

# ICCE

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON CHRISTIAN EDUCATION



## Christian Education in Digital Technology Era: Challenges and Opportunities

June 4<sup>th</sup>, 2022



UPH PRESS

ISBN : 978 - 602 - 5859 - 17 - 5 (PDF)

**ICCE**  
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON CHRISTIAN EDUCATION



**Christian Education in  
Digital Technology Era:  
Challenges and  
Opportunities**

June 4<sup>th</sup>, 2022



**UPH**  
UNIVERSITAS PELITA HARAPAN

FAKULTAS  
ILMU PENDIDIKAN



**sttb**  
Bandung Theological Seminary

**ACSI**  
<sup>2</sup>  
STRONGER TOGETHER  
INDONESIA

**ACSI**  
Association of Christian Schools International  
AUSTRALIA

**ICCE**  
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
ON CHRISTIAN EDUCATION



## Christian Education in Digital Technology Era: Challenges and Opportunities

Copyright © 2022 by UPH Press. All rights reserved.

ISBN: 978-602-5859-17-5 (PDF)

Editors:

Dr. Yohanes Edi Gunanto, M.Si.

Henni Sitompul, M.Si.

Additional copies of this publication are available from

UPH Press  
Building C, 3rd floor  
Universitas Pelita Harapan  
Lippo Village, Karawaci  
Tangerang 15811  
Indonesia  
+6221 5460901  
+6221 5460908 (Fax)

## Table of Contents

LIST OF COMMITTEES .....	7
Welcome from the Rector of Universitas Pelita Harapan .....	9
Welcome from the Dean of Faculty of Education, UPH .....	10
Welcome from the Head of Bandung Theological Seminary .....	11
Welcome from the ACSI Indonesia National Director .....	12
Welcome from the Chairman of the 1 <sup>st</sup> ICCE UPH 2022 Committee .....	13
A. Keynote Sessions .....	15
<b>Home-Coming: Restoring a Theology of Place within Christian Education .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<i>Darren Iselin</i>	
B. Paralell Session .....	29
Application of Reinforcement to Improve Students' Activity during Online Learning in the Biblical Worldview .....	29
<i>Inggrit Priscilya Samada, Yohanes Edi Gunanto</i>	
University Students' Responses toward Lecturers' Usage of Speech Acts on Planning Assessment for Learning Course .....	38
<i>Wiputra Cendana, Elisabet Dewi Rumanti, Yemima Handoyo</i>	
The role of Christian teachers during the COVID-19 Pandemic is Based on The Study of Teleological Philosophy Within The Framework of Reformed Theology .....	49
<i>Siti Arisa, Debora Suryani Sitinjak</i>	
Measuring The Readiness Level of the School as a Resolution to Learning Lost issue During the Pandemi .....	59
<i>Seri Damarwanti, Anthoneta Ratu Pa</i>	
Students' Views on Educational Videos about Anti-Corruption Value Education in Online Service-Learning Projects .....	69
<i>Juliana Tirza, Wiputra Cendana, Steven Setiadi</i>	
BYOD (Bring Your Own Devices) Policy Implementation in Secondary School: The Advantages and Drawbacks .....	78
<i>Kalvin Sandabunga</i>	
The Role of Christian Teacher as "in loco parentis" in Digital-Based Educational World according to Anthropological View .....	89
<i>Imanuela Izaabel Izaac, Wiputra Cendana</i>	
CEKristol : Collaborative Digital Module for Character Education for Christian Schools in Indonesian Contexts .....	99

