

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The purpose of this thesis is to fulfill the requirement of “*Universitas Pelita Harapan*” final task on the last semester. The basis of this research paper is by my strong interest in maritime affairs. The maritime affairs have been going on for thousands of years however, it is still one of the most important method of transportation, logistics, and economy. In this 21st century many nations are racing to secure their standing of their respective marine resources.

However, resource is not meant to be exploited only. Protection and conservation is as important on operating the marine resources of a nations, furthermore conservation is key for future generation marine resources. As two of the largest archipelagic nation I would like to see from the legal perspective on how Indonesia manage and protect its marine operation to Japan. This comparison intrigues me because Japan is well known for their product and their protection and conservation effort of their maritime resources, thus this paper purpose is to dissect legal product each respective nation operation and how we Indonesian can learn especially in the age of “Blue Economy

Lastly this research could not be possible without strong support of many. I would like to express my gratitude and thanks to:

1. Prof Dr. Bintan R. Saragih, S.H., as the Dean of Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan
2. Dr. Vincensia Esti Purnama Sari, S.H., M.Hum, as Head of Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan.

3. Dr. Velliana Tanaya, S.H., M.H., as the Director of Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan.
4. Yossi Niken Respati, S.H, M.H, as Deputy Department of Law
5. Dr. iur. Dian Parluhutan, S.H., LL.M, as the writers thesis supervisor who have kindly through time, patiently guide the writer to write this thesis.
6. Director General Dr. iur. Damos Dumoli Agusman, S.H., LL.M. for being my inspiration in maritime affairs
7. The writer's family who have helped the writer persevere in writing this thesis.
8. The writer's friend who support me in various ways,

Lastly the writer are aware of the nature of basic research done in this thesis. The writer also acknowledge there is still a lot of theory and reference to consider to perfected this research. However, writer is open for suggestion and any criticism in order to not only improve this thesis but also to be more beneficial for the masses.

Tangerang, 7 July 2020

(Yosua Rivian Handoko)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER	i
THESIS AUTHENTICITY STATEMENT	ii
THESIS SUPERVISOR APPROVAL	iii
THESIS EXAMINING COMMITTEE APPROVAL	iv
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
CHAPTER I PREMABLE	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Formulation of Issues	7
1.3 Research Purpose.....	7
1.4 Research Benefits	8
1.5 Writing Structure	8
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 Theoretical Framework	10
2.1.1 General Provisions.....	10
2.1.1.1 Maritime Law.....	10
2.1.1.2 Law of the Sea.....	11
2.1.2 UNCLOS	11
2.1.2.1 History of Law of the sea-Background of UNCLOS.....	11
2.1.2.2 UNCLOS Timeline	13

2.1.2.3 UNCLOS Institutions.....	13
2.1.2.4 Sea Delimitation.....	15
2.1.2.5 Baseline and Territorial Sea.....	15
2.1.2.6 Contiguous Zone.....	17
2.1.2.7 Exclusive Economic Zone.....	17
2.1.2.8 Open Water.....	18
2.1.3 Archipelagic State.....	19
2.1.3.1 History.....	19
2.1.3.2 UNCLOS Provision.....	19
2.1.4 Corresponding State and UNCLOS.....	22
2.1.4.1 Indonesia.....	22
2.1.4.2 Japan.....	22
2.1.5 Marine Resource Utilization According to UNCLOS.....	24
2.1.5.1 Living Resource Right and Protection.....	24
2.1.6 Other International Provision on Marine Resources.....	27
2.1.6.1 International Provision.....	27
2.1.6.2 Supplementing Provision.....	29
2.1.6.3 Port State Measure Agreement (PSMA).....	30
2.1.6.4 Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna (CCSBT).....	31
2.1.7 Marine Resource in Japanese Law.....	32
2.1.7.1 Fisheries Planning.....	34
2.1.7.2 Marine Resource Management.....	35
2.1.7.3 Total Allowable Catch.....	39

