

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is submitted to the Educational Technology Program, Faculty of Education, Universitas Pelita Harapan, as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for obtaining the degree of 'Master of Education'.

In this thesis, the writer tries to put into practice the knowledge she has acquired during the course of her study at the Faculty of Education, Universitas Pelita Harapan. Her research on the relationships between reading, listening, and writing abilities of intermediate learners of English as a foreign language through an analysis of a Cambridge Preliminary English Test has been completed with the supportive help of the following individuals, who have played an important part in the writing of her thesis as well as in the completion of her study, and to whom she would like to extend her gratitude:

- 1) The Dean of the Faculty of Education, Universitas Pelita Harapan: Connie Rasilim, S.S., B.Ed., M.Pd.
- 2) The Head of the Educational Technology Program, Faculty of Education, Universitas Pelita Harapan: Dr. Niko Sudibjo, S.Psi., M.A., to whom the writer is grateful especially for his wise counsel and sensible decisions.
- 3) Her supervisor, Dr. Hananto, M.A., to whom she is deeply indebted for his invaluable guidance and assistance, his patience, and his relentless support during the thesis writing. She appreciates the hours he has spent to engage in discussions and to provide her with constructive and thought-provoking comments. She would also like to express her heartfelt gratitude to him for his unshakable faith in her, which has enabled her to finish her study on time.

- 4) Her thesis viva voce examiners: Agus Santoso, S.Pd., M.A., Ed.D. and Dr. Riani Inkiriwang-Winter, M.A., to whom the writer shows her appreciation for their attention to meticulous details and for showing the best intentions in providing her with their valuable input, while encouraging her to strive for excellence.
- 5) All the lecturers in the Educational Technology Program, Faculty of Education, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
- 6) All the TESOL lecturers in the Educational Technology Program: Dr. Hananto, M.A., Agus Santoso, S.Pd., M.A., Ed.D., Irene Rebecca A. Tobing, Ph.D., Dr. Riani Inkiriwang-Winter, M.A., and Clara Evi Citraningtyas, M.A., Ph.D., to whom thanks and respect are due for the knowledge and experience they have generously shared in class, and, moreover, for having set an example of what it means to be an educator with professional integrity and insight.
- 7) Willy Virga H., S.T. and Dr. Trisnowati Tanto, M.Hum., who have given the writer the permission and the opportunity to conduct her thesis research study at Trimulia Christian School and Bintang Mulia School.
- 8) Dra. Melita Yudhalaksana, Dra. Audrey Yunandar, and Dra. Lena Chandra, who have been willing to use their expertise to score the writing part of the test for this research.
- 9) All her classmates in the Faculty of Educational Technology Program, who have shared with her the learning experience in this graduate programme.
- 10) All the administrative staff and the librarians of the Graduate Program, Universitas Pelita Harapan.

Finally, and most importantly, she would like to express her profound gratitude to her parents, her sister, and her friends for their encouragement and support, and for lending a hand in times of need, and above all, to the Lord Jesus Christ, who has directed her into this course and graciously blessed her with understanding, ability, and strength to write this thesis and complete her graduate study.

Jakarta, 26 May 2018



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>TITLE PAGE</b> .....	i
<b>ORIGINALITY STATEMENT OF THE THESIS</b> .....	ii
<b>APPROVAL PAGE</b> .....	iii
<b>THESIS EXAMINATION COMMITTEE</b> .....	iv
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	v
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	vii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	x
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xiv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xv
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....	xvi
<b>CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
1.1. Background of the Study.....	1
1.2. Statement of the Problem.....	3
1.3. Scope of the Study.....	4
1.4. Purpose of the Study.....	4
1.5. Research Questions.....	5
1.6. Significance of the Study.....	5
1.7. Organisation of the Thesis.....	6
<b>CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	8
2.1. Theoretical Considerations.....	8
2.1.1. Reading.....	8
2.1.1.1. The Definitions of Reading.....	8
2.1.1.2. The Process and Purposes of Reading.....	10
2.1.1.2.1. Expeditious Reading.....	10
2.1.1.2.2. Careful Reading.....	11
2.1.1.3. Assessing Reading.....	12
2.1.1.3.1. Vocabulary and Grammar in a Reading Test.....	15


