

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise the Lord Jesus Christ for His blessings that He so gracefully had given. Without his graciousness, this final paper would not have been completed.

This final paper entitled “**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PREMIUM PRICING, PRODUCT QUALITY AND BRAND USER IMAGERY ON SELF-BRAND CONNECTION AND POSITIVE EWOM**” is aimed to fill the final requirement to get the bachelor’s degree in Economics in Management.

The author would like to thank you for the supervisor Dr. Ir. Evo S. Hariandja, M.M. who has patiently guide and share his knowledge throughout the making of this final paper. Without his utmost support, the author would not have finish this final paper.

The author would also like to thank profusely to all who have assisted in the process of making this final paper, because without their support, encouragement and their prayers, this paper would have been finish in time.

1. Dra. Gracia Shinta, S. Ugut, M.B.A., Ph.D. as the Dean of the Faculty of Economics and Business
2. Ms. Isana S.C. Meranga, S.P., M.M. as the Head of Management Department.
3. Dr. Ir. Evo S. Hariandja, M.M. as my thesis paper supervisor.
4. Dr. Innocentius Bernarto and Ms. Isana S.C. Meranga, S.P., M.M. as my thesis defense examiners.

5. My dad, mom, and two sister, who helped me and support me along the way, giving me prayers which help strengthen me to make this paper done.
6. My partner Jovan Nathanael, who also supports, continuously remind me that this will also past and motivate me to do better, which makes this research paper is possible to be done on time.
7. My fellow campus mates: Annastasya Christanti, Fulyana Surjana, Sania Santoso, Evanny Andoko, Mevia Diandra and others, who helped me get through campus days with a lot of fun and laughter.
8. Last but not least, I want to thank me, I want to thank me for believing in me, for doing all this hard word, for having no days off. I want to thank me for never quitting, for always being a giver and try to give more than I receive, for trying to do more right than wrong. I want to thank me for just being me at all times.

Author realizes that this research has its limitations. Therefor any suggestions and critics to improve this paper are very much appreciated. The author hopes that this research can be helpful and useful for any kind of purposes for the reader.

Karawaci, 28 April, 2021

Author

TABLE OF CONTENTS


<i>COVER</i>	
<i>THESIS APPROVAL</i>	
<i>THESIS DEFENSE COMITTEE</i>	
<i>ABSTRACT</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>TABLE OF CONTENTS</i>	<i>viii</i>
<i>LIST OF FIGURES</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>LIST OF TABLES</i>	<i>xii</i>
<i>LIST OF APPENDICES</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>CHAPTER 1</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	<i>1</i>
1.1 Research Background	<i>1</i>
1.2 Problem Statement	<i>11</i>
1.3 Research objective	<i>13</i>
1.4 Research Question	<i>14</i>
1.5 Research Demarcation	<i>15</i>
1.6 Research Contributions	<i>15</i>
1.7 Outline of the research.....	<i>16</i>
<i>CHAPTER 2</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>LITERATURE REVIEW</i>	<i>18</i>
2.1 Global Brand.....	<i>18</i>
2.2 Premium Pricing	<i>19</i>
2.3 Product Quality	<i>22</i>
2.4 Brand User Imagery Clarity.....	<i>25</i>
2.5 Brand User Imagery Favorability.....	<i>29</i>
2.6 Self-Brand Connection	<i>30</i>
2.7 Positive Electronic Word-Of-Mouth.....	<i>32</i>
2.8 Premium Pricing and Brand User Imagery Clarity (BUIC)	<i>34</i>
2.9 Premium Pricing and Brand User Imagery Favorability	<i>35</i>
2.10 Product Quality and Brand User Imagery Clarity (BUIC)	<i>36</i>

2.11 Product Quality and Brand User Imagery Favorability.....	37
2.12 Brand User Imagery Clarity (BUIC) and Self-brand Connection	38
2.13 Brand User Imagery Favorability and Self-brand Connection	39
2.14 Brand User Imagery Clarity (BUIC) and eWOM.....	40
2.15 Brand User Imagery Favorability and eWOM	41
2.16 Self-brand Connection and eWOM	41
2.17 Conceptual Model.....	43
CHAPTER III	44
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	44
3.1 Research Paradigm	44
3.2 Quantitative Research	46
3.3 Research Design	47
3.4 Research Objects.....	49
3.5 Unit of Analysis	49
3.6 Measurement of Variables.....	50
3.7 Conceptual and Operational Definition	50
3.8 Measurement of Scale.....	54
3.9 Data Collection Method.....	54
3.10 Ethics in Data Collection	55
3.11 Sampling and Sample Size	55
3.12 Methods in Data Analysis	56
3.13 Goodness of the Data	58
3.14 Structural Equation Modelling (SEM).....	59
3.15 Partial Least Squares (PLS)	61
3.16 Preliminary Test.....	63
3.16.1 Convergent Validity Pre-Test	64
3.16.2 Discriminant Validity Pre-Test.....	68
3.16.3 Reliability Pre-Test Result	69
CHAPTER IV.....	72
DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	72
4.1 Respondent Profile	72
4.2 Gender	73
4.3 Age	73
4.4 Profession.....	74

