

## FOREWORD

First and foremost, the writer would like to praise Jesus Christ for His love, blessings and guidance, that allows the writer to be able to complete this thesis titled “**JURIDICAL ANALYSIS REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PREVAILING PATENT LAW IN PROVIDING LEGAL PROTECTION TO THE INVENTOR IN INDONESIA**”. The writing of this thesis will serve as one of the academic requirements in order to attain the Bachelor of Law Degree, concentrating in Business Law from Universitas Pelita Harapan Faculty of Law.

In the process of writing this thesis, the writer acknowledged generous support from a number of individuals, and found that this thesis would not be finished on time without their support. Therefore, the writer would like to use this opportunity to express deepest appreciation and gratitude to:

1. Prof. Dr. Bintan R Saragih, S.H., as the Dean of Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan;
2. Dr. Vincensia Esti P.S., S.H, M.Hum, as the Head of Law Department Universitas Pelita Harapan;
3. Dr. Velliana Tanaya, S.H., M.H. as the Director of Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan;
4. Dr. Susi Susantijo, SH., LL.M, as the writer’s Academic Supervisor, thank you for all the kind attention and patience in helping writer during writer’s study in the Faculty of Law;

5. Dr. Henry Soelistyo Budi, S.H.,LL.M, as the writer's lecturer and Advisor of this thesis. Thank you for your generosity and patience in guiding the writer to finish this research. The writer truly appreciates all of the time given to maximize the research and writing quality, given in this process.
6. Ibu Yossi Niken Respati, S.H.,M.H., as writer's Co-Advisor. The writer would also like to thank her for her kindness and care in her support throughout the completion of this thesis.
7. All of the lecturers and staffs of the Faculty of Law Universitas Pelita Harapan that writer cannot mention one by one. Undoubtedly, they have contributed greatly during writer's 3 years of study at the Faculty of Law. Thank you for the knowledge and assistance given throughout the years.
8. Writer's dearest parents, Ridwan and Evi Bachtra, thank you for your endless love and support. Your sacrifices and encouragements will never be forgotten. To writer's brothers, Dave, Chris and Zach, thank you for being patient during my absence at home throughout this process.
9. The writer's volunteered supervisors, Celine and Irene, thank you for your time and effort in maximizing my thesis quality by giving advices and fix his errors.
10. The writer would like to personally thank Febry Rivisha and Kevin Simanjuntak for allowing him to sleepover at their apartment/condominium so that he can focus on doing his thesis. Your generosity will never be forgotten.


11. To the writer's "IPR thesis group": Abram, Febry, Irene, Cynthia, and Pascal, thank you for the companion and support throughout our times together traveling and waiting for our thesis revision to Semanggi. Those support and laughter will never be forgotten.
12. To the writer's "play group" that are always there to cheer and spend memorable times with. These people include: Abram, Benedik, Febry, Hanif, Irene, Alya, Kevin, Fikri, Ettore and Edrick.
13. To "Bountiful", another group that has always been available for consultations and support, the writer would like to thank Bernice, Benedik, Cynthia, Rachel, Rica and Valerie as the members of the group.
14. To Ksenia, thank you for all the care, support and understanding. Your involvement in this process means more than you know.
15. Lastly, to anyone who the writer fails to mention yet has supported the writer through the making and completion of the thesis, he sincerely apologize as it is impossible to mention everyone. Thank you.

Finally, the writer realizes that in writing this thesis there is still a lot of deficiency, either systematically or substantially. Thereby, the writer will gladly and humbly accept any input and correction given, for the improvement of this thesis. The writer hope that the completion of this thesis will make significant contribution in the field of knowledge, for the development of education in our beloved country, Indonesia.

Karawaci, 13 December 2017

Writer,

**(Joshua Jordan Bachtra)**



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER .....	i
THESIS AUTHENTICITY STATEMENT.....	ii
THESIS ADVISOR APPROVAL.....	iii
APPROVAL OF THESIS EXAMINERS .....	iv
ABSTRAK .....	v
FOREWORD.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Formulation of Issue .....	13
1.3 Purpose of Research.....	13
1.4 Advantages of Research.....	14
1.5 Legal Research Method.....	15
1.6 Systematic Writing.....	16
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW .....	18
2.1 Theoretical Foundation .....	18

2.1.1 Understanding of Intellectual Property Right .....	18
2.1.2 The Understanding of Patent.....	22
2.1.3 The Types of Patent .....	24
2.1.4 Role of Patent in Industrial Product .....	25
2.1.5 Requirements for Patent.....	26
2.1.6 Cancellation of Patent .....	28
2.1.7 IPR in Indonesia .....	28
2.1.8 Indonesia as a member of the World Trade Organization .....	32
2.2 Conceptual Foundation .....	32
2.2.1 Patent.....	32
2.2.2 Inventor .....	33
2.2.3 Invention .....	33
2.2.4 Patent Holder.....	33
2.2.5 Technology.....	33
2.2.6 License .....	33
2.2.7 Directorate General .....	34
2.2.8 Request.....	34
2.2.9 Attorney .....	34
2.2.10 Examiner .....	34

<b>CHAPTER III METHOD OF RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1 Type of Research.....	35
3.2 Objective of Research .....	37
3.3 Data Collection Methods .....	38
3.4 Research Object .....	38
3.4.1 Research Instrument.....	40
3.4.2 Qualitative Analysis .....	42
3.5 Obstacle of Research.....	42
 <b>CHAPTER IV ANALYSIS AND RESULT OF RESEARCH .....</b>	 <b>43</b>
4.1 The effectiveness of the Prevailing Patent Law in providing Legal Protection to the Inventor.....	 43
4.1.1 The Legal Protections for Patented Products .....	43
4.1.2 Similar Cases.....	46
4.2 Patent Cancellation Regulation According to Law No. 13 Year 2016 regarding Patent Rights .....	 49
4.2.1 Patent Cancellation.....	49
4.2.3 Case Summary of PT Rajawali Parama Konstruksi vs. Poltak Sitinjak (No. 67/Pdt.Sus-Paten/2017/PN.Niaga.Jkt.Pst).....	 53
4.2.4 Poltak Sitinjak Violation on Patent Requirements.....	54
4.2.5 The Patent owned by the Defendant does not contain Novelty .....	56

4.2.6 The Patent owned by the Defendant has No Inventive Steps .....	57
4.3 Analysis .....	58
4.3.1 PT Rajawali Parama Konstruksi View .....	58
4.3.2 Expert Opinion .....	61
4.3.3 Analysis .....	62
<b>CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS.....</b>	<b>67</b>
5.1 Conclusion .....	67
5.1.1 The Effectiveness of the Prevailing Patent Law Providing Legal Protection to the Inventor.....	67
5.1.2 Case Study PT Rajawali Parama Konstruksi vs. Poltak Sitinjak (No. 67/Pdt.Sus-Paten/2017/PN.Niaga.Jkt.Pst) .....	68
5.2 Suggestion .....	69
5.2.1 Suggestion related to 5.1.1.....	69
5.2.2 Suggestion related to 5.1.2.....	71