

CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Test Result

The research carried out resulted in a product which would then be assessed by conducting a trial assessment aimed at 50 panelists. The following is a list of the names of the panelists who participated to provide an assessment in the research conducted.

TABLE 3

List of Panelists

Number	Panelist Name	Notes
1.	Jason Oei	Consumer Panelist
2.	Oren Wahyudy	Consumer Panelist
3.	Mollie Ivory	Consumer Panelist
4.	Johanes	Consumer Panelist
5.	Lily	Consumer Panelist
6.	Vivy Djap	Consumer Panelist
7.	Lukas	Consumer Panelist
8.	Susan Djap	Consumer Panelist
9.	Cen Ming	Consumer Panelist
10.	Kent	Consumer Panelist

11.	Ani	Consumer Panelist
12.	Desy	Consumer Panelist
13.	Micheal Hung	Consumer Panelist
14.	Elizabeth Tiffany	Consumer Panelist
15.	Shannon	Consumer Panelist
16.	Ensa	Consumer Panelist
17.	Feren Rukmansa	Consumer Panelist
18.	Alexius William	Consumer Panelist
19.	Ben	Consumer Panelist
20.	Handreas	Consumer Panelist
21.	Grace	Consumer Panelist
22.	Sumartini Rahman	Consumer Panelist
23.	Peter Wang Suriyanto	Consumer Panelist
24.	Samuel Aprilio	Consumer Panelist
25.	Christopher Felix	Consumer Panelist
26.	Ricky Ryan	Consumer Panelist
27.	Gideon Clement Putra	Consumer Panelist
28.	Rahel Cendra Mulyani	Consumer Panelist
29.	Yusuf Chandra	Consumer Panelist
30.	Lidya Zhuang	Consumer Panelist
31.	Daniel Cendrawan	Consumer Panelist

32.	Felix Fernando	Consumer Panelist
33.	Angel Fransisca	Consumer Panelist
34.	Keng Siang	Consumer Panelist
35.	Kristiana	Consumer Panelist
36.	Joshua Brian	Consumer Panelist
37.	Ribka Mulyani	Consumer Panelist
38.	Raisa Cuanda	Consumer Panelist
39.	Rusianto	Consumer Panelist
40.	Yusuf Cendrawan	Consumer Panelist
41.	Tou Halasan	Consumer Panelist
42.	Meiliyanti	Consumer Panelist
43.	Tri Yudha	Consumer Panelist
44.	Dora Amanda	Consumer Panelist
45.	Devina	Consumer Panelist
46.	Thrasya	Consumer Panelist
47.	Kim Im	Consumer Panelist
48.	Filipus	Consumer Panelist
49.	Hellen	Consumer Panelist
50.	Oei Taithim	Consumer Panelist

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

1. Hedonic Test Result

The hedonic test is based on the 6 scales which are:

1 = Strongly Disagree(STD)

2 = Disagree(D)

3= Slightly Disagree(SLD)

4= Slightly Agree(SLA)

5 = Agree (A)

6 = Strongly Agree (STA)




TABLE 4

Hedonic Test Aroma Result of Soto Ayam Lamongan

Aroma

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.00
	SLA	8	16.0	16.0	20.0	
	A	28	56.0	56.0	76.0	
	STA	12	24.0	24.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 5

Hedonic Test Taste of Soto Ayam Lamongan

Taste

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	1	2.0	2.0	4.0	5.12
	SLA	10	20.0	20.0	22.0	
	A	21	42.0	42.0	64.0	
	STA	18	36.0	36.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 6

Hedonic Test Texture Result of Soto Ayam Lamongan

Texture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	D	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.78
	SLD	1	2.0	2.0	4.0	
	SLA	11	22.0	22.0	26.0	
	A	32	64.0	64.0	90.0	
	STA	5	10.0	10.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 7

Hedonic Taste Appearance Result of Soto Ayam Lamongan

Appearance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	8	16.0	16.0	16.0	4.36
	SLA	25	50.0	50.0	66.0	
	A	8	16.0	16.0	82.0	
	STA	9	16.0	16.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

Based on the table for the aroma variable under Soto Ayam Lamongan, we can see that 2 people, which is 4% of the panelist, slightly dislike it. 8 people, who is about 16% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 28 people, who are 56% of the panelists, liked it. 12 people, who is 24% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Ayam Lamongan's aroma is 5.00.

Based on the table for the taste variable under Soto Ayam Lamongan, we can see that 1 person, who is 2% of the panelist, slightly dislikes it. 10 people, who is about 20% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 21 people, who is 42% of the panelists, liked it. 18 people, who is 36% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Ayam Lamongan's taste is 5.12.

