ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise and thanks be to Lord Jesus Christ for all the blessings that He has given from the start of this research until its completion. This thesis entitled "Credibility of Forwarded Messages Regarding Health Issues in WhatsApp Groups as Perceived by Millennial Users" is created to fulfill part of the academic requirements in obtaining the title of *Sarjana Ilmu Komunikasi Strata Satu* at Universitas Pelita Harapan, Tangerang.

The researcher knows that without the guidance, assistance and prayers of various parties, this thesis would not have been completed in time. Therefore, the researcher would like to extend her massive gratitude to everyone who has provided help in the process of writing this thesis, which includes the following people:

- Prof. Aleksius Jemadu, Ph.D. as Dean of the Faculty of Social and Political Sciences,
- 2) Dr. Naniek N. Setijadi, S.Pd., M.Si., as the Associate Dean of the Faculty of Social and Political Sciences as well as the advising lecturer for the researcher who has given plenty of guidance and feedback for the researcher, pushed her to think further and work harder to get this research done, and encouraged her so much along the journey of writing this thesis,
- 3) Marsefio Sevyone Luhukay, S.Sos., M.Si. as the Head of Applied Communication Sciences Program and Coordinator of Final Projects who has given many directions in the process of finishing this project,

- 4) Agustin Diana Wardaningsih, S.E., M.I.Kom., as the lecturer who has helped the researcher at the beginning stages of writing this final project,
- 5) Kevin Christian, S.Ikom., as the Assistant Lecturer in Applied Communication Sciences Program who has provided assistance in the administration process involved in submitting this final project,
- 6) Dr. Benedictus A. Simangunsong, S.Ip., M.Si., who has provided massive help for the researcher, particularly in understanding the right methods needed for this research and helping the researcher solve problems relating to the methodology and execution along the way, as well as for the encouragement shared to the researcher to persevere with this project,
- Rose Emmaria Tarigan, S.Sos., M.Si., the researcher's academic advisor who
 has provided encouragement and prayed for the researcher throughout her
 studies,
- 8) Sania Makki, S.Psi., M.BA., M.M., who made the researcher believe in herself at a time that she could not, for making the researcher dare to step out of that comfort zone and be bold enough to take risks, including with this research,
- 9) All lecturers in Faculty of Social and Political Science who has provided the researcher with knowledge that became building blocks for this research without the researcher realizing it over the years,
- 10) All respondents and participants of the research without whom this research will not have been possible,
- 11) Mama, Papa, and the researcher's sister Natasha for all the times they reminded the researcher that she could do it, for teaching the researcher to be

perseverant and resilient so that in times when there were obstacles to overcome in this study the researcher pushed on instead of giving up, for reminding the researcher to take care of her well-being throughout this process, for reminding the researcher to ask God to bless the process of this research, and for every life lesson that led the researcher to have enough mental strength to complete this study and to survive life,

- 12) Franciscabella and Julius Calvin, the researcher's best friends who have been at her side day in and day out for over a decade, including through the process of this research, for the massive amount of help in statistics tutorials and mental support when she had to face personal obstacles in my life while writing this,
- 13) The researcher's best friends and neighbors in university, Michelle Felicia, Virli Wei Syahar, Vanny Gosal for being the researcher's number one companions throughout her university days and women who can build empowering female friendships, for supporting the researcher throughout this process, for the care they have shown the researcher for which she can never be grateful enough,
- 14) Frederick Djunaidy for being there for the researcher every single day since 2012, for calling the researcher out when she is headed in the wrong direction, for constantly reminding the researcher of how strong and capable she is every single day, and for making the researcher a better version of herself,
- 15) The Salims in California Uncle Tony, Auntie Irene, Michael for constantly and ceaselessly praying for the researcher even from so far away,

16) The extended Ng family all over Indonesia who have continuously prayed for the researcher, wished her well and took care of her even though all of them have different faiths,

