

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise to Almighty God for the entire blessing that has been given. Without His wisdom, perseverance, and guidance, the author will not be able to complete this final paper.

This final paper titled “THE INFLUENCE OF BRAND INNOVATIVENESS, PERCEIVED QUALITY, BRAND EXPERIENCE, AND BRAND PERSONALITY TOWARDS PERCEIVED VALUE OF SAMSUNG SMARTPHONE AMONG MILLENNIAL GENERATIONS IN JABODETABEK” is aimed to fulfill final requirement to attain the Sarjana Ekonomi Degree in Management, University of Pelita Harapan, Karawaci. Besides that, this final paper is also facilitates the process of learning and expanding knowledge.

The author realizes without any guidance, support, encouragement and prayer from various people, this final paper cannot be completed right on time. Therefore, the author would like to thank to all those who have assisted in the process of completing this final paper, namely to:

1. Gracia Shinta S. Ugut, MBA., Ph.D., as the Dean of Faculty Economics of Universitas Pelita Harapan.
2. Isana S.C. Meranga, S.P., M.M., as the Head of Management Study Program of Universitas Pelita Harapan.
3. Juniarty, S.Sos., M.M., as Head of Supervisor, for her guidance, suggestions and assistance during the writing of this final paper.
4. Dr. Ir. Evo S. Hariandja, M.M., as the AOE of Marketing of Universitas Pelita Harapan.
5. Liza Handoko, S.E., M.M., as the author’s Academic Advisor since 2017.
6. All lectures and staff in UPH Business School who have taught and helped during

these past 3,5 years both academically and non-academically.

7. My beloved parents, I Putu Anwisuka and Ari Swastini for their supports, prayer and motivation in many aspects during the writing process.
8. My beloved sisters, Hanesia Phinari, S.E., M.S. and dr. Handelia Phinari, M.M., M.A.R.S. for their supports and prayer.
9. My beloved brother, Hananda Krisna Phinari for his support and prayer.
10. My dear friend, Agatha Febrina Kasih who always support me in any situation especially during this completion of final paper.
11. My dear friends, Sierra Milenia Christie, Pearla Wijaya, Nathaniel Oenardi and Budiman Sanjaya who always support me and give their prayers for me.
12. UPH friends for all the support and encouragement given.
13. Each of the respondents who had voluntarily participated to take part in this research and provided time to answer the questionnaires.

Finally, the author realizes that there is still many deficiencies in writing this final paper and the result is far from perfect. Therefore, criticism, opinion, and suggestions will be very useful for the Author to improve this final paper into a better one. Hopefully this Final paper may be beneficial to those who read it.

Tangerang, November 25th 2020

(Hanna Nadia Phinari)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE	i
FINAL ASSIGNMENT STATEMENT AND UPLOAD AGREEMENT	ii
THESIS APPROVAL	iii
THESIS DEFENSE COMMITTEE	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF APPENDENCIES	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement and Research Question	6
1.3 Purpose of Study	7
1.4 Research Benefits	8
1.5 Research Contribution	8
1.6 Research Outline	9
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1. Millennial Generation	11
2.2. Brand Theory	12
2.3. Customer-based Brand Equity	13

2.4.	Brand Innovativeness	14
2.5.	Perceived Quality	15
2.6.	Brand Experience	17
2.7	Brand Personality.....	17
2.8	Perceived Value	18
2.9	Previous Research	19
2.10	Relationship between Variables and Research Hypothesis	22
2.10.1	Impact Brand innovativeness towards perceived quality	22
2.10.2	Impact Brand innovativeness towards Brand experience and Brand Personality.....	22
2.10.3	Impact Brand innovativeness towards perceived value	23
2.10.4	Impact Perceived quality towards brand experience	24
2.10.5	Impact Perceived quality towards brand personality	24
2.10.6	Impact Perceived quality towards perceived value.....	25
2.10.7	Impact Brand experience towards brand personality.....	25
2.10.8	Impact Brand experience towards perceived value	25
2.10.9	Impact Brand personality towards perceived value	26
2.11	Research Model	27
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		28
3.1.	Research Design.....	28
3.1.1	Classification of a Research.....	28
3.1.2	Research Subject and Object	29
3.1.3	Unit Analysis	30

3.2	Population and Sample	30
3.2.1	Determining the Sample Size	31
3.3	Data Collection Method	31
3.3.1	Types of Measurement Scale	31
3.4	Conceptual Definition and optimal Definition	33
3.5	Data Analysis Method.....	38
3.5.1	Descriptive Statistic	38
3.5.2	Inferential Statistics	39
3.6	Pre-test Study.....	39
3.7	Validity Test.....	40
3.8	Reliability Test.....	41
3.9	Partial Least Square – Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)	42
3.10	Multicollinearity Test.....	42
3.11	Inner Model Evaluation.....	42
3.12	Pre-Test Results.....	43
3.13	Results of Preliminary Study Validity Test.....	44
3.14	Results of Preliminary Study Reliability Test.....	48
3.15	Research Model after Pre-test Results.....	48
CHAPTER IV RESULT & DISCUSSION		50
4.1	Respondent Profile	50
4.1.1	Respondent Profile based on Gender	50
4.1.2	Respondent Profile based on Age.....	51