<i>Heriyanto, Wemmy Prayogo</i>	
Interactive and Multimodal Learning of Hermeneutics Courses with Hermes AI Chatbot .....	109
<i>Arianto Pakaang</i>	
A Review of Augmented Reality, Its Advantages and Usages in Education in Indonesia .....	122
<i>Carlos Camelo, Dessy Rahmawati, Elvin Krismaswati Mendrofa</i>	
Information and communication technology (ICT) subject as a tool for students to preach the gospel .....	136
<i>Gerald Yohanes Meyners, Lastiar Roselyna Sitompul, Vallery Valencia Pasanea</i>	
Implementation of the Synchronous Learning Method in Intra-Curricular Activities in CTLS at UPH Medan Campus .....	149
<i>Danny Philipe Bukidz, Daniel Cassa Augustinus</i>	
The Development of Hybrid Learning Scenario in Satya Wacana Christian University: Opportunities and Challenges .....	158
<i>Christian Bernard Nichols Djami, Tri Nugroho Budi Santoso, Herry Sanoto</i>	
Online Shopping Decisions Towards Consumptive Behavior in A Christian Perspective: Case Study Cohort 2019 Economic Education and Primary Teacher Education .....	168
<i>Selvi Esther Suwu, Musa Sinar Tarigan</i>	
The Ways Technology Fulfilled The Traditional Forms of Education : <i>A Case Study of Moodle Learning Management System</i> .....	179
<i>Azalia Yisrael, Mira Oktri Yanti Brahmana</i>	
Christian Epistemological Study of Enduring Understanding as a Result of Meaningful Online Learning .....	192
<i>Tabitha Grace Larasati, Pingkan Imelda Wuisan</i>	
Recommended Learning Methods for Teachers to Forming Students' Critical Mindset in Globalization Era .....	203
<i>Andre Wilianto, Elisa Hermina, Marisa Milenia, Mona Prischilla, Henni Sitompul, Kurniawati Martha</i>	
Servant Leadership Informed by Christian Worldview: A Case Study in Lentera Harapan Schools .....	211
<i>Yonathan Winardi, Oh Yen Nie, Wiputra Cendana, Christina Dwi Putri</i>	
Student Teachers' Critical Reflection towards Online Microteaching.....	221
<i>Atalya Agustin, Destya Waty Silalahi</i>	
Quality Distance Learning in the Era of the Covid-19 Pandemic.....	228

<i>Herry Sanoto, Dani Kusuma, Mila Chrismawati Paseleng</i>	
Addressing Collaboration Needs In The Middle Isolation of the Digital Era Based On a Christian Perspective .....	239
<i>Bernard W. Napitupulu</i>	
Basic Christian Leadership Training Implementation Need Analysis for Teachers College Indonesia.....	248
<i>Juniriang Zandrato</i>	
A Christian Perspective: On Becoming Reflective in Search of a Meaningful Life .....	259
<i>R. A. Trisnowati</i>	
The Application of Guided Inquiry Learning Model to Strive for the Activeness of High School Students in Online Learning .....	267
<i>Elisabeth Pracilia Zega, Candra Yulius Tahya</i>	
Roles of Christian Teachers: The Use of Literature to Guide Students in Confronting Issues in Digital Technology Era.....	278
<i>C Kiky Puspita Anggraeni, Debora Pratiwi Sibarani</i>	
Academic servant leadership in lockdown: a case study of digital teaching-learning during the Pandemic Covid-19 in a Christian school in Indonesia .....	289
<i>Ricky, Natha Bella Angella</i>	



**LIST OF COMMITTEES**  
**INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CHRISTIAN EDUCATION (ICCE)**  
Saturday, June 4, 2022, 08.00-15.15 WIB

Advisory Board :  
1. Dr. (Hon.) Jonathan L. Parapak, M.Eng.Sc. (Rector of UPH).  
2. Sutrisna Harjanto, S.Si., M.Div., Ph.D. (Chairman of STT Bandung).  
3. Agus Susanto, Ph.D. (Director of ACSI Indonesia).  
4. Oh Yen Nie, S.E., M.Ed. (Dean of FIP-TC, UPH).

Person-in-Charge : Oh Yen Nie, S.E., M.Ed.

Steering Committee :  
1. Dr. Budi Wibawanta, S.Sos., M.Si. (Vice Dean of FIP-TC, UPH).  
2. Prof. Dr. Niko Sudibjo, S.Psi., M.A. (Departement Chair of Master of Education Program, UPH).  
3. Sarinah Lo, Ph.D. (Lecturer of STT Bandung).

