

FOREWORDS

Assalamu'alaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh,

Alhamdulillahirobbil 'alamin: Praise is merely to the Almighty Allah SWT for the gracious mercy and tremendous blessing that enables me to accomplish this dissertation, entitled **“The Privatization of Public Law in the Case of International Investment Law.”**

First of all, I would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Bintan R. Saragih, S.H.**, Dean of the Faculty of Law at Universitas Pelita Harapan, who warmly welcomed me to participate in the doctorate study program and gave me support and input that inspired my spirit to climb to my goal, as well as **Dr. Henry Soelistyo Budi, S.H., LL.M.**, Head of the Doctorate Study of Law at Universitas Pelita Harapan, for his continuous encouragement in my challenge to follow the doctorate study program.

I also express my ultimate gratitude to my promotor, **Prof. Hikmahanto Juwana S.H., LL.M., Ph.D.**, a well-known scholar in the legal field, particularly in international law, who has always motivated me to never stop learning. Without his guidance, this dissertation may still be far from completion and have not found any comprehension. It is my proudful privilege to have him as my promotor. I would like to further express my special gratitude to **Dr. Maria Soetopo Conboy, B.Sc., M.B.A.** as co-promotor. Without her guidance and especially her active advice as an expert on the theory of efficiency developed by Richard A. Posner's *Economic Analysis of Law*, this research would not be so integrated or comprehensive.

I would also like to share my greatest honor and appreciation for **Prof. Dr. Valerie J.L. Kriekhoff, S.H., M.H.** as examiner. Thank you for your valuable support, suggestions, and time so I as researcher could improve my work.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife, Dr. Ike Farida S.H., LL.M., for our many fruitful conversations during the preparation of this dissertation, especially

regarding Indonesian laws and regulations, that helped shape my thinking and tighten the arguments in the text. Without her strong support, this research could not have been accomplished. I also thank my children, Alya Hiroko Oni, Rana Keiko Oni, and Anwar Takeshi Oni, for their cooperation and understanding my challenge in working on this dissertation.

Hopefully, this dissertation may contribute as a good reference for legal attorneys, academic scholars, government officers, and those in the public who have a keen interest in the development of Indonesia's legal system and international investment law.

Jakarta, August 2020

Researcher

Shoichi Oni




TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover Page	i
Statement and Consent to Upload Final Paper	ii
Statement of Dissertation Authenticity.....	iii
Approval of Dissertation Supervisors.....	iv
Validation of Open Exam Team.....	v
Abstrak	vi
Abstract	vii
Forewords.....	viii
Table of Contents	x
List of Abbreviation/Terms	xv
List of Cases	xix
List of Graphic	xxi
List of Table	xxii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	1
1.1.1. Benefits of the ICSID System	4
1.1.2. Criticism of the ICSID System.....	6
1.2. Formation of Issues	22
1.3. Aim and Purpose of the Research	24
1.4. Benefits of the Research	25
1.5. Originality	27
1.6. Systematic of Writing	29
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	33
2.1. Theoretical Framework	33
2.1.1. Theory of Efficiency.....	35
1) Theory of Efficiency Elaborated by Richard Posner’s Economic Analysis of Law	35
2) Cost-Benefit Analysis.....	43

3) Other Points of Posner Referred to the Dissertation.....	46
2.2. Conceptual Framework	57
2.2.1. Public International Law, Private International Law and International Investment Law	57
1) Privatization of Public Law	57
2) Public International Law	62
3) Private International Law	73
4) International Investment Law	78
2.2.2. International Arbitration Foreign Direct Investment (FDI).....	90
2.2.3. Dispute Settlement Types with Non-ICSID Measures.....	96
1) Mediation.....	98
2) Hybrid Solution between Mediation and Arbitration.....	108
3) International Commercial Arbitration (UNCITRAL, ICC etc.)	109
2.2.4. Public Law, Private Law and Pluralism	114
2.2.5. Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT) and International Investment Agreement (IIA)	123
1) Standard Form of IIA and BIT	133
2) IIA or BIT as Basis of Investment Arbitration.....	136
3) View of Scholars	138
2.2.6. ISDS and International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)	142
1) Applicable Law	142
2) Attribution Threshold to State Responsibility.....	156
3) Enforcement	157
2.2.7. Arbitration in Indonesia.....	158
2.2.8. Transformation of Indonesia Arbitration Law.....	162
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	164
3.1. Type of Research.....	164
3.2. Procedure of Material Research.....	167
3.2.1. Primary Legal Materials.....	168
3.2.2. Secondary Law Materials.....	169