2.1.1.3.2. Possible Reading Test Techniques.....	17
2.1.1.4. Typical Reading Abilities at Intermediate Level.....	17
2.1.2. Listening.....	18
2.1.2.1. The Definitions of Listening.....	18
2.1.2.2. The Process of Listening.....	19
2.1.2.3. Listening Skills.....	21
2.1.2.4. Assessing Listening.....	24
2.1.2.5. Typical Listening Abilities at Intermediate Level.....	26
2.1.3. Writing.....	27
2.1.3.1. The Definitions of Writing.....	27
2.1.3.2. Writing Competences.....	28
2.1.3.3. Types of Writing.....	29
2.1.3.4. Assessing Writing.....	30
2.1.3.4.1. Grammar in a Writing Test.....	31
2.1.3.4.2. Scoring Writing.....	32
2.1.3.5. Typical Writing Abilities at Intermediate Level.....	33
2.2. The Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR) .....	34
2.3. Cambridge English Scale Scores.....	35
2.4. Relationships of the Three Variables: Reading, Listening, Writing.....	37
2.4.1. Relationship between Reading and Listening.....	38
2.4.2. Relationship between Reading and Writing.....	40
2.4.3. Relationship between Listening and Writing.....	41
2.5. Previous Studies.....	42
2.6. Research Model.....	43
2.7. Research Hypotheses.....	44

<b>CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD .....</b>	<b>45</b>
3.1. Research Design.....	45
3.2. Research Time, Place, and Subject.....	46
3.3. Research Procedures.....	46
3.4. Method of Data Collection.....	47
3.5. Research Instruments.....	48
3.5.1. Reading.....	50
3.5.1.1. The Conceptual Definition of Reading.....	50
3.5.1.2. The Operational Definition of Reading.....	50
3.5.1.3. The Blueprint of the Instrument.....	52
3.5.1.4. The PET Reading Scoring System and Interpretation of the Scores.....	52
3.5.2. Listening.....	53
3.5.2.1. The Conceptual Definition of Listening.....	53
3.5.2.2. The Operational Definition of Listening.....	53
3.5.2.3. The Blueprint of the Instrument.....	54
3.5.2.4. The PET Listening Scoring System and Interpretation of the Scores.....	55
3.5.3. Writing.....	56
3.5.3.1. The Conceptual Definition of Writing.....	56
3.5.3.2. The Operational Definition of Writing.....	56
3.5.3.3. The Blueprint of the Instrument.....	57
3.5.3.4. The PET Writing Scoring System and Interpretation of the Scores.....	57
3.5.4. Validity and Reliability of the Instruments.....	60
3.6. Techniques of Data Analysis.....	62
3.7. Statistical Hypotheses.....	65
 <b>CHAPTER IV: RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....</b>	 <b>67</b>
4.1. The Description of the Data.....	67
4.1.1. Statistical Description of the Reading Test Results.....	67

4.1.2. Statistical Description of the Listening Test Results.....	69
4.1.3. Statistical Description of the Writing Test Results.....	70
4.2. Prerequisite Testings for the Analysis.....	72
4.2.1. The Test of Normality.....	72
4.2.2. The Test of Homogeneity.....	73
4.3. Hypothesis Testing and Discussions.....	74
4.3.1. The Relationship between Reading and Listening.....	74
4.3.2. The Relationship between Reading and Writing.....	75
4.3.3. The Relationship between Listening and Writing.....	76
4.3.4. The Relationships between Reading, Listening, and Writing.....	77
4.4. Limitations of the Study.....	78
4.5. Discussions and Interpretation of the Results.....	81
<b>CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>83</b>
5.1. Conclusion.....	83
5.2. Implications.....	85
5.3. Suggestions for Further Research.....	86
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>A-1</b>
Appendix A : Reliability of the Instruments.....	A-1
Appendix B : Research Instruments.....	B-1
Appendix C : Data (Test Results) .....	C-1
Appendix D : Descriptive Statistics.....	D-1
Appendix E : Prerequisite Testings for the Analysis.....	E-1
Appendix F : Correlation Calculations of the Hypothesis Testings.....	F-1
Appendix G : Research Permission from the Institutions.....	G-1
Appendix H : Biography of the Researcher.....	H-1

