#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To start it off, the upmost gratitude and highest praise to Jesus Christ for His constant grace and blessings have enabled the author to complete this thesis with a title "EFFECT OF RATIO OF PURIFIED *ILES-ILES* FLOUR TO JACKFRUITSEED FLOUR AND PUMPKIN PUREE AS FAT REPLACER ON PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND ORGANOLEPTICPROPERTIES OF COOKIES."

This thesis was written based on data retrieved from research conducted from August 2020 to November 2020 and was written as the partial fulfillment of the academic requirement to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Teknologi Pertanian*, Food Technology Study Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan, Tangerang.

The author has received much help in the form of prayer, guidance and encouragement from many different parties. The help received by the author has enabled the author to complete this thesis. Thus, the author would like to express his upmost gratitude to the following parties:

- 1. Ir. W. Donald R. Pokatong, M.Sc., Ph.D., Head of Food Technology Study Program, as thesis supervisor who had given guidance, supervision, helpful advices, constructive suggestions and criticism from the preparation of the thesis proposal up to the completion of the thesis itself.
- 2. Eric Jobiliong, Ph.D. as Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan. Whom had helped the author with various paperwork throughout the academic years.
- 3. Dr. Nuri Arum Anugrahati, as Vice Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan. Whom had helped the author with various paperwork throughout the academic year.
- 4. Laurence, S.T., M.T. as Director of Finance and Student Affair of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan. Whom had helped the author with various paperwork throughout the academic years.

- 5. Ratna Handayani, M.P. as Deputy Head of Food Technology Study Program for the information given throughout the thesis project period.
- 6. Yuniwaty Halim, M.Sc. as the Head of Quality Control and Research Laboratory and Dr. Tagor M. Siregar, M.Si. as the Head of Chemistry Laboratory.
- 7. Natania, M.Eng. as the Head of Food Processing Laboratory where the author was mostly working at.
- 8. Intan Cidarbulan Matita, Ph.D. as the authors academic supervisor, whom has given guidance and aid to the author throughout the past 3 academic years
- 9. Aileen, S.T.P., Regy Tahapary, Darius, Pak Aji and Adhi for guiding and teaching the author in utilizing certain equipment in the laboratory.
- 10. All other lecturers, assistant and staffs of Food Technology Study Program for the help during the thesis project period.
- 11. Beloved parents, Purnamasari Tanudjaja and Hadi Sujono Ibrahim, for the constant love, support, encouragement, prayers and time that they have given to the author during the thesis period.
- 12. Michael Djurijanto, Gobinder Singh, Jessica Jocelyn, Oe Ming Ay, Stevany Natanael, Febiana Christy as friends under the same supervisor.
- 13. Michael Austin, Michael Adrian, Christopher Setiawan, Christopher Jason, Jason Muliadi, Yesyurin Kirana, Mona Felicia, Joanne Tirto, Calmness Fellia Halim and Charlene Octavian Anggono for accompanying the author throughout the thesis period.
- 14. All friends and relatives who had not been mentioned whom also took part in supporting and encouraging the author during the thesis period.

The author realized that this report is far from perfect and in need of improvements. The author apologizes for any imperfections that are in this report. Any sort of criticism and suggestions for the improvement of this report is very much welcomed. The author hopes that this report can be of use for many parties.

# Tangerang, January 2021

(Steven Ibrahim)



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
COVER	
FINAL ASSIGNMENT STATEMENT AND UPLOAD AGREEMENT	
APPROVAL BY THESIS SUPERVISOR	
APPROVAL BY THESIS EXAMINATION COMMITEE	
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRACTACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem	2
1.3 Objectives	3
1.3.1 General Objective	
1.3.2 Specific Objective	
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 <i>Iles-iles</i>	5
2.1.1 Health Benefits in <i>Iles-iles</i>	
2.2 Jackfruit	
2.2.1 Health Benefits in Jackfruit Seeds	
2.3 Pumpkin	
2.3.1 Pumpkin as Fat Replacer	
2.4 Cookies	10
2.4.1 Function of Flour in Cookies.	10
2.4.2 Function of Fat in Cookies	
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Materials and Equipment	13
3.2 Preliminary Research	
3.2.1 Preparation of Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	
3.2.2 Preparation of Jackfruit Seed Flour	
3.2.3 Preparation of Pumpkin Puree	
3.3 Main Research	
3.3.1 Preparation of Cookies	
3.4 Methods of Analysis	
3.4.1 Moisture Content	
3.4.2 Total AshContent	

