ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It is by the grace and love of God whose everlasting grace and perfect glory allowed the Author to write and finish this Thesis as one of the prerequisites to obtain the Bachelor of Law Degree in Business Law Concentration at the Faculty of Law of Universitas Pelita Harapan in 2020. This Thesis is titled "THE SIMPLE PROOF STANDARD FOR DECLARATION UNDER ARTICLE 8(4) OF BANKRUPTCY LAW TO DECLARE BANKRUPTCY BEFORE COMMERCIAL COURTS AND ITS EFFECTIVENESS IN COMPARISON TO THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY CODE".

The Author chose to analyze Indonesia's simple proof test under Bankruptcy proceeding and provide a comparison with the proceedings brought in the United States through her legal internship at Soewito, Suhardiman, Eddymurthy and Kardono Partners ("SSEK"). The author became aware on the ineffectiveness and abstract concept of the simple test proof hinders the possibility of Commercial Courts from accurately declaring Bankruptcy, despite the severe legal consequences of confiscating all assets and properties of the Debtor.

The Author acknowledges that her pursuit of her legal career by finishing law school and finishing of this Thesis is a collective effort and support from many of those who have helped the Author throughout her years in law school. As such, the Author would like to extend her utmost gratitude to those who have joined that effort, namely:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Bintan R. Saragih, S.H., as the Dean of the Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan and as the Lecturer of this course who has given me this opportunity to practice in formulating this Thesis.
- 2. Dr. Vincensia Esti Purnama Sari, S.H., M.Hum., as the Head of Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan.
- 3. Dr. Velliana Tanaya, S.H., M.H., as the Director of Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan.
- 4. Dr. jur. Udin Silalahi, S.H., LL.M., as the Author's Thesis advisor who have patiently guided and advised me throughout the journey of writing this Thesis.
- Author's coach during her Moot Court Competitions, Ms. Jessica Los Banos,
 LL.B., MTM., M.B.A., for pushing me to my limits and teaching me every
 legal skill that I have now acquired.
- 6. Author's family, Deborah Iskandar, Daniel Iskandar, Kekoa Iskandar and Keola Iskandar who have supported me relentlessly to uphold the determination I needed to go through law school.
- 7. To Timothy Daniel for always pushing me to do better and in finishing this Thesis timely. Every day you motivate me to do and be better, both as a person and future legal advocate. I cherish what we have and what lies beyond.
- 8. The Author's law-school survival guide: Tiffany Revilia Jonan, Manika Jashan Sadarangani, Sharon Yang Cahyadi, Joy Budiana and Grace Walia, for your constant support in helping me grow into the person I am today.

- Thank you for showing me what it means to be a good friend and always telling me the truth especially when I am unable to face and handle it.
- 9. The legal advisors, namely, Dimas Indartono, Lukas Simanjuntal, and Ari Bessendorf for helping develop the basis of this thesis. I would also like to thank my advisors and peers from my previous internships at Mercedes-Benz and SSEK. My time there were momentous. I am so blessed to be learning from some of the greatest minds and for being reminded that you can always have fun in continuing that process.
- 10. The International Law Moot Court Community, especially, Astrid Desmonda, Sianti Chandra, Johan Kurniawan, Bernice K., Sabrina Tobing, Felix Chandra, Rica Dharma, Indira Kantiana, Hanna Yovita, amongst others. Thank you for taking a chance in me and welcoming me from the moment I entered law school. The experiences I gained from joining this community is none like any other to enjoy law school.
- 11. For my 2017 Foreign Direct Investment Moot Team: Michael Demetrius, Grace Walia, Manika Jashan Sadarangani. You guys have taught me what it means to be in a loving team and for that, you hold a special place in my heart.
- 12. For my 2019 Phillip C. Jessup Moot Team: Manika Jashan Sadarangani, Agnes Amelia Guntara, Olivia Karlina and Putri Miqaila Ameena. Thank you for bearing with me and my sleepiness, thank you for the friendships I cherish forever.

