

ABSTRACT

Muhammad Farel Andaresta (01051170150)

“VIOLATION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF NON – INTERVENTION BY THE FRENCH STATE AGAINST THE STATE OF MALI IN TERMS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW”

(x+104 pages)

Several international regulations govern the principle of non-intervention, such as the Geneva Convention on the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War of 1949, and Protocol I (1977) relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflict. These regulations are rules for countries to protect the sovereignty and jurisdiction of their respective countries. It has become a generally recognized principle in customary international law. However, the Non-Intervention Principle is still not clearly regulated, so there are many loopholes that can be violated by a country. One example is the case of the French state intervention against the state of Mali, the French intervene before the UN approval, which makes the writer think that France has violated the Principle of Non-Intervention. Then because the attack by France also led to the occurrence of human rights violations that occurred in this case. That's where the actions of France have taken the lives of civilians. This clearly violates the Additional Protocol to the Geneva Conventions, Article 51 paragraph (4) of Additional Protocol 1 of 1977, where the article talks about indiscriminate airstrikes, which is a clear violation. This study aims to explain whether France actually violates the Non-Intervention Principle or not, the results of this study will provide an explanation of whether France actually violates the principle or not.

References: 67 (1967 – 2020)

Keywords : *Principle of Non – Intervention, International Law, Intervention*