

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise and gratitude to Jesus Christ, only by His grace and blessings that this report titled “OPTIMIZATION OF FLAVOR ACCEPTANCE OF *MATCHA CAJUPUTS*[®] CANDY WITH THE ADDITION OF OTHER FLAVORINGS” can be completed well and in time.

This final report is prepared based on the research that had been done from August 2018 to December 2018. Final project is a final requirement for students who pursue Food Technology Study Program in the Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.

The author humbly realizes that this research and thesis would not be possible to be completed without the help and support of many people. Therefore, the author would like to express the sincerest gratitude to the people listed below who had given their valuable support and guidance, including:

1. Mr. Eric Jobiliong, Ph.D., as the Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology.
2. Mrs. Dela Rosa, M.M., M.Sc., Apt., as the Vice Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology.
3. Mr. Laurence, M.T., as the Director of Administration and Student Affair of Faculty of Science and Technology.
4. Ir. W. Donald R. Pokatong, Ph.D., as the Head of the Food Technology Study Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.

5. Prof. Dr. Ir. C. Hanny Wijaya, M.Agr., as final project supervisor who have provided the author with guidance and support as well as valuable knowledge throughout the final project completion period.
6. Ms. Lucia C. Soedirga, M.Sc., as final project co-supervisor who have generously and thoughtfully given the author the time, support, and guidance throughout the final project period.
7. Mrs. Natania, M.Eng., Head of Food Processing Laboratory, Yuniwati Halim, M.Sc., Head of Quality Control and Research Laboratories, Dr. Tagor M. Siregar, M.Si, Head of Chemistry Laboratory, and Dr. Adolf Parhusip, Head of Microbiology Laboratory, who had given permission for the author to conduct the research in the respective laboratories.
8. Mr. Adzie, Mr. Adi, Mr. Darius, and Mr. Yosafat, for the help and assistance given throughout the research period in the laboratories.
9. All the lecturers in the Food Technology Study Program of Universitas Pelita Harapan who had taught the valuable knowledge and lessons that have helped in the completion of the research and thesis.
10. Beloved parents, Jimmy Darwis and Yohana Francine, to whom the author had owed for the priceless support throughout this undergraduate study.
11. Gabriella Permata Heru, Naomi Nerisha, Jane Ivena, Michelle Adeline, and Marsha Chrestella, as co-supervised research partners, for the support and assistance throughout the final project period.

12. All 35 panelists who had been willing to provide the time and help throughout the panel selection period in the research, without whom this thesis could not be completed.
13. Cynthia Saputra, Nathania Sofie, Angeline Vania, and Teresa, as the author's dearest friends, who have given the support and company throughout the study and research period.
14. Ane Setiawan, Irvan Devin, and George Agung, as the author's close friends, who have supported the author throughout the completion of the thesis.
15. Sicilia Chandra Wijaya, Andrea Angelina, and Chyntia Joe Gisela, Food Technology students of batch 2014, who had given help and information regarding the research.
16. All friends in the Food Technology Study Program batch 2015 for the support throughout the undergraduate study and final project.
17. All parties not mentioned above who have helped the author in any way during the research and completion of this thesis.

The author is fully aware that this thesis is flawed. Therefore, the author is open to any criticism and suggestion that would contribute to the betterment of this thesis. Nevertheless, this thesis is written in the hope that it would be useful for the readers. With this, the author expresses gratitude.

Tangerang, 21st February 2019

Frieska Darwis

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
COVER	
STATEMENT OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY	
APPROVAL BY THESIS SUPERVISORS	
APPROVAL BY THESIS EXAMINATION COMMITTEE	
ABSTRACT	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem	3
1.3 Objectives	4
1.3.1 General Objectives	4
1.3.2 Specific Objectives	4
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Cajuput Oil	5
2.2 Peppermint Oil	6
2.3 Hard Candy	7
2.4 Matcha	8
2.4.1 Application of Matcha for Halitosis Treatment	8
2.5 Flavor Profile of Matcha	10
2.6 Potential Complementary Flavors for Matcha	10
2.6.1 Honey	11


	page
2.6.2 Citrus	11
2.6.3 Caramel.....	11
2.6.4 Coconut.....	12
2.7 Sensory Evaluation.....	12
2.7.1 Quantitative Descriptive Analysis	13
2.7.2 Hedonic Rating Test.....	14
2.7.3 Intensity Scoring Test.....	15
2.7.4 Ranking Test	15
2.8 Response Surface Methodology.....	16
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	
3.1 Materials and Equipment.....	17
3.2 Research Methods	17
3.2.1 Research Stage I.....	18
3.2.1.1 Preparation of Original Matcha Cajuputs Candy	19
3.2.1.2 Panel Selection and Training	20
3.2.1.3 Quantitative Descriptive Analysis.....	21
3.2.1.4 Flavor Characterization of Matcha Cajuputs Candy	22
3.2.2 Research Stage II	23
3.2.2.1 Formulation of Matcha Cajuputs Candy	24
3.2.2.2 Sensory Evaluation of Proposed Formula	26
3.2.2.3 Optimization of Proposed Formula	27
3.2.2.4 Product Verification	27
3.3 Methods of Analysis	28
3.3.1 Moisture Content (AOAC, 2000).....	29
3.3.2 Ash Content (AOAC, 2000)	29
3.3.3 Reducing Sugar (BSN, 2008)	30