13. My IES family and mentors, Joshua Kunze, Pastor Tirza, Christiana Louisa

Ticoalu, Geraldine T., Angelica Deralda, Ivan Narendra, Kristy Arysnia,

Reina Ang, William and Jevon S., amongst others. You guys have shown me

nothing but constant love and support in some of my most critical times and

have brought me closer to God than I ever was. Thank you for helping me

take the first steps of courage and self-worth.

14. To Ratu Sejagad, Puteri Indonesia 2020 Finalists and Yayasan Puteri

Indonesia. Thank you for always believing in me and encouraging me to

speak for others. Thank you for reminding me to always put 110% in each

and every activity carried out.

15. Lastly, to everyone directly or indirectly contributing to the completion of

this Thesis, whom the Author cannot credit one-by-one. Sincerely, without

your significant impacts and for constantly staying in her life, she would not

be the woman she is today. While this Thesis is far from perfect, I apologize

for any mistakes or inaccuracies. I sincerely hope that this Thesis will bring

out the desired outcome of being a positive contribution and guidance for

Indonesia's legal system and education.

Jakarta, 11 June 2020

The Author,

Louise Kalista Iskandar

ix

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THES	SIS	•••••	• • • •
STAT	TEMEN	T OF THESIS ORIGINALITY	ii
THES	SIS AD	VISORY APPROVAL	. iii
EXA	MINAT	TON BOARD APPROVAL	iv
		••••••	
ACK	NOWL]	EDGMENT	. vi
TABI	LE OF (CONTENTS	X
		BLES	
CHA	PTER I	. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Backg	round	1
1.2		rch Questions	
1.3	Purpos	se of Research	9
1.4	Benefi	its of Research	
	1.4.1	Theoretical Benefits	. 10
	1.4.2	Practical Benefits	
1.5		natic Writing	
CHA		I. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1		etical Framework	
	2.1.1	Indonesian Bankruptcy Law	
		1. Understanding Bankruptcy	
	6	2. Processing Bankruptcy Petitions	
	6	a. Bankruptcy Petitioners	
	The second	b. Required Documents	
	IE	c. Dankrupicy Proceedings	30
		d. Cancelling Bankruptcy Decisions	
	- 10	3. Consequences of Declaring Bankruptcy	. 38
	2.1.2.		
	1	1. Bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the United States Code.	
		2. Petition for Bankruptcy	. 45
		a. Standard for Assessment	
		b. Required Documents	
		c. Bankruptcy Proceedings	
		d. Converting to Chapter 7	
		3. Consequences of Bankruptcy	
2.2		ptual Framework	
	2.2.1	Simple Test Proof	
	2.2.2	US Standard for Bankruptcy	
		1. Financial Means Test	
~		2. Reorganization Plan	
		II. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1.	• -	of Research	
	3.1.1	Normative Legal Research Approach	
2.2	3.1.2	Empirical Legal Research Approach	
3.2.	Proced	dure Material Research	. 81

3.3.	Character Analysis	33
3.4.	Obstacle in Research and its Overcoming	85
CHAI	TER IV. DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	87
4.1	Satisfaction of the Elements of Bankruptcy under Bankruptcy Law Indonesia	
	4.1.1 Existence Of Two Or More Creditors	
	4.1.2 The Debtor Fails to Pay the Debt that is Due and Mature	
	1. Existence of a debt	
	a. Drs. Husein Sani, Subekti v. PT Modern La	and
	b. ILFC v. MB	
	c. Telkomsel v. PT PJI	93
	d. Shareholders to PT HI v. GPF	
	2. The Debtor's failure to pay the due and matured debt 1	
4.2	Indonesia's Bankruptcy declaration in comparison to the United States1	
	4.2.1 Accession to Bankruptcy	
	1. Use of Evidence	
	2. Thorough v. <i>Prima Face</i> level of assessment 10	
	a. A time limit implies what Courts can assess in	
	petition 10	
	b. Different forms of Bankruptcy 11	
	c. Conditions for Bankruptcy	
	4.2.2 Legal consequences	
CHAI	TER V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Conclusion)2
5.2	Recommendation)3
	OGRAPHY	4
		•

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Required documents to submit when filing a petition	30
Table 2.2	Time period for Indonesian Bankruptcy Proceedings	34
Table 2.3	Time period for cassation and civil review	
Table 2.4	Median Household income	55