17) Sister Chrismerry, Sister Yoanessa, Sister Anita, Sister Dorothea, Sister Alfiana, and all the sisters of the Carolus Borromeus Order of Compassion who have prayed every single day for and with the researcher, who especially reminded the researcher to not be afraid to ask for help because "hands of angels will be there to give you the help you need,"

18) Della P.E. Samuel, Ericko Daniel, Christie Harris, Michele Alexander, Abby Masiglat, Nadya Perry, the researcher's creative buddies who pushed her to think bigger with every idea while at the same time appreciating what the researcher has done each step of the way,

19) Many other people and parties who have helped the researcher in many ways along the way and cannot be mentioned here one by one. Rest assured, the researcher is truly grateful for every one of them.

Finally, the researcher is aware that there are still plenty of weaknesses in this thesis. Thus, constructive criticism and suggestions from the readers are welcomed by the researcher. May this research be useful for anyone reading it and be a blessing to others.

Tangerang, November 2018

Mary Chelsea Salim

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
TITLE PAGE i
FINAL PROJECT AUTHENTICITY STATEMENT ii
ADVISOR'S APPROVAL SHEET iii
FINAL PROJECT EXAMINER'S APPROVAL iv
ABSTRACT v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS xi
LIST OF FIGURES xiv
LIST OF TABLES xix
LIST OF APPENDICESxx
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION 1
I.1 Background of the Problem
I.2 Problem Identification
I.3 Statement of the Problem
I.4 Purpose of the Study12
I.5 Significance of the Study
I.6 Organization of the Study
CHAPTER II RESEARCH OBJECT AND SUBJECT 16
II.1 Research Object
II.2 Research Subject
CHAPTER III LITERATURE REVIEW
III.1 Communication
III.2 Communicating on the Internet
III.3 Message and Perception towards It
III.4 Elaboration Likelihood Model
III.5 Credibility of Messages in Computer-Mediated
Communication
III.6 Media Literacy
III.7 Framework of the Study
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH METHOD
IV.1 Approach
IV.2 Method of Variable Operationalization
IV.2.1. Independent Variables
IV.2.2. Moderating Variable
IV.2.3. Dependent Variable
IV.2.4. Hypotheses of Relationships Between Variables 66
IV.3. Method of Quantitative Research
IV.3.2. Method of Research
IV.4. Data Collection
IV.4.1. Collection of Primary Data
IV.4.2. Collection of Secondary Data
IV.5. Instrument Testing

IV.5.1. Validity Testing	. 80
IV.5.1.1. Validity Testing of Questionnaire	
Measuring Information Quality Variable	82
IV.5.1.2. Validity Testing of Questionnaire	Items
Measuring Persuasiveness of Simple	Cues
Variable	. 84
IV.5.1.3. Validity Testing of Questionnaire	Items
Measuring Media Literacy Variable	
IV.5.1.4. Validity Testing of Questionnaire	
Measuring Perceived Credibility	of
Forwarded Messages Regarding Health	th in
WhatsApp Groups Variable	
IV.5.2. Reliability Testing	
IV.5.2.1. Reliability Testing of Information Qu	
Variable	
IV.5.2.2. Reliability Testing of Persuasiveness	
Simple Cues Variable	
IV.5.2.3. Reliability Testing of Media Literacy Van	
IV.5.2.4. Reliability Testing of Perceived Credibili	
Forwarded Messages Regarding Healt	-
WhatsApp Groups Variable	
IV.5.3. Changes to the Questionnaire After Validity	
Reliability Testing	
IV.6. Data Analysis	
CHAPTER V FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	
V.1. Research Findings	. 104
V.1.1. Profile of Respondents	. 104
V.1.1.1. Age of Respondents	. 104
V.1.1.2. Gender of Respondents	
V.1.1.3. Level of Education of Respondents	
V.1.1.4. Jobs of Respondents	
V.1.1.5. Types of Whats App Groups	
V.1.2. Variable of Media Literacy	
V.1.2.1. Sub-Variable of Ability to Access	
V.1.2.1.1. Item ML2	
V.1.2.1.2. Item ML3	
V.1.2.1.3. Item ML4	
V.1.2.2. Sub-Variable of Ability of Analysis	
V.1.2.2.1. Item ML5	
V.1.2.2.2. Item ML7	
V.1.2.3. Sub-Variable of Ability of Evaluation	
V.1.2.3.1. Item ML8	
V.1.2.3.2. Item ML9	
V.1.2.3.3. Item ML10	
V.1.3. Variable of Information Quality	
v.1.5. variable of information Quality	11/