Based on the table for the texture variable under Soto Ayam Lamongan, we can see that 1 person, who is 2% of the panelists, dislikes it. 1 person, who is 2% of the panelist, slightly dislikes it. 11 people, who is about 22% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 32 people, who is 64% of the panelists, liked it. 5 people, who is 10% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Ayam Lamongan's texture is 4.78.

Based on the table for the appearance variable under Soto Ayam Lamongan, we can see that 8 people, which is 16% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 25 people, who is about 50% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 8 people, who is 16% of the panelists, liked it. 9 people, who is 18% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Ayam Lamongan's appearance is 4.36.

TABLE 8
Hedonic Aroma Result of Soto Betawi

Aroma

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLA	6	12.0	12.0	12.0	5.28
	A	24	48.0	48.0	60.0	
	STA	20	40.0	40.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 9

Hedonic Taste Result of Soto Betawi

Taste

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLA	9	18.0	18.0	18.0	5.44
	A	20	40.0	40.0	58.0	
	STA	21	42.0	42.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 10

Hedonic Texture Result of Soto Betawi

Texture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	10	20.0	20.0	20.0	4.46
	SLA	14	28.0	28.0	48.0	
	A	19	38.0	38.0	86.0	
	STA	7	14.0	14.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 11

Hedonic Appearance Result of Soto Betawi

Appearance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	D	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.24
	SLD	10	20.0	20.0	24	
	SLA	16	32.0	32.0	56.0	
	A	18	36.0	36.0	92.0	
	STA	4	8.0	8.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

Based on the table for the aroma variable under Soto Betawi, we can see that 6 people, which is about 12% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 24 people, who is 48% of the panelists, liked it. 20 people, who is 40% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Betawi's aroma is 5.28. Based on the table for the taste variable under Soto Betawi, we can see that 9 people, which is about 18% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 20 people, who is 40% of the panelists, liked it. 21 people, who is 42% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Betawi's taste is 5.44.

Based on the table for the texture variable under Soto Betawi, we can see that 10 people, which is 20% of the panelists, slightly disliked it. 14 people, who is 28% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 19 people, who is 38% of the panelists, liked it. 7 people, who is 14% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Betawi's texture is 4.66.

Based on the table for the appearance variable under Soto Betawi, we can see that 2 people, which is 4% of the panelists, disliked it. 10 people, who is 20% of the panelists, slightly disliked it. 16 people, who is 32% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 18 people, who is 36% of the panelists, liked it. 4 people, who is 8% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Betawi's appearance is 4.24.

TABLE 12
Hedonic Taste Aroma Result of Sop Ikan Batam
Aroma

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	1	2.0	2.0		25.22
	SLA	5	10.0	10.0	12.0	
	A	26	52.0	52.0	64.0	
	STA	18	36.0	36.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 13

Hedonic Taste Result of Sop Ikan Batam

Taste

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	3	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.14
	SLA	6	12.0	12.0	18.0	
	A	22	44.0	44.0	62.0	
	STA	19	38.0	38.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 14

Hedonic Texture Result of Sop Ikan Batam

Texture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	6	12.0	12.0	12.0	4.46
	SLA	19	38.0	38.0	50.0	
	A	21	42.0	42.0	92.0	
	STA	4	8.0	8.0	100.0	

	Total	50	100.0	100.0	
--	-------	----	-------	-------	--

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 15

Hedonic Appearance Result of Sop Ikan Batam

Appearance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	D	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.08
	SLD	16	32.0	32.0	34.0	
	SLA	14	28.0	28.0	62.0	
	A	16	32.0	32.0	94.0	
	STA	3	6.0	6.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

Based on the table for the aroma variable under Sop Ikan Batam, we can see that 1 person, who is 2% of the panelists, slightly dislikes it. 5 people, who is about 10% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 26 people, who is 52% of the panelists, liked it. 18 people, who is 36% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Sop Ikan Batam's aroma is 5.22. Based on the table for the taste variable under Sop Ikan Batam, we can see that 3 people, which is 6% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 6 people, who is about 12% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 22 people, who is 44% of the panelists, liked it. 19 people, who is 38% of the panelists, very much liked it. The

result of the Mean of Sop Ikan Batam's taste is 5.14.

Based on the table for the texture variable under Sop Ikan Batam, we can see that 6 people, which is 12% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 19 people, who is about 38% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 21 people, who is 42% of the panelists, liked it. 4 people, who is 8% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Sop Ikan Batam's texture is 4.46.

Based on the table for the appearance variable under Sop Ikan Batam, we can see that 1 person, who is 2% of the panelists, dislikes it. 16 people, who is 32% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 14 people, who is about 28% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 16 people, who is 32% of the panelists, liked it. 3 people, who is 6% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Sop Ikan Batam's appearance is 4.08.