	page
3.3.4 Total Soluble Solids (Aggarwal and Michael, 2014).....	32
3.3.5 Color Analysis (Nielsen, 2017).....	32

CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS


4.1 Research Stage I	34
4.1.1 Panel Recruitment and Screening Results	34
4.1.2 Determination of Relevant Flavor Attributes	35
4.1.3 Flavor Characterization	36
4.1.3.1 Matcha Cajuputs Candy	36
4.1.3.2 Cajuputs Candy (Control).....	37
4.1.4 Comparison of Flavor Characteristics between Products.....	38
4.1.5 Acceptance towards Relevant Flavor Attributes.....	41
4.2 Research Stage II	42
4.2.1 Selection of Most Compatible Flavoring	42
4.2.2 Effects of Different Flavorings towards Attribute Intensity.....	43
4.2.2.1 Bitter Intensity	44
4.2.2.2 Herbaceous Intensity	44
4.2.2.3 Burnt Intensity	45
4.2.2.4 Aroma Intensity.....	45
4.2.2.5 Color Intensity	46
4.2.3 Sensory Acceptance of Different Flavorings.....	46
4.2.3.1 Taste	47
4.2.3.2 Aroma	48
4.2.3.3 Color	48
4.2.3.4 Overall	49
4.2.4 Sensory Preference of Different Flavorings	49
4.2.5 Optimization of Flavoring Concentration	50

	page
4.2.6 Optimization Response Analysis	52
4.2.6.1 Scoring Response Analyses	53
4.2.6.2 Hedonic Scoring Response Analyses	58
4.3 Verification Stage	63
4.4 Comparison of Optimum Product and Original Product	64
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
5.1 Conclusion	67
5.2 Suggestion	67
BIBLIOGRAPHY	69



LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 3.1 Flowchart of Research Stage I.....	19
Figure 3.2 Flowchart of Research Stage II	24
Figure 4.1 Spider-web of flavor characteristics of matcha cajuputs candy	36
Figure 4.2 Spider-web of flavor characteristics of cajuputs candy (control).....	38
Figure 4.3 Comparison of flavor characteristics of two products	39
Figure 4.4 Model graph for bitter intensity response	54
Figure 4.5 Model graph for herbaceous intensity response	55
Figure 4.6 Model graph for burnt intensity response	56
Figure 4.7 Model graph for taste hedonic response.....	58
Figure 4.8 Model graph for aroma hedonic response	59



LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 2.1 Chemical constituents of cajuput oil	6
Table 2.2 SNI quality requirements for hard candy	7
Table 3.1 Matcha cajuputs candy formulation	19
Table 3.2. Schedule of QDA training and execution	22
Table 3.3 Experimental design of proposed formula.....	25
Table 3.4 Response surface methodology of flavor optimization	27
Table 4.1. Relevant attributes of matcha cajuputs candy and definitions.....	35
Table 4.2 Perceived intensity of flavor characteristics of two products	41
Table 4.3 Attribute hedonic test result of flavor attributes	41
Table 4.4 Result of intensity scoring test for selection of flavoring.....	43
Table 4.5 Result of hedonic test for selection of flavoring	47
Table 4.6 Result of ranking test for the selection of flavoring.....	50
Table 4.7 RSM prediction for flavor concentration optimization	51
Table 4.8 Responses surface methodology output	52
Table 4.9 Response surface ANOVA	52
Table 4.10 Response summary for the optimization of flavor concentration	62
Table 4.11 Solution prediction for optimized responses	63
Table 4.12 Point prediction and confirmation for flavor concentration	64
Table 4.13 Comparison of optimum product and original product	65
Table 4.14 Formulation of optimum product.....	66