V.1.3.1. Sub-Variable of Accuracy	118
V.1.3.1.1. Item IQ1	
V.1.3.1.2. Item IQ3	119
V.1.3.2. Sub-Variable of Objectivity	120
V.1.3.2.1. Item IQ4	120
V.1.3.3. Sub-Variable of Currency	121
V.1.3.3.1. Item IQ5	
V.1.3.4. Sub-Variable of Coverage Scope	122
V.1.3.4.1. Item IQ6	
V.1.4. Variable of Persuasiveness of Simple Cues	
V.1.4.1. Sub-Variable of Persuasiveness of	
Cues	
V.1.4.1.1. Item SC1	
V.1.4.1.2. Item SC2	
V.1.4.1.3. Item SC3	
V.1.4.2. Sub-Variable of Persuasiveness of M	_
Cues	
V.1.4.2.1. Item SC4	
V.1.4.2.2. Item SC5	
V.1.5. Variable of Perceived Credibility of Fory	
Messages Regarding Health in WhatsApp	
V.1.5.1. Sub-Variable of Perceived Accuracy	
V.1.5.1.1. Item PC1	
V.1.5.2. Sub-Variable of Perceived Authenticity	
V.1.5.2.1. Item PC2	
V.1.5.3. Sub-Variable of Believability	
V.1.6. Path Analysis Results	
CHAPTER VI CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	139
VI.1. Conclusion	144
VI.1. Conclusion VI.2. Suggestions	
REFERENCES	173
APPENDICES	
CURRICULUM VITAE	

LIST OF FIGURES

page
Figure 1.1 Chart of National Differences in Media Consumption. Data for Indonesia is highlighted in red. Taken from: GlobalWebIndex, 2017
Figure 1.4 Most Active Social Media Platforms in Indonesia 2018. Taken from We Are Social, 2018
2018
Figure 2.6 How Millennials Obtain Information. Taken from Centre for Strategic and International Studies, 2017
Figure 3.2 The Perceptual Process. Taken from Wade, 2016
Figure 3.4 The Various Types of Elaboration. Taken from Petty & Cacioppo, 1986
Neuman, 2014
own summary 67
Figure 4.4. Cochran's Formula. Taken from Burstein, 2011
Figure 4.5 Statistical Table for "7" Value Taken from Connelly 2017 72

Figure 4.6. Distribution of r _{tabel} Values Based on Pre-Test Sample Size. Taken
from Kamilah, 2015
Figure 4.7. Corrected Item-Total Correlation Scores for Items Measuring
Information Quality Variable. Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM
SPSS Statistics 82
Figure 4.8. Corrected Item-Total Correlation Scores for Items Measuring
Information Quality Variable after Removal of Item IQ2. Taken from researcher's
own calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.9. Measures of Sampling Adequacy for Items Measuring Information
Quality Variable. Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM SPSS
Statistics
Figure 4.10. Measures of Sampling Adequacy for Items Measuring Information Quality Variable After Removal of Item IQ2. Taken from researcher's own
calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.11. Corrected Item-Total Correlation Scores for Items Measuring
Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable. Taken from researcher's own calculation
on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.12. Measures of Sampling Adequacy for Items Measuring
Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable. Taken from researcher's own calculation
on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.13. Corrected Item-Total Correlation Scores for Items Measuring Media
Literacy Variable. Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM SPSS
Statistics
Figure 4.14. Corrected Item-Total Correlation Scores for Items Measuring Media
Literacy Variable After Removal of Invalid Items. Taken from researcher's own
calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.15. Measures of Sampling Adequacy for Items Measuring Media
Literacy Variable. Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM SPSS
Statistics
Figure 4.16. Measures of Sampling Adequacy for Items Measuring Media
Literacy Variable after Removal of Invalid Items. Taken from researcher's own
calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.17. Corrected Item-Total Correlation Scores for Items Measuring
Perceived Credibility of Forwarded Messages on WhatsApp Groups Variable.
Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.18. Corrected Item-Total Correlation Scores for Items Measuring
Perceived Credibility of Forwarded Messages on WhatsApp Groups Variable
After Removal of Item PC3. Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM
SPSS Statistics