TABLE 16
Hedonic Aroma Result of Rawon
Aroma

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	D	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.92
	SLD	3	6.0	6.0	6.0	
	SLA	7	14.0	14.0	22.0	

	A	27	54.0	54.0	76.0
	STA	12	24.0	24.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 17
Hedonic Taste Result of Rawon

Taste

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	3	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.14
	SLA	5	10.0	10.0	16.0	
	A	24	48.0	48.0	64.0	
	STA	18	36.0	36.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 18
Hedonic Texture Result of Rawon

Texture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	11	22.0	22.0	22.0	4.40
	SLA	13	26.0	26.0	48.0	
	A	21	42.0	42.0	90.0	
	STA	5	10.0	10.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 19
Hedonic Appearance Result of Rawon
Appearance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	D	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	4.12
	SLD	15	30.0	30.0	32.0	
	SLA	15	30.0	30.0	62.0	
	A	15	30.0	30.0	92.0	
	STA	4	8.0	8.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

Based on the table for the aroma variable under Rawon, we can see that 1

person, who is 2% of the panelists, dislikes it. 3 people, who is 6% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 7 people, who is about 14% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 27 people, who is 54% of the panelists, liked it. 12 people, who is 24% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Rawon's aroma is 4.92.

Based on the table for the taste variable under Rawon, we can see that 3 people, which is 6% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 5 people, who is about 10% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 24 people, who is 48% of the panelists, liked it. 18 people, who is 26% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Rawon's taste is 5.14.

Based on the table for the texture variable under Rawon, we can see that 11 people, which is 22% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 13 people, who is about 26% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 21 people, who is 42% of the panelists, liked it. 5 people, who is 10% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Rawon's texture is 4.40.

Based on the table for the appearance variable under Rawon, we can see that 1 person, who is 2% of the panelists, dislikes it. 15 people, who is 30% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 15 people, who is about 30% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 15 people, who is 30% of the panelists, liked it. 4 people, who is 8% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Rawon's appearance is 4.12.

2. Hedonic Quality Test Result

The hedonic test is based on the 6 scales which are: 1 = Strongly Disagree (STD)

2 = Disagree (D)

3= Slightly Disagree(SLD)

4=Slightly Agree(SLA)

5 = Agree (A)

6 = Strongly Agree (STA)

TABLE 20

Hedonic Quality Aroma Result of Soto Ayam Lamongan

Aroma

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLA	7	14.0	14.0	14.0	5.20
	A	26	52.0	52.0	66.0	
	STA	17	34.0	34.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 21

Hedonic Quality Taste Result of Soto Ayam Lamongan

Taste

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLA	8	16.0	16.0	16.0	5.20

	A	24	48.0	48.0	64.0
	STA	18	36.0	36.0	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 22

Hedonic Quality Texture Result of Soto Ayam Lamongan

Texture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	7	14.0	14.0	14.0	4.46
	SLA	19	38.0	38.0	52.0	
	A	18	36.0	36.0	88.0	
	STA	6	12.0	12.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 23

Hedonic Quality Appearance Result of Soto Ayam Lamongan

Appearance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	6	12.0	12.0	12.0	4.48
	SLA	21	42.0	42.0	54.0	

A	16	32.0	32.0	86.0
STA	7	14.0	14.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

Based on the table for the aroma variable under Soto Ayam Lamongan, we can see that 7 people, which is about 14% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 26 people, who is 52% of the panelists, liked it. 17 people, who is 34% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Ayam Lamongan's aroma is 5.20.

Based on the table for the taste variable under Soto Ayam Lamongan, we can see that 8 people, which is about 16% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 24 people, who is 48% of the panelists, liked it. 18 people, who is 36% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Ayam Lamongan's taste is 5.20.

Based on the table for the texture variable under Soto Ayam Lamongan, we can see that 7 people, which is 14% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 19 people, who is about 38% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 18 people, who is 36% of the panelists, liked it. 6 people, who is 12% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Ayam Lamongan's texture is 4.46.

Based on the table for the appearance variable under Soto Ayam Lamongan, we can see that 6 people, which is 12% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 21 people,

who is about 42% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 16 people, who is 32% of the panelists, liked it. 7 people, who is 14% of the panelists, very much liked it. Soto Ayam Lamongan's appearance is The result of the Mean of Soto Ayam Lamongan's appearance is 4.48.

