

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, the author would like to express her most sincere gratitude to the Lord Jesus Christ for His uncountable blessings and guidance throughout the completion of this thesis entitled “UTILIZATION OF PURIFIED ILES-ILES (*Amorphophallus muelleri* Blume) FLOUR AND YELLOW PUMPKIN (*Cucurbita pepo* L.) PUREE IN THE MAKING OF BAKED DOUGHNUTS.”

This thesis was written based on the research conducted from August 2020 to November 2020 as a partial requirement to obtain the degree of *Sarjana Teknologi Pertanian*, Food Technology Study Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan, Tangerang.

The author realizes that this thesis could not be successfully completed by the author without the support, encouragement, and prayers from many parties. Hence, the author would like to express her greatest gratitude to those parties, including:

1. Ms. Intan Cidarbulan Matita, Ph.D. as thesis supervisor, for providing the time, energy, support, guidance and encouragement since preparation of thesis proposal to the completion of the thesis through her supervision and substantial advices and suggestions.
2. Ms. Yuniwaty Halim, M.Sc. as thesis co-supervisor who has provided her time, energy, support and guidance since the preparation of thesis proposal to the completion of the thesis and as the Head of Quality Control and Research Laboratory for allowing the author to conduct her research in the laboratory.
3. Mr. Eric Jobilong, Ph.D. as Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
4. Dr. Nuri Arum Anugrahati as Vice Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.
5. Mr. Laurence, S.T., M.T. as Director of Administration and Student Affair of Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Pelita Harapan.

6. Ir. W. Donald. R. Pokatong, M.Sc., Ph.D., Head of Food Technology Study Program for the guidance and support throughout the thesis period.
7. Ms. Ratna Handayani, M.P. as Deputy Head of Food Technology Study Program for the guidance and support throughout the thesis period.
8. Dr. Tagor M. Siregar, M. Si. As the Head of Chemistry Laboratory, and Mrs. Natania, M. Eng. as the Head of Food Processing Laboratory for allowing the author to conduct her research in the laboratory and for the support.
9. Ms. Aileen Neysha Widyaapranata, S.T.P., Mr. Regy Tahapary, Mr. Darius Wulakada, Mr. Ahmad Fauzi Ridwan and Mr. Adhi as the lab officers for the tremendous help, guidance and information given to the author during her work in the laboratory.
10. All other lecturers and staffs of Food Technology Study Program of Universitas Pelita Harapan for the teachings and assistances throughout the study and for the support and help throughout the thesis period.
11. The author's beloved parents, Sumargo Anggono and Meilany Indahsari, grandparents, Ratna Andarini, Rosewijati Ongkowijoyo, and Hadiprayitno Anggono, as well as siblings, Charmine Natalie Anggono and Javriel Etanim Anggono.
12. Yesyurin Kirana, Michael Austin, Anthony Gunawan, Bernadette Florencia, and Ronaldo Julio as partners on the same guidance team for the help, support and encouragement during the thesis period.
13. Steven Ibrahim, Michael Djurijanto, Febiana Christy, Diandra Tirtakusuma, Clarissa Dian, Sharon Angelina, Jessica Amelia, Priscilla Aurielle, and Celine Margaretha, as well as all other batch 1 and 2 students for their help and support in the laboratory during thesis period.
14. Ronald Wirahmat, Nick Gerald, Natasya Mauritania, Jocelyn Dhea, Bianca Lois Kantono, Catherine Jennifer, Maria Clara Elvira Handoko, Laura Patricia, Felicia Cindy, Rachel Angela and Bella Gunawan as author's friends who gave endless support and encouragement for the author during the making of this thesis.

15. Shella Halim, Janice Abby, Clarissa and Wilson Arya as author's friends for the support and encouragement in finishing the thesis.
16. Jovinsky Happy Tanzil, Elita Feby, Madeline Gracia, Verren Zakaria, Jessica Nurmaria Demak, Clara Tasia, Livia Katherina and Raphael Dimas Tri Nugroho as author's senior who gave endless support, encouragement and advices throughout the writing of the thesis.
17. All Pangan 4 members, Sebastian Hellison, Beatrice Lokita, Shanice Grace, Jesica Limiar, Alishia Gozal, Cynthia Paulina, Vania Vivian, Jennifer and Michael for their support and encouragement throughout the thesis period.
18. Author's fellow Exhibition members and friends, especially Cindy, Stefani Oktavia, Angela Maretha, Stefanie Novelia, Regina Natasha and Felicia Grimaldi for the moral support and encouragement during the thesis period.
19. Author's fellow ICS friends, Jenny Sukhwita, Phoebe Hartono, Gary and Yansen, author's fellow ISL 10 friends, as well as SG and SLC members for their support and encouragement during the thesis period.
20. All friends and relatives who had not been mentioned but also took part in supporting the author throughout the thesis period.