LIST OF APPENDICES

	page
Appendix A. First Research Stage Sensory Evaluation	
A-1. Trained Panelist Recruitment Questionnaire	A-1
A-2. Basic Taste Test Questionnaire for Panel Screening	A-2
A-3. Triangle Test Questionnaire for Panel Screening	A-3
A-4. Matching Test Questionnaire for Panel Screening	A-4
A-5. Scalar Test Questionnaire for Panel Screening.....	A-5
A-6. Focused Group Discussion Instruction for QDA	A-6
A-7. List of Attributes and Definitions for QDA Training	A-6
A-8. Quantitative Descriptive Analysis (QDA) Questionnaire	A-7
A-9. Attribute Hedonic Test Questionnaire for QDA	A-8
Appendix B. Quantitative Descriptive Analysis (QDA) Results	
B-1. QDA I Results of Matcha Cajuputs Candy	B-1
B-2. QDA I Results of Cajuputs Candy (Control)	B-2
B-3. QDA Replication Results of Matcha Cajuputs Candy	B-3
B-4. QDA Replication Results of Cajuputs Candy (Control).....	B-4
B-5 Mean of QDA Results of Matcha Cajuputs Candy	B-5
B-6. Mean of QDA Results of Cajuputs Candy (Control)	B-5
Appendix C. Statistical Analysis of QDA Data Replication	
C. One-way ANOVA of QDA Data Replication	C-1
Appendix D. Statistical Analysis of Flavor Attributes of QDA Results	
D-1. One-way ANOVA of ‘Minty’ Attribute	D-1
D-2. One-way ANOVA of ‘Cooling’ Attribute	D-1
D-3. One-way ANOVA of ‘Spicy’ Attribute	D-1
D-4. One-way ANOVA of ‘Cajuput’ Attribute	D-1

	page
D-5. One-way ANOVA of ‘Warm’ Attribute.....	D-1
D-6. One-way ANOVA of ‘Herbaceous’ Attribute	D-1
D-7. One-way ANOVA of ‘Burnt’ Attribute	D-2
D-8. One-way ANOVA of ‘Green Tea’ Attribute	D-2
D-9. One-way ANOVA of ‘Sweet’ Attribute.....	D-2
D-10. One-way ANOVA of ‘Bitter’ Attribute.....	D-2
D-11. One-way ANOVA of ‘Cool After-taste’ Attribute	D-2
D-12. One-way ANOVA of ‘Bitter After-taste’ Attribute	D-2
Appendix E. Attribute Hedonic Test Results	
E. Attribute Hedonic Test Results of Matcha Cajuputs Candy	E-1
Appendix F. Statistical Analysis of Attribute Hedonic Test Results	
F-1. One-way ANOVA of Attribute Hedonic Scores	F-1
F-2. Duncan Post Hoc Test Result for Attribute Hedonic Scores.....	F-1
Appendix G. Second Research Stage Sensory Evaluation	
G-1. Intensity Scoring Test Questionnaire	G-1
G-2. Hedonic Scoring Test Questionnaire.....	G-1
G-3. Ranking Test Questionnaire.....	G-3
Appendix H. Intensity Scoring Test I Results	
H-1. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy (Control).....	H-1
H-2. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Caramel Flavoring (0.1%).....	H-3
H-3. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Citrus Flavoring (0.1%)	H-5

H-4. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Coconut Flavoring (0.1%).....	H-7
H-5. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Honey Flavoring (0.1%)	H-9
H-6. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Caramel Flavoring (1%)	H-11
H-7. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Citrus Flavoring (1%)	H-13
H-8. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Coconut Flavoring (1%)	H-15
H-9. Intensity Scoring Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Honey Flavoring (1%)	H-17
Appendix I. Hedonic Test I Results	
I-1. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy (Control)	I-1
I-2. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Caramel Flavoring (0.1%).....	I-3
I-3. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Citrus Flavoring (0.1%).....	I-5
I-4. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Coconut Flavoring (0.1%).....	I-7
I-5. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Honey Flavoring (0.1%).....	I-9
I-6. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Caramel Flavoring (1%)	I-11
I-7. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Citrus Flavoring (1%)	I-13
I-8. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Coconut Flavoring (1%)	I-15

I-9. Hedonic Test Result for Matcha Cajuputs Candy with Honey
 Flavoring (1%)I-17

Appendix J. Ranking Test Results

J. Ranking Test Results J-1

Appendix K. Statistical Analysis of Intensity Scoring Test I Results

K-1. Univariate Analysis for ‘Bitter’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-1

K-2. Multiple Comparisons for ‘Bitter’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-1

K-3. Post Hoc Tests for ‘Bitter’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-2

K-4. Univariate Analysis for ‘Grassy’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-2

K-5. Multiple Comparisons for ‘Grassy’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-3

K-6. Post Hoc Tests for ‘Grassy’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-3

K-7. Univariate Analysis for ‘Burnt’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-4

K-8. Multiple Comparisons for ‘Burnt’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-4

K-9. Post Hoc Tests for ‘Burnt’ Attribute on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-5

K-10. Univariate Analysis for Aroma Parameter on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-5

