

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise and thanksgiving to God Almighty, only by His blessings and mercy, the final report titled "OPTIMIZATION OF ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY AND SENSORY ACCEPTABILITY OF MATCHA CAJUPUTS CANDY" can be completed well and just in time.

This final report is prepared based on the research that has been done from August 2017 to November 2017. Final project is a final requirement for students who pursue Food Technology Study Program Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan. This thesis is also useful for writers to apply the knowledge gained and gain new experiences that cannot be obtained from the lecture.

This thesis would not be finished without the support and guidance from many people. Therefore, the writer would like to express the greatest and sincere gratitude to these people who have had given their supports and guidance, including:

1. Eric Jobiliong, Ph.D. as the Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
2. Sunie Rahardja, M.S.CE., as the Acting Vice Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
3. Laurence, S.T., M.T., as Director of Faculty of Science and Technology.
4. W. Donald R. Pokatong, Ph.D., as the Head of Food Technology Study Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
5. Prof. Dr. Ir. C. Hanny Wijaya, M.A.Agr as supervisor of final project who always provide guidance and support.
6. Natania, M. Eng as co-supervisor of final project that has support me during the final project and given many useful advises during the final project.
7. Yuniwaty Halim, M.Sc, Head of Quality Control and Research Laboratories, Dr. Tagor M. Siregar, M.Si, Head of Chemistry Laboratory and Natania, M.Eng, Head of Food Processing Laboratory, who had given permission for the author to conduct research in the respective laboratories.

8. Beloved parents, father (Thomas) and mother (Ellin) for their support during final project.
9. Andrea Christy, Angga Eka Sugato, Febrico Haris, Florencia Irena, Karina Indriani, Kenny Wijaya, Kezia Luna Liemanto, Novini Gunario as friends who have supported the author during university life.
10. Andrea Angelina as partner during the final project.
11. Mei Diana Sonatha, Rocky, Eric, Cynthia Joe as partners under the same supervisor.
12. All friends and relatives who are not listed above that have supported the author during the completion of final project.

The writer is aware that this thesis is not perfect. Therefore, the writer is open to any criticisms and suggestions regarding this thesis. Furthermore, the author hopes that this thesis would be useful for the readers. Thank you.

Tangerang, 15th February, 2018

Sicilia Chandra Wijaya


TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
COVER	
STATEMENT OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY	
APPROVAL BY THESIS SUPERVISORS	
ABSTRACT.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	2
1.3 Objective.....	3
1.3.1 General Objective.....	3
1.3.2 Specific Objective.....	3
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Hard Candy.....	4
2.2 Cajuputs Candy.....	5
2.2.1 Cajuput Oil.....	6
2.2.2 Peppermint Oil.....	7
2.3 Matcha.....	7
2.3.1 Matcha Grades.....	10
2.3.2 Matcha Benefits towards Oral Health.....	13
2.3.3 Application of Matcha in Food Products.....	14
2.4 Antioxidants.....	14
2.4.1 The Effect of Heat towards Antioxidant Activity.....	16
2.4.2 The Effect of Cultivation Area and Cultivar towards Antioxidant Value.....	18
2.5 Response Surface Methodology.....	19
2.6 Sensory Evaluation.....	20
2.6.1 Acceptance Test.....	20
2.6.1.1 Hedonic Rating.....	21
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Material and Equipment.....	22
3.2 Method.....	22
3.2.1 Preliminary Research.....	22
3.2.1.1 Preparation of Cajuputs Candy.....	23

3.2.1.2 Completely Randomized Factorial Design	24
3.2.1.3 Consumer Acceptance	25
3.2.2 Main Research.....	25
3.2.2.1 Added Matcha Concentration Optimization	26
3.3 Method of Analysis.....	27
3.3.1 Sample Extraction	27
3.3.2 Free Radical Scavenging Activity	27
3.3.3 Total Phenolic Content.....	27
3.3.4 Total Flavonoids.....	28
3.3.5 Moisture Content.....	28
 CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Preliminary Research.....	29
4.1.1 Antioxidant Properties of Different Types of Matcha.....	30
4.1.2 Sensory Acceptability of Different Matcha Types	32
4.1.3 Selection of Matcha Type.....	35
4.2 Main Research	36
4.2.1 Optimization of Added Matcha Concentration	36
4.2.2 Response Analysis.....	38
4.2.2.1 IC ₅₀ Value	38
4.2.2.2 Total Phenolic Content	39
4.2.2.3 Total Flavonoid Content	40
4.2.2.4 Color	41
4.2.2.5 Mouthfeel	42
4.2.2.6 Taste	43
4.2.2.7 Overall.....	45
4.2.3 Optimization of Matcha Cajuputs Candy.....	46
4.2.4 Verification Stage	47
4.2.5 Product's Profile and Product Comparison with Control.....	48
 CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
5.1 Conclusion.....	51
5.2 Suggestion	51
 BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APPENDICES	

LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 2.1 Gambung green tea clone GMB 1-11.....	12
Figure 2.2 Antioxidant activity-structure relationship of flavonoids.....	16
Figure 3.1 Preliminary research steps.....	23
Figure 3.2 Main research steps.....	26
Figure 4.1 Four types of matcha powder.....	29
Figure 4.2 IC ₅₀ value response analysis graph.....	38
Figure 4.3 Phenolic content response analysis graph.....	39
Figure 4.4 Flavonoid content response analysis graph.....	40
Figure 4.5 Color response analysis graph.....	41
Figure 4.6 Mouthfeel response analysis graph.....	42
Figure 4.7 Taste response analysis graph.....	43
Figure 4.8 Overall response analysis graph.....	45
Figure 4.9 Control and optimum product.....	49



LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 2.1 SNI requirements for hard candy	4
Table 2.2 Catechin, theanine and caffeine content in tea leaves (g per 100 g dry leaves)	13
Table 3.1 Cajuputs candy formulation	24
Table 3.2 Completely randomized factorial design	24
Table 4.1 Antioxidant properties of matcha powder	30
Table 4.2 Antioxidant properties of different matcha type in cajuputs candy	31
Table 4.3 Sensory acceptance of four types of matcha cajuputs candy in preliminary stage	33
Table 4.4 Color hedonic and scoring value	34
Table 4.5 Response surface methodology output	37
Table 4.6 Response surface ANOVA	37
Table 4.7 Green tea taste and bitterness scoring value	44
Table 4.8 Optimization summary of responses	46
Table 4.9 Solution prediction of responses	47
Table 4.10 Verification result of the responses	48
Table 4.11 Optimum product comparison and control	49