

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Praise and gratitude to the Lord Jesus Christ for His endless blessing and guidance from the beginning until the completion of this report. This thesis entitled “UTILIZATION OF KIDNEY BEAN (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) AND MUNG BEAN (*Vigna radiata*) AS BREAKFAST BEVERAGE” was written as a partial requirement for obtaining the degree of *Sarjana Teknologi Pertanian Strata Satu* in Food Technology Study Program, Faculty of Science and Technology of Universitas Pelita Harapan, Tangerang, Banten.

The author fully realizes that it would not be possible to complete thesis report without the guidance, assistance, support and help from many parties. Therefore, the author would like to express utmost gratitude to those who have helped and supported author during the final project, including:

1. Eric Jobiliong, Ph.D., as the Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology for the support and guidance during university years in Universitas Pelita Harapan.
2. Ir. W. Donald R. Pokatong, M.Sc., Ph.D., as the Head of Food Technology Study Program for supporting the author during thesis period, and as academic advisor for the support and guidance during academic years in Food Technology Study Program.
3. Lisa A. Yakhin, M.Eng as the thesis supervisor for the guidance, support, advices, and patience given to the author throughout the entire process of this thesis.
4. Ratna Handayani, MP, as the Deputy Head of Food Technology Study Program for the support during thesis period.
5. Dr. Tagor Marsillam Siregar, M.Si, as the Head of Chemistry laboratory for allowing the author to conduct research in the laboratory, and as the head of examiners for the helpful inputs and comments during thesis revisions.
6. Yuniwaty Halim, M.Sc. as the Head of Quality Control and Research laboratories for allowing the author to conduct research in the laboratory, and as examiner for the helpful inputs and comments during thesis revisions.

7. Natania, M. Eng. as the Head of Food Processing Technology laboratory and Dr. Adolf J. N. Parhusip as the Head of Microbiology laboratory, who had given the author permission to conduct research in the laboratories.
8. Muhammad Faudzie, S.E., Darius Wulakada, Suhendra, Yosafat Rudju as laboratory assistants, for the information and support during laboratory work.
9. Virly, STP and Mateus Andra Gunawan, STP who had helped and guided the author during the thesis period.
10. Julia Ratna Wijaya, MAppSc. and Sisi P.L.A Gultom, M.Eng, former head and deputy head of Food Technology Study Program for the support, guidance and help during study years in Universitas Pelita Harapan.
11. All other lecturers and staffs in Food Technology Study Program of Universitas Pelita Harapan for the knowledge given to the author during the period of study as well as guidance during the thesis period.
12. Beloved family, Darius Na (father), Essie Tjandra (mother), and Fiorenza Levina (sister) for the endless prayer, love, support and help.
13. Priscilla Olivia, Celia Darleen, Isha Kumalasari, Nike Pernama, Raymond Winoto, and Brian Widjaja, as fellow thesis friends under the same supervisor, for the time, joy, support and help during the thesis period.
14. Stefani Pastika, Fandi Ardilen, George Vie, Natasha Soejanto, Benita Idris, Karina Amanda Prisylla, Eddy Kusumah, Rendy Plassetyo, Thaddaeus Matthias, Yemima Christy Winoko, Mellisa Evelyn, and Chelsia Sujadi, as beloved friends, for the joy, support, and laughter shared with the author.
15. Phoebe Tan, Sae Han, Nancy Chen, Santini, Michael Ryan, Monica, Olive, Mario, Joel, and Alec, for the support, fun, laughter and wonderful memories shared with the author during the thesis.
16. Ardelyta Susanti, Caecilia Leenardi, Claudia Severesia, Elizabeth Novia, Eric Hutama, Evelyn, Gusti Ary, Lisa Angereni, Mardiana Gozal, Melita Paramita, Rivandy Tono Sjoifianto, Stella Jessica, Suhendrick Priyono, Wiliyanti Sutanto, Yulia Tendean, for the help, support and joy shared during the research period.
17. Food Technology Batch 2013, especially Class C, for the memories and togetherness shared for 4 years.

18. All other friends, families, and relatives whom cannot be mentioned one by one, for the help and support given to the author.