K-11. Multiple Comparisons for Aroma Parameter on Intensity
 Scoring Test I K-6

	page
K-12. Post Hoc Tests for Aroma Parameter on Intensity	
Scoring Test I	K-6
K-13. Univariate Analysis for Color Parameter on Intensity	
Scoring Test I	K-7
K-14. Multiple Comparisons for Color Parameter on Intensity	
Scoring Test I	K-7
K-15. Post Hoc Tests for Color Parameter on Intensity	
Scoring Test I	K-8
Appendix L. Statistical Analysis of Hedonic Test I Results	
L-1. One-way ANOVA of Taste Parameter on Hedonic Test I.....	L-1
L-2. Duncan Post Hoc Test for Taste Parameter on Hedonic Test I....	L-1
L-3. One-way ANOVA of Aroma Parameter on Hedonic Test I.....	L-1
L-4. Duncan Post Hoc Test for Aroma Parameter on Hedonic Test I .	L-1
L-5. One-way ANOVA of Color Parameter on Hedonic Test I.....	L-1
L-6. Duncan Post Hoc Test for Color Parameter on Hedonic Test I ...	L-1
L-7. One-way ANOVA of Overall Parameter on Hedonic Test I	L-2
L-8. Duncan Post Hoc Test for Overall Parameter on Hedonic Test I.	L-2
M. Statistical Analysis of Ranking Test Results	
M-1. Univariate Analysis of Ranking Test Results	M-1
M-2. Multiple Comparisons of Ranking Test Results.....	M-1
M-3. Post Hoc Test for Ranking Test Results	M-1
Appendix N. Scoring Test II Results	
N-1. RSM Prediction for Flavor Concentration Optimization	N-1
N-2. Scoring Test II Result for Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 1	N-1

	page
N-3. Scoring Test II Result for Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 2	N-3
N-4. Scoring Test II Result for Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 3	N-5
N-5. Scoring Test II Result for Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 4	N-7
N-6. Scoring Test II Result for Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 5	N-9
N-7. Scoring Test II Result for Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 6	N-11
N-8. Scoring Test II Result for Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 7	N-13
N-9. Scoring Test II Result for Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 8	N-15
Appendix O. Hedonic Test II Results	
O-1. Hedonic Test II Result of Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 1	O-1
O-2. Hedonic Test II Result of Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 2	O-3
O-3. Hedonic Test II Result of Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 3	O-5
O-4. Hedonic Test II Result of Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 4	O-7
O-5. Hedonic Test II Result of Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 5	O-9
O-6. Hedonic Test II Result of Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 6	O-11

	page
O-7. Hedonic Test II Result of Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 7	O-13
O-8. Hedonic Test II Result of Flavor Concentration	
Optimization Run 8	O-15
Appendix P. Optimization of Flavor Concentration	
P-1. Response Surface Methodology Design Output for Flavor	
Concentration	P-1
P-2. ANOVA for Cubic Model of Taste Parameter in RSM	
Optimization.....	P-1
P-3. Fit Statistics for Taste Parameter in RSM Optimization.....	P-1
P-4. ANOVA for Cubic Model of Aroma Parameter in RSM	
Optimization.....	P-1
P-5. Fit Statistics for Aroma Parameter in RSM Optimization	P-1
P-6. ANOVA for Cubic Model of Overall Parameter in RSM	
Optimization.....	P-1
P-7. Fit Statistics for Overall Parameter in RSM Optimization	P-2
P-8. ANOVA for Linear Model of ‘Bitter’ Parameter in RSM	
Optimization.....	P-2
P-9. Fit Statistics for ‘Bitter’ Parameter in RSM Optimization.....	P-2
P-10. ANOVA for Cubic Model of ‘Grassy’ Parameter in RSM	
Optimization.....	P-2
P-11. Fit Statistics for ‘Grassy’ Parameter in RSM Optimization.....	P-2
P-12. ANOVA for Cubic Model of ‘Burnt’ Parameter in RSM	
Optimization.....	P-2
P-13. Fit Statistics for ‘Burnt’ Parameter in RSM Optimization.....	P-3
Appendix Q. Sensory Evaluation Results of Verification Stage	
Q-1. Verification Stage Hedonic and Scoring Test Results	Q-1

page

Q-2. Point Prediction and Confirmation for Flavor Concentration	Q-2
Q-3. One-way ANOVA of Comparisan between Optimum and Original Product	Q-3

Appendix R. Proximate Analysis

R-1. Moisture Content Data of Control and Optimum Product.....	R-1
R-2. Moisture Content of Control and Optimum Product.....	R-1
R-3. Ash Content Data of Control and Optimum Product	R-1
R-4. Ash Content of Control and Optimum Product.....	R-1
R-5. Chromameter Measurement Result of Control and Optimum Product	R-2
R-6. Refractometer Measurement Result of Control and Optimum Product	R-2
R-7. Reducing Sugar Measurement Result of Control and Optimum Product	R-2
R-8. Image of Optimum Product.....	R-3