Chairperson : Imanuel Adhitya Wulanata Christmastianto, S.E., M.Pd.

Vice Chairperson : Lastiar Roselyna Sitompul, S.Si., M.Pd.

Secretary : Krisnajanti

Treasurer : Kristina Indiah R. Undari, S.E.

**1<sup>st</sup> Division: EVENT**

Coordinator : **Billy Mumu, M.Pd.**

Members :  
1. Candra Yulius Tahya, M.Si.  
2. Iko Agustina Boangmanalu, M.Pd.  
3. Yanti, S.I.P., M.Sc., M.Th.

**2<sup>nd</sup> Division: PUBLIC RELATION**

Coordinator : **Yogi Saputra, S.Pd.**

Members :  
1. Neneng Andriani, S.Sn., M.Pd.  
2. Lygia Priscilla Tambunan, S.Psi.

**3<sup>rd</sup> Division: REVIEWER & PROCEEDINGS**

Coordinator : **Henni Sitompul, M.Si.**

Members :  
1. Darren Iselin, Ph.D.  
2. Dr. Ashiong Parhehean Munthe, M.Pd.

3. Dr. Collin Beaton
4. Dr. Janine F. Allen
5. Dr. Pamela Harvey
6. Dr. Pingkan Imelda Wuisan, S.IK., M.Pd.
7. Dr. Ir. Wahyu Irawati, M.Si.
8. Dr. Yohanes Edi Gunanto, M.Si.
9. Dr. Yonathan Winardi, M.Pd.
10. Abednego Tri Gumono, M.Pd.
11. Asih Enggar Susanti, M.Pd.
12. Atalya Agustin, M.Pd.
13. Chandra Han, S.T., M.Div., Th.M.
14. Imanuel Adhitya Wulanata Christmastianto, S.E., M.Pd.
15. Juniriang Zandrato, M.Pd., M.Ed.
16. Lastiar Roselyna Sitompul, S.Si., M.Pd.
17. Meicky Shoreamanis Panggabean, S.S., M.Pd.
18. Michael Recard, S.S., M.Hum.
19. Neng PriYanti, S.Pd., M.Ed., M.A.
20. Sandra Scott
21. Selvi Esther Suwu, S.E., M.M.
22. Siane Indriani, M.Pd.
23. Wiputra Cendana, B.Sc., M.Pd.

#### **4<sup>th</sup> Division: MULTIMEDIA, TECHNOLOGY, AND DOCUMENTATION**

Coordinator : **Wiputra Cendana, B.Sc., M.Pd.**

Members :  

1. Michael Recard, S.S., M.Hum.
2. Meicky Shoreamanis Panggabean, S.S., M.Pd.
3. Jessica Elfani Bermuli, S.Pd., M.Si.

#### **5<sup>th</sup> Division: REGISTRATION AND ADMINISTRATION**

Coordinator : **Robert Harry Soesanto, M.Pd.**

Members :  

1. Indra Praja Kusumah, M.Pd.
2. Jessica Florensia Irene, S.I.Kom
3. Ganda Sari, S.T., M.A.



## Welcome from the Rector of Universitas Pelita Harapan



Shalom and gratitude be to our Lord Jesus Christ! It is because of His love and mercy; we can gather together here in this event.

We are now in the end of the pandemic, where more than two years unprecedentedly we were forced to move to virtual education and embrace digital technology. Out of the millions of educators in Indonesia, both in primary, secondary, and higher education, quickly must learn and implement online teaching and learning. Every educator is required to adapt to various digital learning media, suddenly digital technology is not only a tool but the environment where we are living and interacting with one another.

Not only do the schools have to provide the system to support virtual learning, but educators also have to be equipped to design instructions that fit with the virtual setting. This need eventually triggers various parties to adapt, move, and innovate. Educators use a variety of media as a virtual meeting bridge with students. Not satisfied with just meeting virtual, educators also make some innovations by using other features and exploring any applications that will help provide creative materials and teaching materials.