2.1.8 Marine Resource in Indonesian Law	40
2.1.8.1 Fisheries Planning	42
2.1.8.2 Marine Resource Management	43
2.1.8.3 Total Allowable Catch	46
2.2 Conceptual Framework	46
2.2.1 Marine Resources	46
2.2.2 Marine Resource Utilization.....	47
2.2.3 Marine Conservation	47
2.2.4 Legal Instrument.....	48
2.2.5 Jurisdiction.....	48
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD	49
3.1 Type of Research Method	49
3.2 Type of Data	51
3.3 Methods of Gathering Data	55
3.4 Type of Research Approach	55
3.5 Data Analysis.....	56
CHAPTER IV ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	57
4.1 Analysis of How and to what extend Indonesia and Japan regulate their fisheries operation and marine conservations according to UNCLOS and corresponding international treaty?	57
4.1.1 Japan and UNCLOS	57
4.1.2 Japan as Archipelagic State/Country	59
4.1.3 Japan and Marine Resource Management.....	60

4.1.3.1 National Marine Resource Management (MRM) in Pursuant to UNCLOS in Japan	61
4.1.3.1.1 Basic Fisheries Planning	63
4.1.3.1.2 Total Allowable Catch (TAC).....	65
4.1.3.1.3 Marine Resource Management Effort.....	68
4.1.3.1.4 Other Specific Law/Lex Specialis.....	73
4.1.3.2 National Marine Resource Management (MRM) According to PSMA from Japan.....	75
4.1.3.3 Japan's Involvement in Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna (CCSBT)	80
4.1.3.4 Intermediary Conclusion.....	81
4.1.4 Indonesia and UNCLOS	83
4.1.5 Indonesia as Archipelagic State/Country	83
4.1.6 Indonesia and Marine Resource Management	86
4.1.6.1 National Marine Resource Management (MRM) in Pursuant to UNCLOS in Indonesia.....	88
4.1.6.1.1 Basic Fisheries Planning	90
4.1.6.1.2 Total Allowable Catch (TAC).....	99
4.1.6.1.3 Marine Resource Management Effort.....	100
4.1.6.1.4 Other Specific Law/Lex Specialis.....	103
4.1.6.2 National Marine Resource Management (MRM) According to PSMA from Indonesia	103
4.1.6.3 Indonesia's Involvement in Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna (CCSBT)	105
4.1.6.4 Intermediary Conclusion.....	105
4.2 Analysis of How and to what extent Indonesia and Japan regulate their fisheries operation and marine conservations according to UNCLOS and corresponding international treaty?	
.....	108

4.2.1 Marine Resource Management (MRM) in Japan and Indonesia	10
4.2.1.1. Indonesian Governance and Law in Pursuant to Marine Resource Management (MRM)	109
4.2.1.2. Clash in Governance regarding Marine Resource Management (MRM) in Indonesia	110
4.2.1.3. Japanese Governance and Law in Pursuant to Marine Resource Management (MRM)	113
4.2.1.4. Clash in Governance regarding Marine Resource Management (MRM) in Japan	114
4.2.1.5. Basic Fisheries Planning	117
4.2.1.5.1 Responsibilites of State	117
4.2.1.5.2 Fishery Household	118
4.2.1.5.3 National and International Cooperation	119
4.2.1.5.4 Assisting Bodies	120
4.2.1.5.5 Annual report	120
4.2.1.5.6 Basic Plan Revision	121
4.2.1.6 . Conservation of Marine Resource	122
4.2.1.6.1 Total Allowable Catch Measures	122
4.2.1.6.2 Licensing	124
4.2.1.6.3 Restriction on Fishing Methods	125
4.2.1.6.4 Protected Water Surface	125
4.2.1.6.5 Protection of Anadromous Fish	126
4.2.1.6.6 Research	126
4.2.1.6.7 Conservation of Remote Island	127

4.2.1.6.8 Specific Conservation of Species.....	127
4.2.3 Intermediary Conclusion	128
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	130
5.1 Conclusion.....	130
5.1.1. Conclusion on How and to what extent Indonesia and Japan Regulate their fisheries Operation and Marine Conservation According to UNCLOS and Corresponding International Treaty.....	130
5.1.2. Conclusion on How and to what extent Indonesia could Adopt Best Regulatory Practices regarding Marine Resource Management in Comparison to Japanese Marine Resource Conservation Law	130
5.2 Recommendations	131
5.2.1. Recommendation on How and to what extent Indonesia and Japan Regulate their fisheries Operation and Marine Conservation According to UNCLOS and Corresponding International Treaty	131
5.2.2. Recommendation on How and to what extent Indonesia could Adopt Best Regulatory Practices regarding Marine Resource Management in Comparison to Japanese Marine Resource Conservation Law	132
BIBLIOGRAPHY	135