3.2.3. Tertiary Law Materials.....	170
3.3. Character of Analysis	171
3.3.1. Qualitative Analysis	171
3.3.2. Approach/Instrument of Analysis	173
1) Statute Approach	173
2) Conceptual Approach	174
CHAPTER IV ANALYSIS	175
4.1. International Legal Framework and Indonesia	175
4.1.1. Globalization	175
1) What is Globalization?.....	175
2) Three Thesis on Globalization	183
4.1.2. Impact of Globalization to the State Governance	188
4.1.3. Economy of Indonesia.....	204
4.1.4. Legal Framework of FDI in Indonesia.....	213
1) Amendment of Constitution	213
2) Eradication of Corruption.....	216
3) Reform of Laws related to business and economy	218
4) International Cooperation on Legal Reform	225
5) G20 Member of OECD	227
6) Establishing the Specialized Courts	229
7) FDI Policy	232
4.1.5. Investment Law No. 25 of 2007.....	237
1) Background of Legislation	237
2) Objectives of the Law.....	241
3) Definition of Investor and Investment.....	244
4) Treatment of Protection of Investor	245
5) Entries.....	247
6) Investor Obligation and Sanction	248
7) Role of BKPM.....	250
8) Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS).....	251
4.1.6. Summary of 4.1	259

4.2. The Merit of ISDS	261
4.2.1. Reasoning the Merit of ISDS	261
4.2.2. Argument on the Substantial Merit of ISDS	269
1) Relation between ISDS and Increase of Investment Law	273
2) Depoliticizing the Disputes	279
3) Relation with Domestic Legal System in Host State	283
4) Summary Regarding Core Benefits of ISDS for Host State	289
4.2.3. FDI Policy in US Government	291
1) History of ISDS and US	291
2) Reason of the Change	295
3) Dominance of US FDI Policy	300
4.2.4. Cost of ISDS	311
4.2.5. Special World and Transparency of ISDS	319
4.2.6. Experience of Indonesia Out of ISDS	326
1) Transparency of ISDS	326
2) Cost Analysis on the Cases of ISDS in Indonesia	332
4.2.7. Summary of 4.2	351
4.3. The Treatment of ISDS	353
4.3.1. Substance of ISDS	353
1) State Responsibility and Attribution Rules	353
2) FDI Policy	362
4.3.2. FDI Policy of Brazil	367
4.3.3. FDI Policy of India	385
1) Background	385
2) India-Brazil BIT	393
3) Future of FDI in India	402
4.3.4. Other BIT Models Avoiding the Risk of ISDS	409
1) Indonesia	409
2) Norway Model	414
3) Germany Model	415
4) European Commission Model	416
4.3.5. Alternative Measures for ISDS	421

1) General View on How to Cope with ISDS.....	421
2) Comparison on Between Domestic Court and International Arbitration	423
3) Designing Legal System.....	428
4.3.6. Summary of 4.3	432
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	434
5.1. Conclusions	434
5.1.1 International Position of Indonesia Economy	434
5.1.2 the Merits of ISDS	435
5.1.3 The Alternative of ISDS for Indonesia	436
5.2. Recommendations	437
5.2.1.	437
5.2.2.	438
5.2.3.	439
BIBLIOGRAPHY	440
CURRICULUM VITAE	464