4.5 Monthly Income	75
4.6 Actual Test	75
4.7 Descriptive Statistics	76
4.8 Inferential Statistics	78
4.9 Convergent Validity Test – Actual Test.....	78
4.10 Discriminant Validity Test – Actual Test	81
4.11 Outer Model	83
4.12 Common Method Biased Test	83
4.13 Goodness of Fit	87
4.14 R-Square (R^2).....	89
4.15 Predictive Relevance	91
4.16 Hypothesis Testing.....	92
4.17 Inner Model	98
4.18 Discussion	99
4.19 Comparison between Previous Research and Present Research.....	104
CHAPTER V	106
CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATION	106
5.1 Conclusion	106
5.2 Theoretical Implication.....	108
5.3 Managerial Implications	110
5.4 Limitations and Recommendation for Future Research.....	111
BIBLIOGRAPHY	112
APPENDICES	122

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Unit Sales of Apple by Product Category	2
Figure 1.2 Indonesia Top Smartphone Vendors	4
Figure 1.3 Average Daily Hours Spent Per Device on Mobile.....	7
Figure 2.1 Conceptual Model.....	43
Figure 4.1 Outer Model.....	83
Figure 4.2 Inner Model.....	98



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Conceptual Definition and Operational Definition	51
Table 3. 2 Likert Scale	54
Table 3.3 Outer and Inner Model of Rule of Thumb	62
Table 3.4 Summary of Model Fit Rule of Thumb	63
Table 3.5 Convergent validity Pre-Test Result (Premium Pricing)	64
Table 3.6 Convergent Validity Pre-Test Result (Product Quality)	65
Table 3.7 Convergent Validity Pre-Test Result (Brand User Imagery Clarity)....	65
Table 3.8 Convergent Validity Pre-Test Result (Brand User Imagery favorability)	66
Table 3.9 Convergent Validity Pre-Test Result (Self-Brand Connection)	66
Table 3.10 Convergent Validity Pre-Test Result (Positive eWOM).....	67
Table 3.11 Convergent Validity AVE Pre-Test Result.....	67
Table 3.12 Discriminant Validity Pre-Test Result – Fornell & Larcker criterion	68
Table 3.13 Discriminant Validity Pre-Test Result – HTMT.....	69
Table 3.14 Cronbach’s Reliability Pre-Test Result.....	70
Table 3.15 Composite Reliability Pre-Test Result.....	70
Table 4.1 Gender Percentage	73
Table 4.2 Age Percentage	74
Table 4.3 Profession Percentage	74
Table 4.4 Monthly Income Percentage	75
Table 4.5 Descriptive Statistics	76
Table 4.6 Convergent Validity - Factor Loading > 0.70 for Actual Test	79
Table 4.7 Convergent - AVE Actual Test	80
Table 4.8 Discriminant Validity Result - Fornell&Larcker Criterion for Actual Test	81
Table 4.9 Discriminant Validity - HTMT for Actual Test	82
Table 4.10 Reliability of Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability for Actual Test	82
Table 4.11 Outer VIF Value	84
Table 4.12 Inner VIF Value	85
Table 4.13 Common Method Variance Value	86
Table 4.14 Goodness -of-Fit index calculation.....	87
Table 4.15 Model Fit Summary	88
Table 4.16 R-square Value.....	89
Table 4.17 R-square Adjusted Value	90
Table 4.18 Q square Predictive Relevance	91
Table 4.19 Hypotheses testing Result	93
Table 4.20 Comparison of Previous Research (Xie, Peng , & Hampson, 2020) and Present Research	105

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A QUESTIONNAIRE.....	122
APPENDIX B PRELIMINARY TEST MODEL.....	130
APPENDIX C PRELIMINARY TEST MEASUREMENT MODEL	132
APPENDIX D ACTUAL TEST MEASUREMENT MODEL.....	137
APPENDIX E ACTUAL TEST STRUCTURAL MODEL	142
APPENDIX F ACTUAL TEST PREDICTIVE RELEVANCE	149