Figure 4.19. Measures of Sampling Adequacy for Items Measuring Perceived
Credibility of Forwarded Messages on WhatsApp Groups Variable. Taken from
researcher's own calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics 90
Figure 4.20. Cronbach's Alpha for Items Measuring Information Quality Variable.
Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.21. Cronbach's Alpha for Items Measuring Information Quality Variable
After Removal of Item IQ2. Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM
SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.22. Cronbach's Alpha for Items Measuring Persuasiveness of Simple
Cues Variable. Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
93
Figure 4.23. Cronbach's Alpha for Items Measuring Media Literacy Variable.
Taken from researcher's own calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.24. Cronbach's Alpha for Items Measuring Persuasiveness of Simple
Cues Variable after Removal of Invalid Items. Taken from researcher's own
calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.25. Cronbach's Alpha for Items Measuring Perceived Credibility of
Forwarded Messages on WhatsApp Groups Variable. Taken from researcher's
own calculation on IBM SPSS Statistics
Figure 4.26. Formula for Calculation of e or Coefficient of Unexplained Variance
Terms. Adapted from Bryman & Cramer, from Lea & Webley, 1997 101
Figure 4.27 Formula for Calculation of Moderated Regression Analysis. Adapted
from Lie, 2009
Figure 4.28. Path Diagram Based on Hypothetical Model. Based on researcher's
own summary
Figure 5.1 Average Responses to Items Measuring Media Literacy Variable.
Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.2 Responses to Item ML2 Measuring Ability to Access in Media
Literacy Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.3 Responses to Item ML3 Measuring Ability to Access in Media
Literacy Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.4 Responses to Item ML4 Measuring Ability to Access in Media Literacy Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.5 Responses to Item ML5 Measuring Ability of Analysis in Media
Literacy Variable. Based on researcher's findings

Figure 5.6 Responses to Item ML5 Measuring Indicator of Ability of Analysis in
Media Literacy Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.7 Responses to Item ML8 Measuring Ability of Evaluation in Media
Literacy Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.8 Responses to Item ML9 Measuring Ability of Evaluation in Media
Literacy Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.9 Responses to Item ML10 Measuring Ability of Evaluation in Media
Literacy Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.10 Average Responses to Items Measuring Information Quality Variable.
Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.11 Responses to Item IQ1 Measuring Accuracy in Information Quality
Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.12 Responses to Item IQ3 Measuring Accuracy in Information Quality
Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.13 Responses to Item IQ4 Measuring Objectivity in Information Quality
Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.14 Responses to Item IQ5 Measuring Currency in Information Quality
Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.15 Responses to Item IQ6 Measuring Coverage Scope in Information
Quality Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.16 Average Responses to Items Measuring Persuasiveness of Simple
Cues Variable. Based on researcher's findings
Figure 5.17 Responses to Item SC1 Measuring Persuasiveness of Source Cues in
Persuasiveness of Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable. Based on researcher's
findings
Figure 5.18 Responses to Item SC2 Measuring Persuasiveness of Source Cues in
Persuasiveness of Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable. Based on researcher's
findings
Figure 5.19 Responses to Item SC3 Measuring Persuasiveness of Source Cues in
Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable. Based on researcher's findings 127
Figure 5.20 Responses to Item SC4 Measuring Persuasiveness of Message Cues in
Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable. Based on researcher's findings 128
Figure 5.21 Responses to Item SC5 Measuring Persuasiveness of Message Cues in
Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable. Based on researcher's findings 129
Figure 5.22 Average Responses to Items Measuring Perceived Credibility of
Forwarded Messages Regarding Health in WhatsApp Groups Variable. Based on
researcher's findings
Figure 5.23 Responses to Item PC1 Measuring Perceived Credibility of
Forwarded Messages Regarding Health in WhatsApp Groups Variable. Based on
researcher's findings

