

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

May all glory be to the Lord Almighty for His countless blessings, continuous guidance and love that made it possible for this thesis entitled “The Impact of Gender-Diverse Monitoring Personnel on Financial Reporting Quality” to be completed well and according to His will. This thesis is written to attain the Bachelor Degree in Accounting at the Business School of Universitas Pelita Harapan, Lippo Village, Tangerang, Banten. The writer realises that without the continuous support and guidance from all parties, this thesis could not have been done well. On that note, the writer wishes to thank and give the highest respect to these names written:

1. Dra. Gracia Shinta S. Ugut, M.B.A., Ph.D., as the Dean of Business School, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
2. Dr. Antonius Herusetya, Ak., M.M., CA., as the Head of Study Program of Accounting.
3. The supervisor of this thesis, Dr. Antonius Herusetya, Ak., M.M., CA., for his time, guidance, and patience since the beginning of this thesis.
4. The academic advisor, Dr. Antonius Herusetya, Ak., M.M., CA., for his guidance throughout my studies in UPH.
5. All the lecturers and staff at UPH for their support and continuous help throughout my studies in UPH.
6. The writer’s parents, big brother, and family friends for their continuous love, support and advice.

7. The writer's best friends Debby, Billy, Ricky, Daniel, Edmund for their never-ending support, and for providing a shoulder to lean on at all times.
8. Friends in UPH Cindy, Evalia, Giffin, Juliana, Monica, Nikki, Tamara, and Tesalia for their support and all the laughter that helped writer get through university's life.
9. The writer's mentor, Dylan, who has been there in times of need, guiding his mentees every step of the way since semester 1.
10. Friends from AKUN 2: Claudia, Elia, Elleta, Endrico, Jackie, Lim Hyeman, Meiliska, and Phoeby.
11. Fellow classmates in A class Accounting 2015 who have been through this journey together in UPH since the beginning.

The writer also realises that this thesis is still far from perfection, and wishes to receive any critics, advices, and suggestions as an input for the writer to improve in the future.

Lastly, the writer wishes that this thesis could be helpful in any way and may be put to good use.

Lippo Village, 9 May 2019

Author

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	8
1.3 Research Objective	9
1.4 Significance of the Study.....	9
1.5 Scope of the Study.....	10
1.6 Systematic Discussion	11
CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESIS	
DEVELOPMENT	
2.1 Basic Concept Definition	13
2.1.1 Financial Reporting Quality	13
2.1.1.1 Earnings Management.....	16
2.1.2 Corporate Governance	19
2.1.2.1 Corporate Boards	21
2.1.2.1.1 Board of Commissioner	21

2.1.2.1.2 Board of Directors.....	22
2.1.2.2 Audit Committee.....	24
2.1.2.3 External Auditor.....	26
2.2 Literature Review	28
2.3 Conceptual Framework	35
2.4 Hypothesis Development.....	36
2.4.1 The impact of gender diversity in monitoring personnel towards financial reporting quality.....	36
 CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Population and Sample.....	42
3.2 Empirical Model.....	44
3.3 Operational Variable Definition.....	45
3.3.1 Dependent Variable (Financial Reporting Quality).....	45
3.3.2 Independent Variable (Gender-Diverse Monitoring Personnel).....	47
3.3.3 Moderating Variable.....	48
3.3.4 Control Variables.....	49
3.3.4.1 Size (SIZE).....	49
3.3.4.2 Leverage (LEV)	50
3.3.4.3 Sales Growth (SGROWTH).....	50
3.3.4.4 Cash Flow from Operations (CFO).....	51
3.3.4.5 Big Four (BIG4).....	51
3.4 Method of Data Analysis.....	52
3.4.1 Descriptive Statistics	52

3.4.2 Correlation Analysis	53
3.4.3 Test of Classical Assumption	53
3.4.3.1 Normality Test	54
3.4.3.2 Multicollinearity.....	54
3.4.3.3 Heteroscedasticity Test	55
3.4.4 Hypothesis Testing	56
3.4.4.1 ANOVA or F-Statistics	56
3.4.4.2 Coefficient of Determination (R-Squared).....	57
3.4.4.3 t-Statistics.....	57

CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Descriptive Statistics	58
4.2 Correlation Analysis.....	61
4.3 Test of Classical Assumption	64
4.3.1 Normality	64
4.3.2 Multicollinearity	67
4.3.3 Heteroscedasticity – White and Breusch-Pagan Test	69
4.4 Hypothesis Testing	71
4.4.1 Result of Hypothesis Testing for Model 1.....	71
4.4.1.1 ANOVA or F-Statistics	72
4.4.1.2 Coefficient of Determination (R-Squared).....	72
4.4.1.3 t-Statistics	73
4.4.1.3.1 t-Statistics for Hypothesis 1	73
4.4.1.3.2 t-Statistics for Hypothesis 2	75

4.4.1.3.3 t-Statistics for Hypothesis 3	76
4.4.1.3.4 t-Statistics for Control Variables.....	77
4.4.2 Result of Hypothesis Testing for Model 2.....	77
4.4.2.1 ANOVA or F-Statistics	78
4.4.2.2 Coefficient of Determination (R-Squared).....	79
4.4.2.3 t-Statistics	79
4.4.2.3.1 t-Statistics for Independent Variables	79
4.4.2.3.2 t-Statistics for Interaction Variables.....	81
4.4.2.3.3 t-Statistics for Control Variables.....	82
 CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1 Conclusion.....	84
5.2 Research Limitations.....	85
5.3 Recommendation.....	87
 REFERENCES	
APPENDIX	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Conceptual Framework.....	35
--------------------------------------	----



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 List of Prior Studies	33
Table 3.1 Sampling Criteria for the Research	43
Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics	58
Table 4.2 Result of Correlation Analysis	61
Table 4.3 Result of Test of Normality – Shapiro-Wilk Test	64
Table 4.4 Result of Test of Normality – Skewness Value	64
Table 4.5 Result of Test of Normality After Treatment – Skewness Value	65
Table 4.6 Result of Test of Normality After Treatment – Shapiro-Wilk Test	66
Table 4.7 Result of Test of Multicollinearity – Model 1 (H_1, H_2, H_3)	67
Table 4.8 Result of Test of Multicollinearity – Model 2 (H_4)	68
Table 4.9 Result of Heteroskedasticity Test – White – Model 1 (H_1, H_2, H_3)	69
Table 4.10 Result of Heteroskedasticity Test – Breusch-Pagan – Model 1 (H_1, H_2, H_3)	69
Table 4.11 Result of Heteroskedasticity Test – White – Model 2 (H_4)	70
Table 4.12 Result of Heteroskedasticity Test – Breusch-Pagan – Model 2 (H_4) ..	70
Table 4.13 Result of Regression Model – Model 1 (H_1, H_2, H_3)	71
Table 4.14 Result of Regression Model – Model 2 (H_4)	77
Table 4.15 Summary of Results	83