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/TERMS

AAA-ICDR	American Arbitration Association - International Center for Dispute Resolution
ACIA ASEAN	Comprehensive Investment Agreement
ADR	Alternative Dispute Resolution
APEC Asia	Pacific Economic Cooperation
ASEAN	Association of Southeast Asian Nations
BANI	Badan Arbitrase Nasional Indonesia
BEPS	Base Erosion and Profit Shifting
BIT	Bilateral Investment Treaty
BKPM	Indonesian Investment Coordinating Board
BOT	Build, Operate, Transfer
BRICKS	Brazil, Russian Federation, India, China, South Africa
CAMEX	Chamber of Foreign Trade
CBA	Cost Benefit Analysis
CCPIT	China Chamber for the Promotion of International Trade
CEA	Cost Effective Analysis
CEDR	Center for Effective Dispute Resolution (UK)
CEPA	Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement
CETA	Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement
CFIA	Cooperative and Facilitation Investment Agreement
CFTA	Continental Free Trade Agreement
CFIUS	Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States
CIarb	Chartered Institute of Arbitration (UK)
CIETAC	China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission
CIL	Customary International Law
CIS	Commonwealth of Independent States
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
CPR	International Institute for Conflict Prevention and Resolution (US)
CPTPP	Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
DTT	Double-Taxation Treaty
EAL	Economic Analysis of Law
ECT	Energy Charter Treaty
EMPEA	Emerging Market Private Equity Association
EPA	Economic Partnership Agreement
EPZ	Export Processing Zone

ESG	Environmental, Social, and Governance
ETR	Effective Tax Rate
FCN	Friendship, Commerce and Navigation
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
FET	Fair And Equitable Treatment
FTA	Free Trade Agreement
FTZ	Free Trade Zone
GATS	General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GFCF	Gross Fixed Capital Formation
GVC	Global Value Chain
HCCH	Hague Conference on Private International Law
HKIAC	Hong Kong International Arbitration Center
HKMC	Hong Kong Mediation Center
HTDZ	High-Tech Development Zone
ICC	International Chamber of Commerce
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
ICSID	International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes
IIA	International Investment Agreement
IIL	International Investment Law
IISD	International Institute for Sustainable Development
ILC	Draft Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally
ARTICLES	Wrongful Acts
ILO	International Labor Organization
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IOSCO	International Organization of Securities Commissions
IPA	Investment Promotion Agency
IPFSD	Investment Policy Framework for Sustainable Development
ISDS	Investor–State Dispute Settlement
IT	Information Technology
JAMS	Judicial Arbitration Mediation Center
JCAA	Japan Commercial Arbitration Association
JIMC-Kyoto	Japan International Mediation Center in Kyoto
KCAB	Korean Commercial Arbitration Board
KLRCA	Kuala Lumpur Regional Center for Arbitration
LCIA	London Court of International Arbitration
LDC	Least Developed Country
LLDC	Landlocked Developing Country
M&As	Mergers and Acquisitions
MF	Ministries of Finance

MFN	Most Favored Nation
MNE	multinational enterprise
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
NEM	Non-Equity Mode
NT	National Treatment
ODA	Official Development Assistance
OECD	Organization For Economic Co-Operation and Development
OFDI	Outward Foreign Investment
OJK	Otoritas Jasa Keuangan
OIC	Organization of Islamic Cooperation
PCA	Permanent Court of Arbitration
PPP	Public-Private Partnership
R&D	Research and Development
RCEP	Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership
RIA	Regulatory Impact Assessment
RTA	Regional Trade Agreement
SCC	Stockholm Chamber of Commerce
SCM	Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (WTO Agreement)
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
SEZ	special economic zone
SIAC	Singapore International Arbitration Center
SIMC	Singapore International Mediation Centre
SIDS	small island developing States
SMEs	small and medium-sized enterprises
SO-MNE	State-owned multinational enterprise
SOE	State-owned enterprise
SSE	Sustainable Stock Exchanges (initiative)
TAD	Territory of Advanced Development (zone type)
TIFA	Trade and Investment Framework Agreement
TIP	treaty with investment provision
TIWG	Trade and Investment Working Group
TPP	Trans-Pacific Partnership
TRIMs	Trade-Related Investment Measures (WTO Agreement)
UIC	Ultimate Investing Country
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
UNCITRAL	United Nations Commission On International Trade Law
UNCTAD	United Nations Conference On Trade And Development
USA	United States of America
USMCA	United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement

WFE World Federation of Exchanges
WIR World Investment Report
WTO World Trade Organization