The author would like to apologize if there were any mistake in the thesis report. The author completely realizes that this report is still far from perfect and requires improvement. Thus, the author will accept any criticisms and suggestions regarding this report for further improvement. Lastly, the author also hopes that this report would be beneficial for the readers. Thank you.

Tangerang, January 2018

Author



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
<b>COVER</b>	
<b>STATEMENT OF THESIS AUTHENTICITY</b>	
<b>APPROVAL BY THESIS SUPERVISOR</b>	
<b>APPROVAL BY THESIS EXAMINATION COMMITTEE</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	iv
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b> .....	v
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b> .....	xiii
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Research problem.....	3
1.3 Objectives.....	4
1.3.1 General objectives .....	4
1.3.2 Specific objectives .....	4
<b>CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Importance of Breakfast.....	5
2.2 Legumes .....	6
2.2.1 Kidney Bean .....	6
2.2.2 Mung Bean.....	6
2.3 Anti-nutritional Factors in Kidney Bean and Mung Bean .....	7
2.4 Methods to Remove Anti-nutritional Factors .....	8
2.4.1 Germination .....	8
2.4.3 Heat Treatment .....	10
2.5 Breakfast Beverage Nutrient Requirement .....	10
<b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 Materials and Equipment .....	12
3.2 Research Procedures .....	13
3.2.1 Research Stage I .....	13
3.2.2 Research Stage II .....	15
3.3 Experimental Design.....	17
3.3.1 Research Stage I Experimental Design.....	17

3.3.2. Research Stage II Experimental Design .....	18
3.4 Method of Analysis .....	20
3.4.1 Moisture Content (AOAC, 2005) .....	20
3.4.2 Ash Content (AOAC, 2005) .....	20
3.4.3 Protein Content (AOAC, 2005) .....	21
3.4.4 Fat Content (AOAC, 2005).....	21
3.4.5 Carbohydrate Content (AOAC, 2005).....	22
3.4.6 Tannin Content (Megat Rusydi and Azrina, 2012) .....	22
3.4.7 Phytic Acid Content (Garcia-Esteva <i>et al.</i> , 1999) .....	23
3.4.8 Total Soluble Solid (Nielsen, 2005) .....	24
3.4.9 Viscosity Test (AOAC, 2005) .....	24
3.4.10 Stability Analysis (Modha and Pal, 2011 with modification) .....	25
3.4.11 Color Analysis (Jouki and Khazaei, 2012) .....	25
3.4.12 pH Analysis (AOAC, 2005) .....	26
3.4.13 Fat Content (Weibull Method).....	26
3.4.14 Total Dietary Fiber .....	27
3.4.15 Sensory Analysis (Meilgaard <i>et al.</i> , 2007) .....	28

## **CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

4.1 Research Stage I.....	29
4.1.1 Kidney Bean and Mung Bean Identification Result .....	29
4.1.2 Proximate Analysis of Kidney Bean and Mung Bean .....	29
4.1.3 Protein Content (Dry Basis) of Kidney Bean and Mung Bean.....	30
4.1.4 Tannin Content (Dry Basis) of Kidney Bean and Mung Bean .....	32
4.1.5 Phytic Acid Content (Dry Basis) of Kidney Bean and Mung Bean ...	34
4.1.6 Determination of Best Treatment .....	36
4.2 Research Stage II.....	37
4.2.1 Physicochemical Characteristic of Breakfast Beverage .....	37
4.2.1.1 Solid Content of Breakfast Beverage.....	36
4.2.1.2 Total Soluble Solid (TSS) Content of Breakfast Beverage....	36
4.2.1.3 Viscosity of Breakfast Beverage.....	37
4.2.1.4 Stability of Breakfast Beverage.....	38
4.2.1.5 Color Analysis of Breakfast Beverage.....	39
4.2.1.6 pH of Breakfast Beverage.....	41
4.2.2 Sensory Properties of Breakfast Beverage .....	43
4.2.3 Sensory Acceptance of Breakfast Beverage .....	47

4.2.4 Determination of Best Formulated Breakfast Beverage and its Nutritional Composition.....	48
<b>CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS</b>	
5.1 Conclusions.....	51
5.2 Suggestions.....	52
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>57</b>




## LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 2.1 Nutritional content of kidney bean.....	6
Table 2.2 Nutritional content of mung bean .....	7
Table 2.3 Anti-nutritional factors in mung bean.....	8
Table 2.4 Nutrient requirement for Breakfast.....	11
Table 3.1 Experimental design for research stage I.....	17
Table 3.2 Experimental design for research stage II.....	19
Table 3.3 Spindle factor for small sample adapter (RV Viscometer).....	25
Table 3.4 Degree of hue interpretation .....	26
Table 4.1 Proximate analysis of raw kidney bean.....	30
Table 4.2 Proximate analysis of raw mung bean .....	30
Table 4.3 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards color of breakfast beverage.....	42
Table 4.4 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards pH of breakfast beverage.....	43
Table 4.5 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards scoring value of each breakfast beverage attributes .....	44
Table 4.6 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards degree of likeness of breakfast beverage attributes .....	47
Table 4.7 Nutritional composition per serving size of 2:1 (kidney bean:mung bean) breakfast drink .....	49

## LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 3.1 Flowchart diagram kidney bean cooking.....	14
Figure 3.2 Flowchart diagram of mung bean cooking .....	15
Figure 3.3 Flowchart diagram of breakfast beverage making.....	16
Figure 4.1 Effect of treatment towards protein content of mung bean .....	31
Figure 4.2 Effect of treatment towards tannin content of kidney bean.....	32
Figure 4.3 Effect of treatment towards tannin content of mung bean.....	33
Figure 4.4 Effect of germination towards phytic acid content of kidney bean .....	35
Figure 4.5 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards solid content .....	37
Figure 4.6 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards total soluble solid.	38
Figure 4.7 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards viscosity.....	39
Figure 4.8 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards breakfast beverage stability .....	41
Figure 4.9 Breakfast beverages made from different kidney bean to mung bean ratio.....	43
Figure 4.10 Effect of kidney bean to mung bean ratio towards overall acceptance of breakfast beverage .....	47





## LIST OF APPENDICES

	page
Appendix A. Scoring and Hedonic Test Questionnaire .....	A-1
Appendix B. LIPI Identification Result of Kidney Bean and Mung Bean .....	B-1
Appendix C. Proximate Analysis Result of Kidney Bean .....	C-1
Appendix D. Proximate Analysis Result of Mung Bean .....	D-1
Appendix E. Dry Weight Fraction of Kidney Bean .....	E-1
Appendix F. Dry Weight Fraction of Mung Bean .....	F-1
Appendix G. Protein Content (Dry Weight Basis) of Cooked Kidney Bean .....	G-1
Appendix H. Protein Content (Dry Weight Basis) of Cooked Mung Bean .....	H-1
Appendix I. Standard Curve of Gallic Acid .....	I-1
Appendix J. Tannin Content of Kidney Bean .....	J-1
Appendix K. Tannin Content Mung Bean .....	K-1
Appendix L. Phytic Acid Content of Kidney Bean .....	L-1
Appendix M. Phytic Acid Content of Mung Bean .....	M-1
Appendix N. Solid Content of Breakfast Beverage .....	N-1
Appendix O. Total Soluble Solid of Breakfast Beverage .....	O-1
Appendix P. Viscosity of Breakfast Beverage .....	P-1
Appendix Q. Stability of Breakfast Beverage .....	Q-1
Appendix R. Color Analysis of Breakfast Beverage .....	R-1
Appendix S. pH of Breakfast Beverage .....	S-1
Appendix T. Scoring Test Results of Breakfast Beverage .....	T-1
Appendix U. Hedonic Test Results of Breakfast Beverage .....	U-1
Appendix V. Proximate Analysis Result of Breakfast Beverage .....	V-1
Appendix W. Fat Content Analysis Result of Breakfast Beverage .....	W-1
Appendix X. Dietary Fiber Analysis Result of Breakfast Beverage .....	X-1
Appendix Y. Picture of Breakfast Beverage with Kidney Bean to Mung Bean Ratio 2:1 .....	Y-1