TABLE 24

Hedonic Quality Aroma Result of Soto Betawi
Aroma

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	1	2	2.0	2.0	5.08
	SLA	7	14	14.0	14.0	
	A	29	58	58.0	58.0	
	STA	13	26	26.0	26.0	
	Total	50	100	100.0	100.0	

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 25

Hedonic Quality Taste Result of Soto Betawi
Taste

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.84

SLA	15	30.0	30.0	34.0
A	22	44.0	44.0	78.0
STA	11	22.0	22.0	100.0
Total	50	100.0	100.0	

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 26

Hedonic Quality Texture Result of Soto Betawi

Texture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	10	20.0	20.0	20.0	4.34
	SLA	18	36.0	36.0	56.0	
	A	17	34.0	34.0	90.0	
	STA	5	10.0	10.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 27

Hedonic Quality Appearance Result of Soto Betawi

Appearance

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	16	32.0	32.0	32.0	4.06
	SLA	20	40.0	40.0	72.0	
	A	9	18.0	18.0	90.0	
	STA	5	10.0	10.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

Based on the table for the aroma variable under Soto Betawi, we can see that 1 person, who is 2% of the panelists, slightly dislikes it. 7 people, who is about 14% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 29 people, who is 58% of the panelists, liked it. 13 people, who is 26% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Betawi's aroma is 5.08.

Based on the table for the taste variable under Soto Betawi, we can see that 2 people, which is 4% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 15 people, who is about 30% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 22 people, who is 44% of the panelists, liked it. 11 people, who is 22% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Betawi's taste is 4.84.

Based on the table for the texture variable under Soto Betawi, we can see that 10 people, which is 20% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 18 people, who is about 36% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 17 people, who is 34% of the panelists, liked it.

5 people, who is 10% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Betawi's texture is 4.34.

Based on the table for the appearance variable under Soto Betawi, we can see that 16 people, which is 32% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 20 people, who is about 40% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 9 people, who is 18% of the panelists, liked it. 5 people, who is 10% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Soto Betawi's appearance is 4.06.

TABLE 28

Hedonic Quality Aroma Result of Sop Ikan Batam

Aroma

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLA	10	20.0	20.0	20.0	5.12
	A	24	48.0	48.0	68.0	
	STA	16	32.0	32.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 29

Hedonic Quality Taste Result of Sop Ikan Batam

Taste

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean

Valid	SLD	3	6.0	6.0	6.0	4.80
	SLA	15	30.0	30.0	36.0	
	A	21	42.0	42.0	78.0	
	STA	11	22.0	22.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 30

Hedonic Quality Texture Result of Sop Ikan Batam

Texture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	5	10.0	10.0	10.0	4.40
	SLA	24	48.0	48.0	58.0	
	A	17	34.0	34.0	92.0	
	STA	4	8.0	8.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 31

Hedonic Quality Appearance Result of Sop Ikan Batam

Appearance

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean

Valid	SLD	21	42.0	42.0	10.0	4.04
	SLA	13	26.0	26.0	58.0	
	A	9	18.0	18.0	92.0	
	STA	7	14.0	14.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

Based on the table for the aroma variable under Sop Ikan Batam, we can see that 10 people, which is about 20% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 24 people, who is 48% of the panelists, liked it. 16 people, who is 32% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Sop Ikan Batam's aroma is 5.12.

Based on the table for the taste variable under Sop Ikan Batam, we can see that 3 people, which is 6% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 15 people, who is about 30% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 21 people, who is 42% of the panelists, liked it. 11 people, who is 22% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Sop Ikan Batam's taste is 4.80.

Based on the table for the texture variable under Sop Ikan Batam, we can see that 5 people, which is 10% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 24 people, who is about 48% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 17 people, who is 34% of the panelists, liked it. 4 people, who is 8% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Sop

Ikan Batam's texture is 4.40.

Based on the table for the appearance variable under Sop Ikan Batam, we can see that 21 people, which is 42% of the panelists, slightly dislike it. 13 people, who is about 26% of the panelists, slightly liked it. 9 people, who is 18% of the panelists, liked it. 7 people, who is 14% of the panelists, very much liked it. The result of the Mean of Sop Ikan Batam's appearance is 4.04.




TABLE 32

Hedonic Quality Aroma Result of Rawon

Aroma

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	4	8.0	8.0	8.0	4.86
	SLA	9	18.0	18.0	26.0	
	A	27	54.0	54.0	80.0	
	STA	10	20.0	20.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 33

Hedonic Quality Taste Result of Rawon

Taste

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	7	14.0	14.0	14.0	4.56
	SLA	15	30.0	30.0	44.0	
	A	21	42.0	42.0	86.0	
	STA	7	14.0	14.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 34
Hedonic Quality Texture Result of Rawon
Texture

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent	Mean
Valid	SLD	7	14.0	14.0	14.0	4.42
	SLA	20	40.0	40.0	54.0	
	A	18	36.0	46.0	90.0	
	STA	5	10.0	10.0	100.0	
	Total	50	100.0	100.0		

Source: Results of Data Processed (2021)

TABLE 35
Hedonic Quality Appearance Result of Rawon
Appearance