However, despite of all the improvements and innovations in delivering teaching and learning in digital technology setting, there are many obstacles and challenges. The question remains, are students learning effectively? Especially for Christian educators, we need to keep asking the question, whether we have faithfully and effectively carried the mission of Christ-centered education, facilitating holistic transformational education in this digital technology era?

Christian education must consciously be aware of the current educational challenges while embracing the opportunities through the rapid development of digital technology. We should continuously ponder how to be faithful to our calling as Christian educators in this era of disruption. We should strive to be an exemplary institution in presenting Christian education during the fast-changed of digital technology. We believe that every technological progress is the fruit of cultural mandate to advance humans' life, fostering life given by God. On the other hand, Christians are also aware with our fallen nature, that there is a possibility of missing the point in any good effort that we are trying to do. Further as human beings, are we going to develop holistically if education is reduced by totally virtual learning? These are some questions that we need to keep thinking and discussing.

UPH, especially Teachers College, is grateful that we can host the first International Conference of Christian Education, especially inviting best panelist speakers to inspire us. We hope that through the ICCE event, there will be a forum for us, educators and practitioners of Christian education, to discuss the opportunity and challenges of doing our mission in the midst of such rapid technological advances. We also hope that this event will encourage us that amid various challenges that exist, God is faithful in sustaining us thus giving us hope to embrace the opportunities that are wide open to further our service to provide a quality, holistic, and transformational education.

Finally, enjoy the conference, Lord Jesus bless you.

**Dr. (Hon.) Jonathan L. Parapak, M.Eng.Sc.**

## Welcome from the Dean of Faculty of Education, UPH



Today what is happening in the classroom is different from practices before the pandemic. Changes will continue to occur, especially with the advancement of digital technology, which will become increasingly sophisticated. The interaction of education with technology is inevitable. As Christian educators, we embrace digital technology development. We believe students as the Image of God, and the purpose of educating them is to see them flourish with creativity and innovation for the development of human civilization. However, every technological development that brings about good changes may unwittingly change something essential. For example, now we cannot escape from digital technology. It is ubiquitous; from communication, working, shopping, and many more, all are on the digital platform. It has changed the way we interact with one another. To what extent has it changed us? How can Christian education embrace but also be aware of these?

That is the background of the International Conference on Christian Education with the theme, Christian Education in Digital Technology Era: Challenges and Opportunities. Christian educators must continue discussing this topic to carry out our mission faithfully. Continuously we need to learn and relearn to prepare our students well and be ready to embrace their future as disciples of Christ.

UPH Teachers College is very grateful for the cooperation with various parties, ACSI Indonesia and Australia, and Bandung Theological College, which made this first international conference possible. Likewise, we are blessed with the plenary speakers who are experts in their fields and will share their research and insights. We hope that all the presenters and participants will benefit most from this event. The committee has worked very hard to prepare for this event and we hope that by the end of the conference, the conversation will not stop. Let us continue strengthening Christian education in Indonesia through this forum, and we will meet again at the following conferences.

In the end, as our God has led all the preparation for this conference to take place, let His wisdom guide our conversation! All praise and glory be to God alone!  
God bless.

**Oh Yen Nie, S.E., M.Ed.**

## Welcome from the Head of Bandung Theological Seminary



The ever-evolving world is a part of the Cultural Mandate or the Creation Mandate, which is revealed in Genesis 1:28. Therefore, we should respond to the development of digital technology critically and positively for Christian education in various contexts.

We are grateful for the first International Conference on Christian Education (ICCE) today, June 4, 2022, as the result of the collaboration between the Faculty of Education UPH, ACSI Indonesia, ACSI Australia, Indonesian Christian Education Council, and Bandung Theological Seminary (STTB), to study the opportunities and the challenges of Christian education in digital era, by involving the experts from within and outside the country as the speakers.

Thank you, especially for the willingness of Mr. David Smith (from Calvin University, US), Mr. Darren Iselin (from ACSI Australia), and Mr. Agus Susanto (from ACSI Indonesia), as the speakers, and for all the committee from the Faculty of Education UPH who has been working hard to prepare this conference.

