sweet potato flour.....	29
Figure 4. 2 Lightness of modified sweet potato flour.....	32
Figure 4. 3 Weight of pan bread.....	36
Figure 4. 4 Volume of pan bread.....	37
Figure 4. 5 Pan bread with ratio of 100:0, 90:10, 85:15, 80:20, 75:25, and 70:30.....	38
Figure 4. 6 Hardness of pan bread.....	38
Figure 4. 7 Crust lightness of pan bread.....	40
Figure 4. 8 Crumb lightness of pan bread.....	41

## LIST OF APPENDICES

	page
<b>Appendix A</b>	
Result of Identification of White Sweet Potato.....	A-1
<b>Appendix B</b>	
Moisture Content of Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	B-1
Fat Content of Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	B-3
Protein Content of Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	B-4
Ash Content of Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	B-5
Carbohydrate Content of Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	B-6
<b>Appendix C</b>	
Lightness of Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	C-1
Statistical Analysis Result for Lightness.....	C-2
Swelling Power of Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	C-3
Statistical Analysis Result for Swelling Power.....	C-5
Solubility of Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	C-7
Statistical Analysis Result for Solubility.....	C-8
Starch Content of Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	C-9
Amylose Content of Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	C-9
Amylopectin Content of Selected Modified Sweet Potato Flour.....	C-9
<b>Appendix D</b>	
Result of Starch and Amylose Content Analysis of Modified Sweet Potato Flour from External Laboratory.....	D-1
<b>Appendix E</b>	
Weight of Pan Bread.....	E-1
Statistical Analysis Result for Weight.....	E-3
Volume of Pan Bread.....	E-3
Statistical Analysis Result for Volume.....	E-4
Hardness of Pan Bread.....	E-5
Statistical Analysis Result for Hardness.....	E-6
Lightness of Pan Bread.....	E-7
Statistical Analysis Result for Crust Lightness.....	E-8
Statistical Analysis Result for Crumb Lightness.....	E-9
<b>Appendix F</b>	
Example of Scoring Test Questionnaire.....	F-1
Scoring Test Results for Crust Color.....	F-2
Statistical Analysis Result for Crust Color.....	F-4
Scoring Test Results for Crumb Color.....	F-5
Statistical Analysis Result for Crumb Color.....	F-7
Scoring Test Results for Firmness.....	F-8
Statistical Analysis Result for Firmness.....	F-10
Scoring Test Results for Aroma.....	F-11
Statistical Analysis Result for Aroma.....	F-13

	page
Scoring Test Results for Taste.....	F-14
Statistical Analysis Result for Taste.....	F-16
<b>Appendix G</b>	
Example of Hedonic Test Questionnaire.....	G-1
Hedonic Test Results for Crust Color.....	G-2
Statistical Analysis Result for Crust Color.....	G-4
Hedonic Test Results for Crumb Color.....	G-5
Statistical Analysis Result for Crumb Color.....	G-7
Hedonic Test Results for Firmness.....	G-8
Statistical Analysis Result for Firmness.....	G-10
Hedonic Test Results for Aroma.....	G-11
Statistical Analysis Result for Aroma.....	G-13
Hedonic Test Results for Taste.....	G-14
Statistical Analysis Result for Taste.....	G-16
Hedonic Test Results for Overall Acceptance.....	G-17
Statistical Analysis Result for Overall Acceptance.....	G-19
<b>Appendix H</b>	
Moisture Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-1
Statistical Analysis Result of Moisture Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-2
Fat Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-3
Statistical Analysis Result of Fat Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-4
Protein Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-6
Statistical Analysis Result of Protein Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-7
Ash Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-8
Statistical Analysis Result of Ash Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-9
Carbohydrate Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-10
Statistical Analysis Result of Carbohydrate Content of Selected Pan Breads.....	H-11
<b>Appendix I</b>	
White Sweet Potato.....	I-1
Native and HMT Treated Sweet Potato Flour.....	I-1
Pan Bread Dough Before Proofing.....	I-1
Pan Bread Dough After Proofing.....	I-2
Pan Bread After Baking.....	I-2
Slices of Pan Bread.....	I-3