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Definition of Reading.....	9
Figure 2.2. CEFR and the Cambridge English Scale Scoring System.....	37
Figure 2.3. The Research Model .....	43
Figure 4.1. Histogram of the Frequency Distribution of the Reading Test Results .....	68
Figure 4.2. Histogram of the Frequency Distribution of the Listening Test Results .....	70
Figure 4.3. Histogram of the Frequency Distribution of the Writing Test Results .....	71
Figure 4.4. Strength of the Relationships between the Variables.....	77





## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Language Learners Can Do Statements at Level B1 (Reading).....	18
Table 2.2. Taxonomy of the Listening Subskills.....	24
Table 2.3. Language Learners Can Do Statements at Level B1 (Listening)....	26
Table 2.4. Language Learners Can Do Statements at Level B1 (Writing).....	33
Table 2.5. Common Reference Levels: Global Scale.....	34
Table 2.6. The Cambridge English Scale and the Cambridge Main Suite Exams.....	36
Table 2.7. Barret’s Taxonomy of Levels of Comprehension.....	39
Table 3.1. The Blueprint of the Reading Test.....	52
Table 3.2. Cambridge English Scale Score for the PET Reading Section.....	53
Table 3.3. The Blueprint of the Listening Test.....	55
Table 3.4. Cambridge English Scale Score for the PET Listening Section.....	55
Table 3.5. The Blueprint of the Writing Test.....	57
Table 3.6. Mark Scheme for Writing Part 2.....	58
Table 3.7. Mark Scheme for Writing Part 3.....	59
Table 3.8. Cambridge English Scale Score for the PET Writing Section.....	60
Table 3.9. Reading and Listening Reliability Coefficients.....	61
Table 3.10. Writing Inter-rater Reliability Coefficient.....	62
Table 3.11. Table of Correlation Ranges.....	63
Table 3.12. Table of Cambridge English Scale Scores and CEFR Levels.....	65
Table 4.1. Table of Descriptive Statistics of Reading Test Results.....	67
Table 4.2. Table of Descriptive Statistics of Listening Test Results.....	69
Table 4.3. Table of Descriptive Statistics of Writing Test Results.....	70
Table 4.4. The Tests of Normality of the Test Results.....	72
Table 4.5. The Tests of Homogeneity of Reading and Listening Tests.....	73
Table 4.6. Table of Correlation between Reading and Listening Tests.....	74
Table 4.7. Table of Correlation between Reading and Writing Tests.....	75
Table 4.8. Table of Correlation between Listening and Writing Tests.....	76

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX A</b> .....	A-1
Internal Reliability of the Reading Test.....	A-1
Internal Reliability of the Listening Test.....	A-2
Inter-rater Reliability of the Writing Test.....	A-3
<b>APPENDIX B</b> .....	B-1
Research Instrument: Reading Test.....	B-1
Research Instrument: Listening Test.....	B-10
Research Instrument: Writing Test.....	B-14
Answer Key and Transcript.....	B-16
<b>APPENDIX C</b> .....	C-1
Data: Reading Test Results.....	C-1
Data: Listening Test Results.....	C-4
Data: Writing Test Results.....	C-7
Summary of the Test Results .....	C-10
<b>APPENDIX D</b> .....	D-1
Descriptive Statistics of the Reading Test Results.....	D-1
Descriptive Statistics of the Listening Test Results.....	D-4
Descriptive Statistics of the Writing Test Results.....	D-7
<b>APPENDIX E</b> .....	E-1
Prerequisite Testing: Test of Normality .....	E-1
Prerequisite Testing: Test of Homogeneity.....	E-1
<b>APPENDIX F</b> .....	F-1
Correlation Calculation: between Reading and Listening.....	F-1
Correlation Calculation: between Reading and Writing.....	F-1
Correlation Calculation: between Listening and Writing.....	F-2
Summary of the Correlation Calculations.....	F-2

<b>APPENDIX G</b> .....	G-1
Research Permission from the Institutions.....	G-1
<b>APPENDIX H</b> .....	H-1
Biography of the Researcher.....	H-1

