

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT


Praise the Lord and may all glory be to Jesus Christ for His blessings and grace that made it possible for this thesis, “The Effect of Ceo Competencies, Managerial Ownership, Proportion of Independent Commissioner Board, Number of Audit Committee And Cash Conversion Cycle Towards Financial Performance” to be completed well and in accordance with His guidance. This thesis consists of the combination of knowledge, efforts, and experience that were obtained through the three and half years of studies in Universitas Pelita Harapan. It is intended to attain the Bachelor Degree in Accountancy at Business School, Universitas Pelita Harapan, Lippo Village, Tangerang, Banten.

The process of compiling this thesis will not be possible without the guidance, supports and prayers from people around the writers. In this paper, writers would like to dedicate their gratitude and give the highest respect to those people:

1. Gracia Shinta S. Ugut, MBA., Ph.D., as Dean of Faculty of Economics of Universitas Pelita Harapan..
2. Dr. Antonius Herusetya, Ak., M.M., CA., as the Head of the Accounting Study Program.
3. Dr. Partogian Sormin, Ak., M.M., CPMA., CA as the main advisor who always provide support, direction and patience in guiding writers to finish the thesis.
4. Mr. Aloysius Harry Mukti, Mrs. Hanna Wijaya, and all the lecturers who have taught us valuable lessons, guided us to be a better individual, and cared for us in so many ways.
5. Jasman Wie, Wan Mei, Budihasin Suhanda, Wijanti Widajat, Hardi Gunawan & Fenny Angelina's writers' beloved and irreplaceable family for their endless love, prayers, encouragement, support and care throughout writers' life.

6. Beloved Accounting International Class A, Whitney Claudian, Vincent Young, Helen Johana Lu, Nadya Priscilla, Raymond Kurnia, Jesselyn Hartono, and Tassya for supporting each other.
7. Best partners one can have, Nico Latif and Yolanda Callista for their time, support, care and personal talks throughout good and tough times.

Writers realize that this thesis is still far from perfection and humbly accept any critics or suggestions which would become a useful inputs for writers. Writers hope that this thesis will be a useful tool and may be put in good use for society.



Lippo Village, 29 November 2019

(Celine Wie)

(Enrico Suhanda)

(Ricky Gunawan)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY OF THESIS

THESIS APPROVAL

THESIS DEFENSE APPROVAL

ABSTRACT.....	vi
----------------------	-----------

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	vii
-----------------------------	------------

TABLE OF CONTENT	ix
-------------------------------	-----------

LIST OF TABLES	x
-----------------------------	----------

TABLE OF FIGURES	xi
-------------------------------	-----------

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
------------------------------------	----------

1.1 Background	1
----------------------	---

1.2. Research Problem	7
-----------------------------	---

1.3. Research Objective	7
-------------------------------	---

1.4. Significance of the Study	8
--------------------------------------	---

1.5. Scope of the Study	10
-------------------------------	----

1.6. Systematic Discussion	11
----------------------------------	----

CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESIS

DEVELOPMENT	13
--------------------------	-----------

2.1. Basic Concept Definition	13
-------------------------------------	----

2.1.1 Financial Statements	13
----------------------------------	----

2.1.2 Purpose of Financial Statement	13
--	----

2.1.3 Financial Performance	14
-----------------------------------	----

2.1.4 Return on Asset	15
-----------------------------	----

2.1.5 Good Corporate Governance	16
---------------------------------------	----

2.1.6 Stakeholder Theory	17
--------------------------------	----

2.1.7 Basic and Chemical Industry	19
---	----

2.1.8 Independent Variable	20
----------------------------------	----


2.1.8.1 CEO	20
2.1.8.1.1 CEO Competencies	20
2.1.8.2 Managerial Ownership	21
2.1.8.3 Proportion of Independent Commissioner Board .	22
2.1.8.4 Audit Committee	24
2.1.8.5 Cash Conversion Cycle	25
2.1.9 Control Variable	26
2.1.9.1 Firm Size	26
2.1.9.2 Current Ratio	27
2.2. Literature Review	28
2.3. Conceptual Framework	35
2.4. Hypothesis Development	36
2.4.1 The Effect of CEO Competencies on Financial Performance	36
2.4.2 The Effect of Managerial Ownership towards Financial performance	37
2.4.3 The Effect of The Proportion of Independent Commissioner Board towards Financial Performance	38
2.4.4 The Effect of The Number of Audit Committee Towards Financial Performance	40
2.4.5 The Effect of Cash Conversion Cycle towards Financial Performance	41

CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY

3.1. Population and Sample	43
3.2. Empirical Model	43
3.3. Operational Variable Definition	44
3.3.1 Financial Performance	44
3.3.2 CEO Competencies	45
3.3.3 Managerial Ownership	47

3.3.4 Independent Commissioner Proportion	47
3.3.5 Audit Committee	48
3.3.6 Cash Conversion Cycle	48
3.3.7 Control Variable	49
3.3.7.1 Firm Size	50
3.3.7.2 Current Ratio	51
3.3.8 Operational Variable Table	53
3.4. Data Analysis Method	57
3.4.1 Descriptive statistical test	57
3.4.2 Correlation Analysis	57
3.4.3 Test of Classical Assumption	58
3.4.3.1 Normality	58
3.4.3.2 Multicollinearity Test	59
3.4.3.3 Heteroscedasticity	59
3.4.4 Feasibility Test Model	60
3.4.4.1 F test	60
3.4.4.2 T test	61
3.4.4.3 Coefficient Determination	62
3.4.5 Hypothesis Testing	62
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION	63
4.1 Descriptive Statistical test	63
4.2 Correlation Analysis	66
4.3 Test of Classical Assumption	67
4.3.1 Normality	67
4.3.2 Multicollinearity Test	72
4.3.3 Heteroscedasticity	72
4.4 Hypothesis Testing	72
4.4.1 F-test	73
4.4.2 Goodness of Fit test (Adjusted R Square)	73
4.4.3 t-test	74
4.4.3.1 CEO Competencies	74

4.4.3.2 Managerial Ownership	75
4.4.3.3 Proportion of Independent Commissioner Board ..	75
4.4.3.4 Number of Audit Committee	75
4.4.3.5 Cash Conversion Cycle	76
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION	78
5.1 Conclusion	77
5.2 Limitation	79
5.3 Recommendation	80
BIBLIOGRAPHY	83
APPENDECIES	87



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Literature Review	28
Table 3.1 Strategy Determination Criteria	46
Table 3.2 Strategy Determination Criteria	46
Table 3.3 Sample Selection	52
Table 3.4 Operational Variable	53
Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics	64
Table 4.2 Correlation Analysis	66
Table 4.3 Result of Normality test before Transformation	67
Table 4.4 Summarize Detail of fproa	68
Table 4.5 Box cox treatment	69
Table 4.6 Transformation Results	69
Table 4.7 Shapiro-wilk test	69
Table 4.8 Multicollinearity test	71
Table 4.9 Heteroscedasticity test	71
Table 4.10 Hypothesis test	72

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Conceptual Framework	35
Figure 4.1 Result of Transformation	70



APPENDIX

APPENDIX A	87
APPENDIX B	90
APPENDIX C	94