Hopefully, all the opportunities and the challenges in Christian education in the digital era that are studied through this conference will equip fellow educators with more comprehensive and sharper insights from the biblical point of view, so that the purpose of Christian education which is to produce Christian leaders who have a good spirituality, high competence, and strong dedication, can be more realized through this conference.

Welcome to this conference. God bless you!

**Sutrisna Harjanto, Ph.D.**

## Welcome from the ACSI Indonesia National Director



Dear ICCE presenters and participants.

The development of digital technology is rapidly changing the order of human life—which is also developing so swiftly as well. There are those who agree and follow the speed of development, accept it for granted, and even get involved in the development. Still, not a few are also indifferent to it, disagree and refuse to use digital technology for all kinds of reasons that are indeed or are made in accordance with their choice of decision. In addition, there are those who try to be neutral ("wise"), by looking at digital technology such as a double-edged sword or fire which can be used either for good or evil purposes. We have already seen practical examples of these two possible uses of digital technology everywhere.

What about us as Christians? Specifically Christian educators? The term of Christian educator, this can be viewed narrowly or broadly. In a narrow sense, some categorize Christian educators as those who work as teachers or lecturers. But we can also see it from a broad sense, that Christian educators are anyone who has the responsibility to educate, direct, and give vision to other people or the surrounding community. And this means that all Christians are actually educators, because Christians are followers of Christ, and Christ has assigned a role to us, Christians, as salt and light of the world. This means that we as Christians must be a flavor for the world and be a statement of God's truth to the world as well.

I represent Christian schools and Christian educators who are members of the ACSI Indonesia organization. I herewith also welcome all the speakers and participants of 2022 International Conference on Christian Education. This conference is organized by Universitas Pelita Harapan in collaboration with several Christian education organizations including ACSI. I hope that this conference can continue in the future and there will be more Christian educational organizations including churches, and synods, to be able to collaborate, like what is stated by ACSI's motto: Stronger Together, for us to be strong together, as one body of Christ. Let's salt the world more and bring light to the world. I hope that through this ICCE more and more people who work as Christian educators will grow and more Christians in general will be more aware of their calling and will realize that we are all educators, tasters, and proclaimers of God's truth, who need to be equipped and strengthened at all times. Especially through the theme of the 2022 ICCE: "Challenges and Opportunities for Christian Education in Digital Technology Era."

Finally, congratulations to UPH for initiating the first ICCE in 2022. Let us be those who wait on the Lord so that we may gain new strength, like an eagle that soars with the power of its wings, we run and do not become listless, we walk and do not grow weary (Isaiah 40:31).

Thank you, God bless us all.

**Agus Susanto, Ph.D.**

## Welcome from the Chairman of the 1<sup>st</sup> ICCE UPH 2022 Committee



Shalom Aleichem, gratitude be to our Lord Jesus Christ, because of His love and favor, we can gather in this conference. It is such an honor for me to welcome you to the 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Christian Education (ICCE) UPH 2022, with the main topic, “Christian Education in Digital Technology Era: Challenges and Opportunities.”

The rapid development of science and technology in today’s digital technology era encourages the emergence of various educational innovations based on learning technology, making it easier for educators and students to access multiple educational contents. This is marked by the ease of obtaining access to information and internet connection speeds. The Covid-19 pandemic has increasingly encouraged the emergence of various forms of digital learning media globally. This unavoidable pandemic requires school administrators’, education providers, educators, and students to be able to adapt to changes in learning patterns from face-to-face learning to online learning. An education system that combines digital technology with the learning process will open opportunities and challenges as real implications of the transformation and paradigm shift of education in the digital technology era, including Christian education in it. Therefore, the 1<sup>st</sup> ICCE UPH 2022 aims to 1) Explain the implementation of digital technology in learning and its implications for students' faith formation; 2) Discuss digital technology development in learning and its implications and influence on the way we communicate and relate to others in Christian educational context an excellent, holistic, and transformational; 3) Enrich understanding to Christian educators regarding the calling response to teach in digital technology era by looking at the opportunities and challenges.