p	age
3.4.3 Protein Content	19
3.4.4 Fat Content	
3.4.5 Carbohydrate Content	
3.4.6 Dietary Fiber Analysis (Lab Chemix)	20
3.4.7 Yield	
3.4.8 Calcium Oxalate Content	21
3.4.9 Texture Analysis	22
3.4.10 Color Analysis	23
3.4.11 Spread Ratio	23
3.4.12 Sensory Analysis	24
3.5 Experimental Design (9 Formulations)	24
3.6 Experimental Design	
(Three Best Formulations and Commercial Control)	26
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Physicochemical Characteristic of Purified <i>iles iles</i> flour	28
4.2 Physicochemical Characteristic of Jackfruit Seed flour	
4.3 Physicochemical Characteristic of Pumpkin Puree	
4.4 Effect of Ratio of Jackfruit Seed Flour to Purified	
Iles-Iles Flour and Pumpkin Puree Concentration on Chemical Composition of Cookies	31
4.4.1 Moisture Content	
1.1.2 Protein Content	32
4.4.2 Flocin Content 4.4.3 Fat Content 4.4.4 Ash Content 4.4.5 Carbohydrate Content 4.4.6 Dietary Fiber Content	
4.4.4 Ash Content.	34
4.4.5 Carbohydrate Content	36
4.4.6 Dietary Fiber Content	
4.5 Determination of Three Best Formulation	
4.6 The Effect of Three Best Formulations on the Physical	
and Organoleptic Properties of Cookies	38
4.6.1 Hardness on Three Best Formulations	39
4.6.2 Spread Ratio of Three Best Formulations	40
4.6.3 °Hue of Three Best Formulations	.40
4.6.4 Lightness of Three Best Formulations	.41
4.6.5 Scoring Color on Three Best Formulations	43
4.6.6 Scoring Aroma on Three Best Formulations	42
4.6.7 Scoring Taste on Three Best Formulations	43
4.6.8 Scoring Hardness on Three Best Formulations	.44
4.6.9 Scoring Texture on Three Best Formulations	.44
4.6.10 Hedonic Color on Three Best Formulations	45
4.6.11 Hedonic Aroma on Three Best Formulations	
4.6.12 Hedonic Taste on Three Best Formulations	
4.6.13 Hedonic Hardness on Three Best Formulations	47
4.6.14 Hedonic Texture on Three Best Formulations	.47

4.6.15 Hedonic Overall Acceptance on Three Best Formulations	48
CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	
5.1 Conclusions	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	51
APPENDICES	56



# LIST OF TABLES

		page
Table 3.1	Formulation of cookies	17
Table 3.2	Scales for hedonic test	24
Table 3.3	Scales for scoring test	24
Table 3.4	Experimental design (9 formulations)	25
Table 3.5	Experimental design (three best formulations and control)	
Table 4.1	Physicochemical characteristic iles-iles corm and	
	purified iles-iles flour	28
Table 4.2	Physicochemical characteristics of jackfruit seed	
	and jackfruit Seed Flour	29
Table 4.3	Physicochemical characteristics of pumpkin	
	and pumpkin puree	30
Table 4.4	Effect of different ratios of JSF:PIF on the fat content of	
	cookies	34
Table 4.5	Effect of different concentrations of pumpkin puree	l.
	on the fat content of cookies	
Table 4.6	Three best formulations and control	39
Table 4.7	Hardness of three best formulations	39
Table 4.8	Spread ratio of three best formulations	40
Table 4.9	°Hue of three best formulations	41
Table 4.10	Lightness of three best formulations	
Table 4.11	Color scoring of three best formulations	42
Table 4.12	Aroma scoring of three best formulations	43
Table 4.13	Taste scoring of three best formulations	43
Table 4.14	Texture scoring of three best formulations	
Table 4.15	Color hedonic of three best formulations	
Table 4.16	Aroma hedonic of three best formulations	46
Table 4.17	Taste hedonic of three best formulations	
Table 4.18	Hardness hedonic of three best formulations	
Table 4.19	Texture hedonic of three best formulations	
Table 4.20	Overall acceptance of three best formulations	48