Figure 5.24 Responses to Item PC2 Measuring Perceived Credibility of
Forwarded Messages Regarding Health in WhatsApp Groups Variable. Based on
researcher's findings
Figure 5.25 Responses to Item PC3 Measuring Perceived Credibility of
Forwarded Messages Regarding Health in WhatsApp Groups Variable. Based on
researcher's findings
Figure 5.26 R-Square Value in Regression Analysis of All Variables. Based on
researcher's own analysis on IBM SPSS
Figure 5.27 Standard Coefficients and Significance in Regression Analysis of All
Variables. Based on researcher's own analysis on IBM SPSS
Figure 5.28 Path Diagram Based on Regression Analysis of All Variables. Based
on researcher's own analysis on IBM SPSS
Figure 5.29 R-Square Value in Regression Analysis of Information Quality and
Moderating Variable. Based on researcher's own analysis on IBM SPSS 135
Figure 5.30 Standardized Coefficients and Significance in Regression Analysis of
Information Quality and Moderating Variable. Based on researcher's own analysis
on IBM SPSS
Figure 5.31 R-Square Value in Regression Analysis of Persuasiveness of Simple
Cues Variable. Based on researcher's own analysis on IBM SPSS
Figure 5.32 Standardized Coefficients and Significance in Regression Analysis of
Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable. Based on researcher's own analysis on
IBM SPSS
Figure 5.33 R-Square Value in Regression Analysis with No Moderating
Variable. Based on researcher's own analysis on IBM SPSS
Figure 5.34 Standardized Coefficients and Significance in Regression Analysis
with No Moderating Variable. Based on researcher's own analysis on IBM SPSS
Figure 5.35 Path Diagram Based on Regression Analysis with No Moderating
Variable. Based on researcher's own analysis on IBM SPSS

LIST OF TABLES

page
Table 4.1. Information Quality Variable, Definition, Indicators, Measurements
Table 4.2. Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable, Definition, Indicators,
Measurements
Table 4.3. Media Literacy Variable, Definition, Indicators, Measurements 64 Table 4.4. Perceived Credibility of Forwarded Messages Regarding Health on
WhatsApp Groups Variable, Definition, Indicators, Measurements
Table 4.5. Distribution of Questions in the Questionnaire
Table 4.6. Questionnaire
Table 4.7. Modified Questionnaire after Validity and Reliability Tests 95
Table 5.1 Age of Respondents
Table 5.2 Gender of Respondents
Table 5.3 Respondents' Level of Education
Table 5.4 Jobs of Respondents
Table 5.5 Types of Respondents' WhatsApp Groups

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	page
Questionnaire Used in Pre-Test	A-1
APPENDIX B	
SPSS Outputs for Reliability Analysis of Media Literacy Variable	B-12 B-20 B-25 able
SPSS Outputs for KMO & Bartlett's Test of Persuasiveness of Simple Cues Variable	
SPSS Outputs for Reliability Analysis of Perceived Credibility of Forwarded Messages Regarding Health in WhatsApp Groups Variable	B-33 led
APPENDIX C	
Questionnaire Used in Primary Data Collection	C-1
APPENDIX D	
SPSS Output for Regression Analysis: All Variables	су
SPSS Output for Regression Analysis: Persuasiveness of Simple Cues and Me	
Literacy Variables Only	
APPENDIX E	
Copy of Thesis Monitoring Sheet	E-1