For organizing the 1<sup>st</sup> ICCE UPH 2022, allow me, as the chairman of the organizing committee, to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to the Keynoted Speakers, Mr. David I. Smith, Ph.D. (Professor from Calvin University, USA), Mr. Darren Iselin, Ph.D. (Director of Research and Innovation Christians School Australia), and Mr. Agus Susanto, Ph.D. (ACSI Indonesia Director) for each conference material presented. We also express our gratitude and most profound appreciation to the Advisory Boards and the organizing partner, Mr. Dr. (Hon). Jonathan L. Parapak, M.Eng.Sc. (UPH Rector), Mr. Sutrisna Harjanto, S.Si., M.Div., Ph.D. (Chairman of Bandung Theological Seminary), Mr. Agus Susanto, Ph.D. (Director of ACSI Indonesia), Mrs. Sandra Scott (Executive Officer of International Partnerships and Service Christian Schools Australia) and Mr. Marks Spencer (Director of Public Policy Christian Schools Australia). Likewise, we would like to express our gratitude and most profound appreciation to the Supervisory Board, Mrs. Oh Yen Nie, S.E., M.Ed. (Dean of the Faculty of Education, UPH) and Mrs. Sarinah Lo, Ph.D. (Senior lecturer of Bandung Theological Seminary) and to the Steering Committee Mr. Dr. Budi Wibawanta, S.Sos., M.Si. (Vice Dean of the Faculty of Education, UPH) and Prof. Dr. Niko Sudibjo, S.Psi., M.A. (Department Chair of Educational Technology, Faculty of Education, UPH). Expressions of gratitude and highest appreciation are

conveyed to the entire organizing committee team, who have worked tirelessly to prepare for this conference so that it can be organized successfully. We also express our gratitude and appreciation to the presenters who have shared articles and published works in the form of proceedings and the participants who have involved in this conference.

Finally, we hope through the organizing of the 1<sup>st</sup> ICCE UPH 2022, as educators and leaders of Christian educational institutions, we can collaborate to do concrete works in the area where God has entrusted us in responding to the opportunities and challenges in the digital technology. We will appreciate constructive suggestions and criticisms to improve the quality of future conferences. To God be the Glory.

*For we are his workmanship, created in Christ Jesus for good works, which God prepared beforehand, that we should walk in them. - Ephesians 2:10 (ESV)*

**Immanuel Adhitya Wulanata Chirmastianto, S.E., M.Pd.**

## A. Keynote Sessions

### Home-Coming: Restoring a Theology of Place within Christian Education

**Darren Iselin**

CHC: 322 Wecker Rd, Carindale QLD Australia 4122

[diselin@csa.edu.au](mailto:diselin@csa.edu.au)

#### Abstract

To be human is to dwell within a particular place – from the moment we are, we are “implaced.” Christian education, as person forming communities, should therefore seek to curate, cultivate and celebrate implacement, particularly in a contemporary age where a haunting sense of placelessness shapes our current generation. This chapter invites Christian educators and leaders to restore a theology of place within the academy and explores the high importance of place and how geography, location, community and neighborhoods are actually part of a grander story of God's sovereign purpose in and through creation. This chapter will review and explore a snapshot of the corpus of literature relating to the importance of place as a theological construct and will propose how an understanding and recognition of place can be used as a lens through which to curate the importance of place and implaced embodiment, within our Christian educational communities. The chapter will also explore how principles of place making within Christian education that are grounded in an incarnational posture can reflect and celebrate the significance of the incarnation of Christ whereby "The Word became flesh and blood and moved into the neighborhood" (John 1:14 MSG).