# LIST OF FIGURES

		page
Figure 2.1	Iles-iles plant (left) and iles-iles corm (right)	5
Figure 2.2	Jackfruit tree and jackfruit (left) and jackfruit seeds (right)	
Figure 2.3	Pumpkin plant (left) and pumpkin (right)	9
Figure 2.4	Preparation of Cookies	
Figure 3.1	Flow diagram of fine purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour preparation	
Figure 3.2	Flow diagram of fine jackfruit seed flour preparation	
Figure 3.3	Flow diagram of pumpkin puree preparation	
Figure 3.4	Flow diagram of cookie preparation	18
Figure 4.1	Moisture content of formulated cookies	32
Figure 4.2	Protein content of formulated cookies	33
Figure 4.3	Ash content of formulated cookies	
Figure 4.4	Carbohydrate content of formulated cookies	36
Figure 4.5	Dietary fiber content of formulated cookies	37
RSIT	AR.	

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

		page
Appendix A	. Physicochemical Characteristics of Iles-Iles Flour	
	Moisture Content of <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	A-1
	Protein Content of <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	A-2
	Fat Content of <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	A-3
	Ash Content of <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	A-4
	Carbohydrate Content of Iles-Iles Flour	A-5
	Dietary FiberContent of Iles-Iles Flour	
A.	Yield of <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	
- 1	Lightness of <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	A-8
	<sup>o</sup> Hue Value of Purified iles iles Flour	A-9
Appendix B	. Physicochemical Characteristics of Jackfruit Seed Flour	
	Moisture Content of Jackfruit Seed Flour	B-1
A VOA	Protein Content of Jackfruit Seed Flour	
	Fat Content of Jackfruit Seed Flour	B-3
10-20-01	Ash Content of Jackfruit Seed Flour	
100	Carbohydrate Content of Jackfruit Seed Flour	B-5
	Dietary Fiber Content of Jackfruit Seed Flour	
	Yield of Jackfruit Seed Flour	
	Lightness of Jackfruit Seed Flour	B-8
	<sup>o</sup> Hue Value of Jackfruit Seed Flour	
Appendix C	. Physicochemical Characteristics of Pumpkin Puree	/
	Moisture Content of Pumpkin Puree	C-1
	Protein Content of Pumpkin Puree	
100	Fat Content of Pumpkin Puree	
	Ash Content of Pumpkin Puree	
	Carbohydrate Content of Pumpkin Puree	
/=	Dietary Fiber Content of Pumpkin Puree	
	Yield of Pumpkin Puree	
	Lightness of Pumpkin Puree	C-8
	<sup>o</sup> Hue Value of Pumpkin Puree	C-9
Appendix D	. Chemical Composition of Cookies	
	Moisture Content of Cookies	D-1
	Protein Content of Cookies	
	Fat Content of Cookies	D-7
	Ash Content of Cookies	
	Carbohydrate Content of Cookies	
	Dietary Fiber of Cookies	D-16

	P	age
Appendix E.	Determination of Three Best Formulations	
	Statistical Analysis on Three Chosen Formulations with Control	E-1
Appendix F.	Hardness on Three Best Formulations	
Appendix G.	. Color of Three Best Formulations	
	°Hue Value of Three Best Formulations	G-1 G-3
Appendix H.	. Spread Ratio of Three Best Formulations	
Appendix I.	Scoring Test on Three Best Formulations	
TAS	Scoring questionnaire	.I-2 .I-4 .I-6 .I-8
Appendix J.	Hedonic Test on Three Best Formulations	
MERS	Hedonic questionnaire  Color Hedonic of Three Best Formulations of Cookies  Aroma Hedonic of Three Best Formulations of Cookies  Taste Hedonic of Three Best Formulations of Cookies  Hardness Hedonic of Three Best Formulations of Cookies  Texture Hedonic of Three Best Formulations of Cookies  Overall Acceptance of Three Best Formulations of Cookies	.J-2 .J-4 .J-6 .J-8 J-10
Appendix K.	. Documentations and Documents	
	Raw materials	<b>K</b> -1
	End product  Dietary fibers of flours, puree and cookies (Chemmix)	K-2