The author is aware that this report is far from perfect. Therefore, the author would like to apologize for any mistake in the report and would highly appreciate any criticisms and suggestions given to this report. Finally, the author hopes that this report would be a new positive insight which will become beneficial for the readers. Thank you.

Tangerang, February 2nd 2021

(Charlene Octavian)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
COVER.....
FINAL ASSIGNMENT STATEMENT AND UPLOAD AGREEMENT.....
APPROVAL BY THESIS SUPERVISORS.....
APPROVAL BY THESIS EXAMINATION COMMITTEE.....
ABSTRACT	viii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
 CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.3 Objectives	3
1.3.1 General Objective	3
1.3.2 Specific Objectives	4
 CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW.....	 5
2.1 Doughnut	5
2.1.1 Baked Doughnut	5
2.1.2 Raw Materials of Doughnut	5
2.1.2.1 Wheat flour	6
2.1.2.2 Egg	6
2.1.2.3 Sugar	6
2.1.2.4 Fat.....	7
2.1.2.5 Salt	7
2.1.2.6 Instant Dried Yeast.....	8
2.1.2.7 Water.....	9
2.1.3 Production Process of Baked Doughnut	9
2.1.3.1 Mixing	9
2.1.3.2 Fermentation	9
2.1.3.3 Make-up	10
2.1.3.4 Proofing.....	11
2.1.3.5 Baking	11
2.1.3.6 Finishing	12
2.2 <i>Iles-iles</i>	12
2.2.1 <i>Iles-iles</i> flour	14
2.3 Yellow Pumpkin.....	16
 CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	 19
3.1 Materials and Equipment.....	19
3.2 Research Method	20

3.2.1 Preliminary Stages	20
3.2.1.1 Production of purified <i>Iles-iles</i> flour.....	20
3.2.1.2 Production of yellow pumpkin puree.....	22
3.2.2 Main Research.....	23
3.3 Experimental Design	25
3.4 Methods of Analysis.....	27
3.4.1 <i>Iles-iles</i> Flour Analysis	27
3.4.1.1 Yield of Purified <i>Iles-iles</i> Flour (Saputro <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	27
3.4.1.2 Calcium Oxalate Content Analysis (Mawarni and Widjanarko, 2015).....	27
3.4.2 Baked Doughnut Analysis	28
3.4.2.1 Sensory Evaluation (Lamusu, 2018; Aminah <i>et al.</i> , 2013).....	28
3.4.2.2 Proximate Analysis	30
3.4.2.2.1 Ash Content (AOAC, 2005).....	30
3.4.2.2.2 Moisture Content (AOAC, 2005).....	30
3.4.2.2.3 Protein Content (AOAC, 2005).....	31
3.4.2.2.4 Fat Content (AOAC, 2005).....	31
3.4.2.2.5 Carbohydrate Content (AOAC, 2005)	32
3.4.2.3 Total Dietary Fiber analysis (AOAC, 1995)....	32
3.4.2.4 Hardness (Hosseini Ghaboos <i>et al.</i> , 2018).....	33
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	34
4.1 Taxonomical Verification of <i>Iles-iles</i> Tuber and Yellow Pumpkin	34
4.2 Characteristics of Purified <i>Iles-iles</i> Flour	34
4.2.1 Yield of Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	34
4.2.2 Chemical Composition of Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	35
4.3 Characteristics of yellow pumpkin puree	37
4.3.1 Chemical Composition of Yellow Pumpkin Puree	37
4.4 Effect of Ratios of Wheat flour to Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour and Margarine to Yellow Pumpkin Puree on Sensory Properties of Baked Doughnut.....	38
4.4.1 Color Perception	38
4.4.2 Aroma Perception	42
4.4.3 Taste Perception	46
4.4.4 Hardness Perception.....	50
4.4.5 Overall Acceptance	54
4.5 Determination of Selected Formulation	56
4.6 Comparison Between Selected Formulation and Control	56
4.6.1 Chemical composition.....	57
4.6.2 Organoleptic properties	59
4.6.3 Hardness	60

CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS.....	62
5.1 Conclusion.....	62
5.2 Suggestions.....	63

