

TABLE OF CONTENT

| | page |
|--|-------------|
| COVER PAGE | |
| TITTLE PAGE | |
| FINAL ASSIGNMENT STATEMENT AND UPLOAD AGREEMENT | IV |
| APPROVAL PAGE BY FINAL PAPER ADVISOR..... | III |
| APPROVAL PAGE BY FINAL PAPER DEFENSE COMMITTEE..... | IV |
| ABSTRACT..... | V |
| ABSTRAK..... | VI |
| PREFACE..... | VII |
| TABLE OF CONTENT | IX |
| LIST OF FIGURES | XIV |
| LIST OF TABLES | XV |
| LIST OF APPENDIX | XVII |
| CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of The Study | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Limitation..... | 8 |
| 1.3 Problem of Formulation..... | 9 |
| 1.4 Objective of the Research..... | 9 |

| | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| 1.5 Benefit of the Research..... | 10 |
| 1.5.1. Theoretical Benefit | 10 |
| 1.5.2. Practical Benefit | 10 |

CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| DEVELOPMENT | 11 |
| 2.1. Theoretical Background | 11 |
| 2.1.1. Marketing..... | 11 |
| 2.1.2. Cotemporary Marketing | 12 |
| 2.1.3. Location..... | 12 |
| 2.1.3.1. Definition of Location..... | 12 |
| 2.1.3.2. Factor to Consider When Choosing a Market Location..... | 13 |
| 2.1.3.3. Indicators of Location | 14 |
| 2.1.4. Institutional Image..... | 15 |
| 2.1.4.1. Definition of Institutional Image..... | 15 |
| 2.1.4.2. Indicator of Institutional Image..... | 16 |
| 2.1.5. Service Quality | 17 |
| 2.1.5.1. Definition of Service Quality | 17 |
| 2.1.5.2. Indicator of Service Quality | 18 |
| 2.1.6. Purchase Decision..... | 19 |
| 2.1.6.1. Definition of Purchase Decision | 19 |
| 2.1.6.2. Influencing Factor in Purchasing Decision | 20 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2.1.6.3. Indicator of Purchase Decision | 21 |
| 2.2. Previous Research..... | 25 |
| 2.3. Hypothesis Development..... | 29 |
| 2.4. Research Model | 31 |
| 2.5. Framework of Thinking..... | 32 |
| CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 33 |
| 3.1. Research Design | 33 |
| 3.2. Population and Sample | 34 |
| 3.2.1. Population and Sample Definition..... | 34 |
| 3.3. Data Collection Methods | 36 |
| 3.4. Operational Definition and Variable Measurement..... | 39 |
| 3.4.1 Operational Definition..... | 39 |
| 3.4.2. Variable Measurement..... | 41 |
| 3.5. Data Analysis Method | 41 |
| 3.5.1. Structural Equation Model (SEM)..... | 42 |
| 3.5.1.1. Latent and Observed Variables | 43 |
| 3.5.1.2. Endogeneous and Exogeneous Variable | 45 |
| 3.5.2. Steps in Research Using Structural Equation Model (SEM)..... | 46 |
| CHAPTER IV DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION | 56 |
| 4.1. Overview of PT Atlantis Prima Indonesia..... | 56 |
| 4.2. Research Result | 56 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 4.1.1. Descriptive Statistic | 57 |
| 4.1.1.1. Characteristic of Respondents | 57 |
| 4.1.1.2. Overview of Respondent by Age..... | 58 |
| 4.1.1.3. Overview of Respondents by Gender | 59 |
| 4.1.1.4. Responses of Respondents..... | 60 |
| 4.1.2. Descriptive Variable Analysis..... | 65 |
| 4.1.2.1. Responses from Respondents Regarding Location (L) | 65 |
| 4.1.2.2. Responses from Respondents Regarding Institutional Image (II)..... | 66 |
| 4.1.2.3. Responses from Respondents Regarding Service Quality (SQ).... | 68 |
| 4.1.2.4. Responses from Respondents Regarding Consumer Purchase Decision (CPD)..... | 69 |
| 4.1.3. Results of Data Quality Testing | 71 |
| 4.1.3.1. Evaluation of Data Normality | 73 |
| 4.1.3.2. Evaluation of Outliers | 74 |
| 4.1.3.2.1. Univariate Outliers | 74 |
| 4.1.3.2.2. Multivariate Outliers..... | 75 |
| 4.1.3.2.3. Evaluation of Multicollinearity and Singularity | 76 |
| 4.1.3.3. Confirmatory Factor Analysis..... | 76 |
| 4.1.3.3.1. Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Exogeneous Variables | 77 |
| 4.1.3.3.2. Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Endogenous Variable | 78 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 4.1.3.3.3. Full Structural Equation Model (SEM) Analysis | 80 |
| 4.1.3.4. Reliability Test | 83 |
| 4.1.4. Result of Hypothesis Testing | 84 |