**Keywords:** Christian Education, Incarnation, Place Making, Community

#### Introduction

In an age of increasing fragmentation, disconnection and social isolation, and where an aching sense of placelessness is prevalent across society; the restoration, application and celebration of a theology of place is of pressing importance. Christian education, as intentionally placed, person forming, interdependent learning environments, need to restore and reimagine afresh the importance of a theology of place within their variegated educational communities. This chapter will review and explore a snapshot of the corpus of literature relating to the importance of place as a theological construct and will propose how an understanding and recognition of place can be used as a lens through which to cultivate and celebrate the importance of place and implaced embodiment within our Christian educational communities.



Specifically, within this chapter, the importance of restoring place within contemporary educational contexts will be articulated and justified across three key themes:

Home: Place as a theological construct

Far from Home: Placelessness in a commodified educational age

Homecoming: Christian Education as an implaced and embodied learning community

It is hoped that through a fresh investigation of and appreciation for a theology of place, Christian educators may recapture the essential role that place contributes to all meaningful learning and formation, and will inspire a fresh reimagining of our educational contexts and learning environments as dynamic landscapes “charged with the Grandeur of God” (Hopkins, 2011, p.20).

### **1. Home: Place as a theological construct**

Place can be somewhat of an amorphous term that can have as many meanings as it has applications. Craft (2018) defines place as “part of who we are; it is both a physical and social reality. While our bodies must physically dwell in places, our minds also structure knowledge and ideologies in relation to places (p.8)”. Since creation, mankind has been placed somewhere, and the biblical story continually reinforces the importance of places to geography, relationships and human flourishing and experience. The starting point for any theology of place arises from the creation story of Genesis One which Bartholomew (2011) refers to as a “place story rather than an earth story” (p.10). The theology of place presented in Genesis is framed “within a complex, dynamic understanding of creation as ordered by God” and how humans intentionally and purposefully interact with the places they inhabit (pp.10-11). Through such a range of interactions, place can be conceptualised as location, geography and landscape, but also as an experience, a community, and a set of interrelationships, memories, and habits regarding meaning making. Such an expansive definition of place means that it is “not just a piece of ground [but]... an undeniable fact of our existence in relationship with the whole of creation” (Craft, 2018, p. 9).

Therefore, to be human is to dwell within a particular place –and these places shape us in deep, significant and at times unexpected ways. Our souls, our loves, our relationships and our calling and purpose are inextricably linked to places. Because we are embodied and implaced image bearers, we find soulful purpose and meaning in our physical settings.. Walter Brueggemann (1977) proposes that such formation in place allows human identity to be animated in:

belonging to and referring to that locus in which the peculiar historicity of a community has been expressed and to which recourse is made for purposes of orientation, assurance, and empowerment (p. 5).

We are intricately rooted in places and the locales, communities and landscapes where we dwell and have our being that engender within us deep connections and interrelationships which form us in deep, psychic and significant ways. Learning, living and loving all share an intimate and lasting connection with these contours of our physical settings. Therefore, because we are placed, and not merely situated, our places for work, witness and worship should not be perceived as merely individualized, autonomous or isolated, but rather

interconnected and interdependent within communities, locales and neighborhoods for God's good purpose. For this reason, it is important to consider place as a more multifaceted and multidimensional construct than a merely a personal call to serve in a specific setting or location – our call is intricately and purposefully linked and enfolded into specific and interdependent communities.

God works through people and places. And significantly, God works through people *in* places. It is for this reason that a theology of place reflects and amplifies the trinitarian emphasis of being in relationship through community (Allberry, 2012, pp.79-81). Our image bearing nature means that all of humanity is created to be grounded in implaced locales - in places that are bound in relationships and never intended to be atomistic or in isolation. Daniel Kemmis (1990) links the importance of this relational interdependence when he writes:

To inhabit a place is to dwell there in a practised way, in a way which relies upon certain regular, trusted, habits of behaviour. Our prevailing, individualistic frame of mind has led us to forget this root sense of the concept of "inhabitation". ... We have largely lost the sense that our capacity to live well in a place might depend upon our ability to relate... In fact, no real public life is possible except among people who are engaged in the project of inhabiting a place (p.79).