BIBLIOGRAPHY

APPENDICES



LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 2.1 (a) <i>Iles-iles</i> plant, (b) <i>iles-iles</i> tuber, and (c) <i>iles-iles</i> bulbil.....	13
Figure 2.2 Structure of glucomannan.....	14
Figure 2.3 (a) <i>Cucurbita pepo</i> , (b) <i>Cucurbita moschata</i> , (c) <i>Cucurbita maxima</i>	17
Figure 3.1 Production of purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour from <i>iles-iles</i> tuber.....	21
Figure 3.2 Production of yellow pumpkin puree	23
Figure 3.3 Production of baked doughnuts	24
Figure 4.1 (a) Effect of ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour on scoring value of color	39
Figure 4.1 (b) Effect of ratio of margarine to yellow pumpkin puree on scoring value of color.....	39
Figure 4.2 Effect of ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour on taste on hedonic value of color	41
Figure 4.3 (a) Effect of ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour on scoring value of aroma	43
Figure 4.3 (b) Effect of ratio of margarine to yellow pumpkin puree on scoring value of aroma	43
Figure 4.4 Effect of ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour on hedonic value of aroma	45
Figure 4.5 Effect of interaction between ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour and ratio of margarine to yellow pumpkin puree on scoring value of taste	47
Figure 4.6 Effect of ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour on hedonic value of taste.....	48
Figure 4.7 (a) Effect of ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour on scoring value of hardness	51
Figure 4.7 (b) Effect of ratio of margarine to yellow pumpkin puree on scoring value of hardness	51
Figure 4.8 Effect of interaction between ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour and ratio of margarine to yellow pumpkin puree on hedonic value of hardness	53
Figure 4.9 (a) Effect of ratio of wheat flour to purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour on hedonic value of overall acceptance.....	55
Figure 4.9 (b) Effect of ratio of margarine to yellow pumpkin puree on hedonic value of overall acceptance.....	55

LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 2.1	Temperature and yeast activity.....
Table 2.2	Chemical composition of raw <i>iles-iles</i> tuber and <i>iles-iles</i> flour
Table 2.3	Composition of yellow pumpkin (100g)
Table 3.1	Formulation of baked doughnut
Table 3.2	Experimental design of main research.....
Table 3.3	Sensory parameter for scoring test
Table 3.4	Seven-point hedonic test scale.....
Table 4.1	Chemical composition of purified <i>iles-iles</i> flour.....
Table 4.2	Chemical composition of yellow pumpkin puree.....
Table 4.3	Chemical composition of selected formulation and control of baked doughnut
Table 4.4	Scoring test comparison between selected formulation and control of baked doughnut
Table 4.5	Hedonic test comparison between selected formulation and control of baked doughnut

LIST OF APPENDICES

	page
Appendix A	
Taxonomical Verification of Iles-Iles and Yellow Pumpkin.....	A-1
Result of Yellow Pumpkin Identification	A-2
Appendix B	
Yield of Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> flour.....	B-1
Appendix C	
Proximate Composition of Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	C-1
Appendix D	
Dietary Fiber Content of Purified <i>Iles-iles</i> Flour.....	D-1
Appendix E	
Calcium Oxalate Content of Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour	E-1
Appendix F	
Chemical Composition of Yellow Pumpkin Puree.....	F-1
Appendix G	
Dietary Fiber Content of Yellow Pumpkin Puree.....	G-1
Appendix H	
Scoring Test of Partially Substituted Baked Doughnut with Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour and Yellow Pumpkin Puree.....	H-1
Scoring Test Questionnaire.....	H-1
Scoring Test Result.....	H-2
Statistical Analysis for Scoring Test of Color	H-3
Statistical Analysis for Scoring Test of Aroma	H-4
Statistical Analysis for Scoring Test of Taste.....	H-5
Statistical Analysis for Scoring Test of Hardness	H-6
Appendix I	
Hedonic Test of Partially Substituted Baked Doughnut with Purified <i>Iles-Iles</i> Flour and Yellow Pumpkin Puree.....	I-1
Example of Hedonic Test Questionnaire	I-1
Hedonic Test Result.....	I-2
Statistical Analysis for Hedonic Test of Color	I-3
Statistical Analysis for Hedonic Test of Aroma	I-4
Statistical Analysis for Hedonic Test of Taste	I-5
Statistical Analysis for Hedonic Test of Hardness	I-6
Statistical Analysis for Hedonic Test of Overall Acceptance	I-7

Appendix J	
Proximate Composition of Baked Doughnut.....	J-1
Appendix K	
Dietary Fiber Content of Baked Doughnut.....	K-1
Appendix L	
Texture Analysis of Baked Doughnut	L-1
Appendix M	
Statistical Analysis for Comparison between Selected Formulation and Control	M-1
Statistical Analysis for Chemical Composition of Selected Formulation and Control	M-1
Statistical Analysis for Sensory Evaluation of Selected Formulation and Control	M-2
Statistical Analysis for Hardness of Selected Formulation and Control ...	M-3
Appendix N	
Dietary Fiber External Analysis Report of Various Samples.....	N-1
Appendix O	
Research Documentation	O-1