4.1.3	Respondent Profile based on Current Job	51
4.1.4	Respondent Profile based on Income Per Month	52
4.1.5	Respondent Profile based on how long they been using Samsung smartphone.....	52
4.1.6	Respondent Profile based on how many Samsung smartphone models have they bought	53
4.1.7	Respondent Profile based on what Samsung smartphone model are they currently using	54
4.1.8	Respondent Profile based on why do they buy Samsung smartphone.....	54
4.1.9	Respondent Profile based on how many times do they change their Samsung smartphone with other Samsung smartphone new product in past 10 years	55
4.1.10	Respondent Profile based on when was the last time they bought Samsung smartphone.....	56
4.1.11	Respondent Profile based on what is their purpose in using Samsung smartphone products.....	56
4.2	Actual Test	57
4.3	Descriptive Statistics	57
4.3.1	Brand Innovativeness.....	58
4.3.2	Perceived Quality	58
4.3.3	Perceived Value	59
4.3.4	Brand Experience	60
4.3.5	Brand Personality.....	61
4.4	Outer Model Evaluation.....	62

4.4.1	Convergent Validity Test.....	62
4.4.1.1	Factor Loading.....	62
4.4.1.2	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	64
4.4.2	Discriminant Validity.....	64
4.4.2.1	Cross Loading.....	65
4.4.3	Reliability Test	66
4.4.3.1	Multicollinearity Test.....	67
4.5	Inner Model	68
4.5.1	Hypotheses Testing.....	69
4.6	Discussion	71
4.6.1	Hypothesis 1: Brand innovativeness has an impact to perceived brand quality.	71
4.6.2	Hypothesis 2: Brand innovativeness has an impact to brand experience.....	71
4.6.3	Hypothesis 3: Brand innovativeness does not has an impact to brand personality.	72
4.6.4	Hypothesis 4: Brand innovativeness does not has an impact to perceived value.....	72
4.6.5	Hypothesis 5: Perceived quality has an impact to brand experience.....	72
4.6.6	Hypothesis 6: Perceived quality has an impact to brand personality	72
4.6.7	Hypothesis 7: Perceived quality does not has an impact to perceived value	73
4.6.8	Hypothesis 8: Brand experience has an impact to brand personality.....	73
4.6.9	Hypothesis 9: Brand experience has an impact to perceived value	73

4.6.10 Hypothesis 10: Brand personality does not has an impact to perceived value 73

CHAPTER V CONCLUSION 74

5.1. Conclusion 74


5.2. Managerial Implication 74

5.3. Research Limitation 76

5.4 Recommendation76

REFERENCES 78

APPENDICIES 85



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Samsung Growth History	2
Figure 1.2 Global Smartphone Market Share by Quarter	3
Figure 1.3 IDC Indonesia Top 5 Companies.....	4
Figure 2.1 Brand Resonance Pyramid	14
Figure 2.2 The Research Model	27
Figure 3.1 Measurement Level	32
Figure 3.2 Research Model Before the Removal of Several Indicators.....	44
Figure 3.3 Research Model After the Removal of Several Indicators.....	49
Figure 4.1 Actual Research Model	68

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 The Summary of the Comparison between Previous Research and Current Research.....	19
Table 3.1 Conceptual Definitions & Operational Definitions	33
Table 3.2 Interval Scale	39
Table 3.2. Outer Loading Validity Test.....	44
Table 3.3 AVE Value in Validity Test.....	47
Table 3.4 Discriminant Validity in Validity Test	47
Table 3.5 Results of Preliminary Study Reliability Test	48
Table 4.1. Respondent profile based on Gender.....	50
Table 4.2. Respondent profile based on Age.....	51
Table 4.3. Respondent profile based on Current Job.....	52
Table 4.4. Respondent profile based on Income Per Month	52
Table 4.5. Respondent Profile based on how long have they been using Samsung smartphone.....	53
Table 4.6 Respondent profile based on how many Samsung smartphone models have they bought.....	53
Table 4.7 Respondent Profile based on what Samsung smartphone model are they currently using	54
Table 4.8 Respondent Profile based on why do they buy Samsung smartphone	55
4.9 Respondent Profile based on how many times do they change their Samsung smartphone with other Samsung smartphone new product in past 10 years	56

Table 4.10 Respondent Profile based on when was the last time they bought Samsung smartphone.....	56
Table 4.11 Respondent Profile based on what is their purpose in using Samsung smartphone products.....	57
Table 4.12 Mean Values of Brand Innovativeness.....	58
Table 4.13 Mean Values of Perceived Quality.....	58
Table 4.14 Mean Values of Perceived Value.....	59
Table 4.15 Mean Values of Brand Experience.....	60
Table 4.16 Mean Values of Brand Personality.....	61
Table 4.17 Factor Loading values in Actual Test.....	62
Table 4.18 Average Variance Extracted Value in Actual Test.....	64
Table 4.19 HTMT Value in Actual Test.....	64
Table 4.20 Cross Loading Value in Actual Test.....	65
Table 4.21 Cronbach's alpha Value in Actual Test.....	66
Table 4.22 Composite Reliability Value in Actual Test.....	66
Table 4.23 Value of VIF in Actual Test.....	67
Table 4.24 R-Square Adjusted Value in Actual Test.....	69
Table 4.25 Hypotheses Testing Result.....	70

LIST OF APPENDENCIES

- Appendix A The Pretest Questionnaire
Appendix B The Actual Questionnaire
Appendix C SmartPLS 3.0