| 4.1.4.1. Hypothesis Testing 1 (H1) | 84 |
| 4.1.4.2. Hypothesis Testing 2 (H2) | 86 |
| 4.1.4.3. Hypothesis Testing 3 (H3) | 87 |
| 4.1.5. Discussion | 88 |
| CHAPTER V CONCLUSION | 103 |
| 5.1. Conclusion | 103 |
| 5.1.1. Conclusion for Hypotheses | 103 |
| 5.1.1.1. The Effect of Location on Consumer Purchase Decision..... | 103 |
| 5.1.1.2. The Effect of Institutional Image on Consumer Purchase Decision | |
| | 105 |
| 5.1.1.3. The Effect of Service Quality on Consumer Purchase Decision. | 107 |
| 5.1.2. Conclusion for Research Problem..... | 108 |
| 5.2. Implication..... | 109 |
| 5.2.1. Theoretical Implication | 109 |
| 5.2.2. Managerial Implication | 110 |
| 5.3. Recommendation | 114 |
| REFERENCES..... | 115 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1. 1 Logo PT Atlantis Prima Indonesia | 3 |
| Figure 1. 2 Location of PT Atlantis Prima Indonesia | 5 |
| Figure 1. 3 Location of PT Atlantis Prima Indonesia | 5 |
| Figure 1. 4 Customer's review of PT Atlantis Prima Indonesia | 7 |
| Figure 2. 1 Figure Research Model..... | 31 |
| Figure 2. 2 Framework of Thinking | 32 |
| Figure 3. 1 Symbols in Structural Equation Model S | 45 |
| Figure 3. 2 Flow Diagram of Theoretical Framework | 48 |
| Figure 3. 3 Diagram of SEM Model Step | 55 |
| Figure 4. 1 Diagram of Respondents by Age S..... | 58 |
| Figure 4. 2 Diagram of Respondents by Gender..... | 59 |
| Figure 4. 3 Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Exogeneous Variable..... | 77 |
| Figure 4. 4 Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Endogenous Variable | 79 |
| Figure 4. 5 Full Structural Model..... | 81 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| Tabel 1. 1 PT Atlantis Prima Indonesia Sales Growth from 2022-2023 | 3 |
| Tabel 3. 1 Questionnaire Design..... | 37 |
| Tabel 3. 2 Operational Definition and Variable Measurement..... | 40 |
| Tabel 3. 3 Likert 1-5 Scaled..... | 41 |
| Tabel 3. 4 Description of Indicator Variable | 46 |
| Tabel 3. 5 Relationship of Variable | 48 |
| Tabel 3. 6 Conversion Result of the Construct Measurement Models's Equation | 49 |
| Table 4. 1 Respondents by Age | 58 |
| Table 4. 2 Respondents by Gender | 59 |
| Table 4. 3 Degree of Assessment..... | 60 |
| Table 4. 4 Responses Form Respondents Regarding Location (L)..... | 61 |
| Table 4. 5 Responses form Respondents Regarding Institutional Image (II) | 62 |
| Table 4. 6 Responses Form Respondents Regarding Service Quality (SQ) | 63 |
| Table 4. 7 Responses Form Respondents Regarding Consumer Purchase Decision (CPD) | 64 |
| Table 4. 8 Responses from Respondents Regarding Location (L)..... | 65 |
| Table 4. 9 Responses Form Respondents Regarding Institutional Image (II) | 67 |
| Table 4. 10 Responses Form Respondents Regarding Service Quality (SQ) | 68 |
| Table 4. 11 Responses Form Respondents Regarding Consumer Purchase Decision (CPD) | 69 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 4. 12 Test Result of Data Normality | 73 |
| Table 4. 13 Descriptive Statistic of Z-score..... | 74 |
| Table 4. 14 Mahalanobis Distance | 76 |
| Table 4. 15 Test of Weight Factor and Loading Value of Exogenous Variables | 78 |
| Table 4. 16 Test of Weight and Factor Loading Value of Exogenous Variables | 79 |
| Table 4. 17 Feasibility Testing Index..... | 81 |
| Table 4. 18 Regression Weight Full Structural Equation Model | 82 |
| Table 4. 19 Reliability Testing Result..... | 83 |
| Table 4. 20 Hypothesis Test Result | 84 |
| Table 4. 21 Indicator of Location..... | 90 |
| Table 4. 22 Indicator of Institutional Image..... | 92 |
| Table 4. 23 Indicator of Service Quality | 94 |
| Table 4. 24 Indicator of Consumer Purchase Decision..... | 98 |
| Tabel 5. 1 Hypothesis Test Result..... | 103 |
| Tabel 5. 2 Theoretical Implication..... | 109 |
| Tabel 5. 3 Mangerial Implication..... | 113 |

LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix A: QUESTIONNAIRE.....A-1

Appendix B: RESPONDENTS DATA TABULATION..... B-1

Appendix C: SPSS OUTPUT C-1

Appendix D: AMOS TEXT OUTPUTD-1

Appendix E: TURNITIN CHECK..... E-1

