ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis, "JURIDICAL ANALYSIS ON THE AMBALAT CONFLICT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNCLOS 1982: AVENUES AND CONSIDERATIONS", is written in hopes to bridge the gaps of knowledge in tackling this issue, and the Author hopes that it serves to contribute to the development of International Law as well as International Relations.

I would like to first express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Bintan R. Saragih, S.H., the Dean of the Faculty of Law of Universitas Pelita Harapan, as well as Dr. Vincensia Esti Purnama Sari, S.H., M.Hum., the Head of the Faculty of Law of Universitas Pelita Harapan, for their approvals and acknowledgements of my Thesis Defense, providing me the opportunity to demonstrate my knowledge and skill.

To Dr. iur. Dian Parluhutan, S.H., LL.M., I express my deepest thanks for providing me immaculate guidance and steadfast support in the progress of my writings. His unrelenting passion and keen observations were instrumental in providing me the counsel and direction for my writings, providing me great strides in expanding my thoughts at every turn. With your constant aid, I was able to ensure my ideas were written with zeal and gusto.

To Ms. Jessica Vincentia Marpaung, S.H., LL.M, I thank you profusely for your accommodating kindness and meticulous scrutiny in sizing up the scope and direction of my concepts. Your relentless critiques in our discussions throughout the months have provided me much clarity in creating focus in my thoughts and establishing my perspectives. With your guidance, I was able to clearly perceive my goals for this thesis.

To Dr. Michelle Engel Limenta, I thank you for your diligent dissection of my thesis during my Thesis Defense Presentation. Your knowledge and contextual backgrounds regarding the topic have led me to consider further improvements I can make, while giving me the courage to showcase my understanding of the topics and relevant subject matters.

To Ms. Jessica Los Banos, LL.B., MBA, I thank you for having developed my interest in the field of International Law, as both my Moot Court Coach and my Lecturer for various classes. Through your guidance and teachings, I truly developed a passion for this field of study. You inspire me with your dedication to your students and your work.

To my parents, Hendrik Halim and Feranita, for being my constant companions through life and my pillars of support. Your encouragements and trust throughout the years have given me the strength to wake up and challenge each day. This thesis is a testament to your faith in me, I hope I made you proud.

To my friends, Geraldo Nathaniel and Leonardo Laksamana, for being my shoulders to lean on and my brothers-in-arms. Your unconditional assistance and friendship have assisted me greatly in ensuring I stayed strong in troubling times. I will cherish our friendship and hope that we continue to stay as amicable companions.

To my fellow UPH acquaintances, Andrieta Rafaela Arifin, Ruth Ivanna Arella, Carlo Axton Lapian, Sultanzan Fallah, Abraham Misael Halim, Andre Sandy, William Chindrawa, Jannah Mumtaza, Emmanuel Ruth, Axel V.C., Fabian Jonathan, Brandon H. Jamail, Bella Anastasia Montolalu, and many others, thank you for being a part of my journey along every step of the way. With your help and support, I grew as a person and bettered myself each day.

Should there be any mistakes or imperfections within this thesis, the Author acknowledges that the thesis is far from perfect and apologizes. Any constructive criticism, advice, recommendations or suggestions are welcome, the Author hopes that this thesis can be used for the betterment of our world.

Tangerang, Banten, March 2022

Albert Barnabas

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

	1.1	Background	1		
	1.2	Formulation of Issues	12		
	1.3	Research Purposes	13		
	1.4	Research Benefits	13		
		1.4.1 Theoretical Benefits	13		
		1.4.2 Practical Benefits	14		
	1.5	Framework of Writing	14		
СНАР	TER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW			
2.1	Theor	etical Framework	16		
	2.1.1	History of the UNCLOS 1982, and the Continental	Shelf		
,	Convention				
	2.1.2	The Indonesian Archipelagic State Doctrine	20		
	2.1.3	The North Sea Continental Shelf Doctrine and Precedent	24		
	2.1.4	The Obligation to Resolve Conflicts by Peaceful Means	27		
	2.1.5	The Background of the Ambalat Conflict	30		
2.2	Conce	eptual Framework	36		

	2.2.1 Understanding Dispute Resolution Avenues and	Their
	Jurisprudence	36
	2.2.2 Jokowi's Programme, Indonesia as the Maritime Axis	43
СНА	APTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Type of Research	45
3.2	Type of Data	45
3.3	Research Approach	46
СНА	APTER 4 RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	
4.1	History of the Conflict between Indonesia and Malaysia	48
4.2	Outlining the Evidentiary Basis for Claims by Both Countries	51
	4.2.1 Indonesia	52
	4.2.2 Malaysia	57
	4.2.3 Scrutinization Towards the Evidence at Hand in Accor	dance
	with Ratified International Laws and Customary International I	Laws
		61
4.3	Case Application and Precedence	
	4.3.1 A Brief Application of the North Sea Continental Shelf ca	ase on
	Treaty Law with Concern to Malaysia's 1979 New Map	65

	4.3.2 The Black Sea case and the Critical Understanding of Islan	ıds
	in Continental Shelf Delimitation	66
	4.3.3 The Somalia v. Kenya Rulings and Addressing Unilate	ral
	Activities on Disputed Maritime Areas	70
	4.3.4 The Bay of Bengal case and the Understanding of Methods	in
	Delimitation	71
4.4	Discourse of Expert Opinions on Elucidating the Creation	of
	Continental Shelf Boundaries	78
4.5	Appraisal of the Legal Sources Potentially Used for the Settlement	of
	the Ambalat Issue	80
4.6	The International Dispute Settlement and the Internation	nal
	Community in Context	83
СНА	PTER 5 CONCLUSION	
5.1	The Observable Legal Issues and Primary Source of Laws	85
5.2	The Avenues of International Law	86