LIST OF CASES

<i>AAPL v. Sri Lanka</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Final Award, 27 June 1990	156, 158
<i>Amco Asia Corporation and others v. Republic of Indonesia</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/81/1, Award, 20 November 1984; Decision on Annulment, 16 May 1986; (resubmitted case), Decision on Jurisdiction, 26 September 1983; Award, 5 June 1990	6, 28, 96, 157-158, 336, 338, 344
<i>Apotex Holdings Inc. and Apotex Inc. v. United States of America</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/12/1, Final Award, 25 August 2014	297-298
<i>Colt Industries Operating Corporation v. Republic of Korea</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/84/2, Order Taking Note of the Discontinuance, 3 August 1990	151
<i>Cemex Asia Holdings Ltd v Republic of Indonesia</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/04/3, 23 February 2007	6, 337-338
<i>Churchill Mining PLC (Churchill) and Planet Mining Pty Ltd (Planet) v. Republic of Indonesia</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/12/14 and 12/40, 6 December 2016; Decision on Annulment, 18 March 2019	6-10, 15, 17-20, 28, 35, 46, 266, 341-349, 352
<i>CME Czech Republic BV v. Czech Republic, Partial Award, 13 September 2001; Final Award, 14 March 2003</i> ,	90-91, 292
<i>Government of the Province of East Kalimantan v. Kaltim Prima Coal</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/07/3, Award on Jurisdiction 11 December 2009	6-8, 348, 337
<i>Himpurna California Energy Ltd (Bermuda) v. Perusahaan Listrik Negara (PLN)</i> , Final Award, 4 May 1999	6, 338, 349
<i>Hesham Al Warraq v. Republic of Indonesia (UNCITRAL 2011)</i> , Final Award, 15 December 2014	6, 337-338
<i>Indian Metal & Ferro Alloys Limited (IMFA) v. Republic of Indonesia</i> , PCA Case No. 2015-40, Final Award, 29 March 2019	6, 57, 337
<i>Karaha Bodas Company v. Perusahaan Pertambangan Minyak Dan Gas Bumi Negara, UNCITRAL Case No. 0203 03768</i> , Final Award, 18 December 2000	6, 338, 349

<i>Klöckner v. Cameroon</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/81/2, Award, 21 October 1983.....	155-156
<i>Mobil Oil v. New Zealand</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/87/2, Judgement of the High Court of New Zealand, 1 October 1987; Decision on Liability, 6 January 1988	146
<i>National Oil Corporation of Libya (NOCL) v. American company, Sun Oil (SO)</i> , ICC Case No. 4462 of 1985, ICC Arbitration Award, 23 February 1987; ICC Arbitration Award 15 March 1990.....	191, 193
<i>Oleovest Pte., LTD v. Republic of Indonesia</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/16/26, Procedural Order, 19 March 2018.....	6, 336-337
<i>Patuha Power Ltd v PLN (UNCITRAL 1999)</i>	6, 337, 349
<i>Rafat Ali Rizvi v. Republic of Indonesia</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/11/13, Award on Jurisdiction, 16 July 2013	6, 336-337
<i>Republic of Indonesia v. Newmont (UNCITRAL 2009)</i> , ICSID ARB/14/15, Award 31 March 2009	6, 336-337
<i>Tradex v. Albania</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB/94/2, Final Award, 27 June 1990....	155
<i>United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Canada</i> , ICSID Case No. UNCT/02/1, Award on Jurisdiction 22 November 22 2002, Award on the Merits 24 May 2007.....	87

LIST OF GRAPHIC

Graphic 4.1. Indonesia Gross Domestic Product 2014-2019	209
Graphic 4.2. Indonesia Investment Realization 2014-2019	210



LIST OF TABLE

Table 4.1	Basic Concept of Legal Framework under Transnational Governance	190
Table 4.2	Country Risk Rating of Indonesia	206
Table 4.3	ISDS Users (1987-2017)	293
Table 4.4	USA FDI Stock.....	303
Table 4.5	FDI Stock in 2018 Top 10 for Cost Analysis.....	304
Table 4.6	ISDS Cases and Awarded Case from 2014-2018 for for Cost Analysis	314
Table 4.7	Developed Economies FDI Stock	317
Table 4.8	USA FDI Stock.....	318
Table 4.9	Indonesia FDI Stock for Cost Analysis.....	334
Table 4.10	Cost Analysis of ISDS Cases of Indonesia.....	336
Table 4.11	ISDS Cost Impact Comparison per Year in 2018 for Cost Analysis	338
Table 4.12	Comparison of Number of People in Churchill Mining Case for for Cost Analysis	339
Table 4.13	Cost Analysis of Brazil FDI Stock.....	368
Table 4.14	India FDI Stock	386