

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Astari, Putu Wasita; Lastrini, M. Y. (2017). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 19(3), 2407–2438. <https://doi.org/10.47709/jap.v2i2.1995>
- Ayuningtyas, Dwi; Purnomo, H. (2019). *Dari Rugi Jadi Untung & Ngototnya Garuda Soal Lapkeu*. Cnbcindonesia.Com. <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/market/20190628114944-17-81340/dari-rugi-jadi-untung-ngototnya-garuda-soal-lapkeu>
- Byusi, H., & Achyani, F. (2018). Determinan Opini Audit Going Concern (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Real Estate dan Property yang Terdaftar di BEI Tahun 2013-2015). *Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia*, 3(1), 13–28. <https://doi.org/10.23917/reaksi.v3i1.5552>
- Carcello, J. V., & Neal, T. L. (2003). “New” Going-Concern Reports. *The Accounting Review*, 78(1), 95–117.
- Effendi, B. (2019). Kualitas Audit, Kondisi Keuangan, Ukuran Perusahaan dan Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *Owner*, 3(1), 9–15. <https://doi.org/10.33395/owner.v3i1.80>
- Farooq, U., Jibrani Qamar, M. A., & Haque, A. (2018). A Three-Stage Dynamic Model of Financial Distress. *Managerial Finance*, 44(9), 1101–1116. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MF-07-2017-0244>
- Geiger, Marshall A.; Rama, D. V. (2006). Audit Firm Size and Going-Concern Reporting Accuracy. *Accounting Horizons*, 20(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3000709>
- Herusetya, A. (2012a). Analisis Kualitas Audit Terhadap Manajemen Laba Akuntansi: Studi Pendekatan Composite Measure Versus Conventional Measure. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia*, 9(2), 117–135. <https://doi.org/10.21002/jaki.2012.08>
- Herusetya, A. (2012b). Dapatkah Kualitas Audit Mengurangi Biaya Modal Ekuitas Ex-Ante? *Jurnal Akuntansi & Auditing Indonesia*, 16(1), 48–61.
- Herusetya, A., & Cia, F. (2015). Is Audit Quality of Big 4 Firms Higher Than Mid-Tier Firms? Study of Going-Concern Opinion and Auditor Reporting Accuracy in Indonesia. *27th Asian-Pacific Conference on International Accounting Issues*, November 2015. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360916914>
- IAI. (2009). PSAK 1 penyajian Laporan Keuangan. *Ikatan Akuntansi Indonesia*, 01(01), 1–79. <https://staff.blog.ui.ac.id/martani/files/2011/04/ED-PSAK-1.pdf>
- IAPI. (2021a). Standar Audit 570 (Revisi 2021) Kelangsungan Usaha. *Standar*

*Profesional Akuntan Publik ( SA 570) 2021, 200(Revisi), 1–69.*

- IAPI. (2021b). Standar Audit 700 (Revisi 2021) Perumusan Suatu Opini dan Pelaporan atas Laporan Keuangan. *Standar Profesional Akuntan Publik, 700(Revisi)*, 1–60.
- Jan, C. L. (2021). Using deep learning algorithms for CPAs' going concern prediction. *Information (Switzerland)*, *12(73)*, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info12020073>
- Kesumojati, Sister Clara Islamy; Widyastuti, T., & Darmansyah, D. (2017). Pengaruh Kualitas Audit, Financial Distress, Debt Default Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *JIAFE (Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Fakultas Ekonomi)*, *3(1)*, 62–76. <https://doi.org/10.34204/jiafe.v3i1.434>
- Mutsanna, H., & Sukirno, S. (2020). Faktor Determinan Opini Audit Going Concern Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2016-2018. *Nominal: Barometer Riset Akuntansi Dan Manajemen*, *9(2)*, 290–309. <https://doi.org/10.21831/nominal.v9i2.31600>
- Nariman, A. (2017). Pengaruh Faktor-Faktor Perusahaan, Prediksi Kebangkrutan Dan Reputasi Auditor Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Terkait Going Concern. *Jurnal Muara Ilmu Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, *1(2)*, 33–45.
- Nugroho, Lucky; Nurrohmah, Siti; Ansasta, L. (2018). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Opini Audit Going Concern. *Sistem Informasi, Keuangan, Auditing Dan Perpajakan*, *2(2)*, 96–111. <https://doi.org/10.55122/jabisi.v1i1.95>
- Purnanandam, A. (2008). Financial Distress and Corporate Risk Management: Theory and Evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *87(3)*, 706–739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2007.04.003>
- Putra, W. M., & Purnamawati, R. (2021). The Effect of Audit Tenure, Audit Delay, Company Growth, Profitability, Leverage, and Financial Difficulties on Acceptance of Going Concern Audit Opinions. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Sustainable Innovation 2020-Accounting and Management (ICoSIAMS 2020)*, *176(ICoSIAMS 2020)*, 199–208. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aer.k.210121.027>
- Putri, R. P. E., & Helmayunita, N. (2021). Pengaruh Debt Default, Financial Distress Dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Modifikasi Going Concern. *Jurnal Eksplorasi Akuntansi*, *3(1)*, 50–66. <https://doi.org/10.24036/jea.v3i1.334>
- Simamora, R. A., & Hendarjatno, H. (2019). The effects of audit client tenure, audit lag, opinion shopping, liquidity ratio, and leverage to the going concern audit opinion. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, *4(1)*, 145–156. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-05-2019-0038>
- Subramanyam, K. R. (2014). Financial statement analysis. McGraw Hill Education..

In *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* (Vol. 11).

- Taher, A. P. (2022). *Jokowi Resmi Suntik Modal ke Garuda Indonesia Rp7,5 Triliun*. Tirta.Id. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012370624-9/50005-0>
- Turetsky, H. F., & Mcewen, R. A. (2001). An empirical investigation of firm longevity: A model of the Ex Ante predictors of financial distress. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 16(4), 323–343. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011291425075>
- Uli Alicia. (2017). *Bangkrutnya Batavia Air*. Wordpress.Com. <https://ulialicia.wordpress.com/2017/11/10/bangkrutnya-batavia-air/>
- Widhiastuti, Ni Luh Putu; Kumalasari, P. D. (2022). Opini Audit Going Concern Dan Faktor-Faktor Penyebabnya. *Akurasi Jurnal Studi Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 5(1), 121–138. <https://doi.org/10.54964/liabilitas.v7i2.222>
- Wiguna, Meilda; Hardi, H; Hariyani, E. (2021). Determinan Opini Audit Going Concern Perusahaan Manufaktur Di Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi Keuangan Dan Bisnis*, 14(1), 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.54964/liabilitas.v6i1.70>
- Yuliyani, N. M. A., & Erawati, N. M. A. (2017). Pengaruh Financial Distress, Profitabilitas, Leverage dan Likuiditas pada Opini Audit Going Concern. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 19(2), 1490–1520.