The concept of the local church epitomises inhabitation with an implaced ecclesiology that reinforces being purposefully and divinely placed in specific locations, for specific purposes, at specific times. The New Testament speaks directly to locale and region when describing ecclesial distinctiveness and differentiation and the communities which were intricately tied to these places of worship, work and witness.

Just as the local church is "called out" from among its neighbors and divinely placed to a neighborhood and specific location, so too are Christian ministries and organisations, including Christian educational communities, to serve not merely their own personal or institutional ends, but the wider needs and neighbours within their locales. Such a perspective underscores the crucial importance of being divinely implaced within contemporary educational settings and highlights that the location where we serve and cultivate Christian education is not peripheral nor inconsequential to our calling and service, but a critical part of the grander story of God's sovereign purpose in and through creation. It is through this biblical understanding of place that the ministry of restoration and reconciliation through Christian education, in all its variegated contexts, is rooted, orientated and animated.

The importance of place as a theological construct is further underscored by the *genius loci* (spirit of place) that shapes and orientates our identities and cultural forms within our educational learning communities. The intentional alignment of rootedness within place occurs as learning communities are deliberate about the creation and maintenance of sacred places and their divinely implaced environments. One College principal highlighted this sense of placement recently when he reflected on his role as a long serving custodian at the school where he served:

Before the foundation of the world, God has set apart this land for education at this time, in this place. In this way, God is sovereign, and this community is His instrument

to serving this local place. To have an impact you need to stay – stay for a long time – I’m here living 400 metres from school Monday to Friday doing community – investing in this place and being rooted – living like a local, acting like a local, celebrating like a local.<sup>1</sup>

Furthermore, this localized application of a theology of place facilitates the capacity for the stories, symbols and structures to reflect and showcase the importance of *this* place within Christian educational communities as they care for the community and creation where they are established. James KA Smith suggests that

‘every “culture” or community of practice has rituals of orientation and repetition that reinforce the mission, goals, and ethos of the organisation. And the best—that is, most formative—rituals of orientation and development do so in ways that work on the imagination and *don’t just inform the intellect*.... Formative framing practices invite us to *become participants in a story* and find tactile, aesthetic ways to keep reorienting us in that story. (p. 165)

A sound understanding of the theological importance of place and placemaking practices cultivates synergies between landscapes, living and learning that ‘work on the imagination’ and invite active and dialogical participation in a community’s story. These practices can be expressed in a variety of ways and can include intentionally using architecture, building form and design, facilities, gardens, surrounds, foyers, classrooms, open areas and other physical and natural environments to express distinctive cultural meaning and significance. Collectively, and with the symbiotic interactions, conversations and engagement of the entire learning community

– a context specific genius loci is shaped and celebrated. In this way, places are not just seen or merely passed through, but felt and experienced and loved. This level of intentionality regarding the critical role of place enables such educational communities to flourish where they are planted as they are faithful in their responsibility as stewards of creation and agents of reconciliation and restoration within their implaced locales.

## **2. Far from Home –Placelessness in commodified educational age**

Christian education, as intentionally placed, person forming communities, should therefore actively seek to cultivate theological place making principles and priorities, particularly in a contemporary age where a sense of placelessness defines the cultural zeitgeist. John Inge (2001) identifies that since the Enlightenment, there has been an increasing downgrading of the importance of place within society, and this “has worked out in practice with dehumanizing effect”, suggesting “that place has much more effect on humanity than has generally been recognised” (pp.4-5).

In seeking to trace the causes of this displacement within contemporary society, Brueggemann, suggests our current generation’s quest to find meaning fails to realise that “it is rootlessness and not meaninglessness that characterizes the current crisis” (1977, p. 4).

Rootlessness amplifies the inevitable failure of the modern age that “human persons... could lead detached, unrooted lives of endless choice and no commitment... glamorised around the virtues of mobility and anonymity which seem so full of freedom and self-actualisation” (Brueggemann, 1977, p. 10). Such virtues cultivate a sense of isolation and self-interest that erode the capacity for relationship and human connection

in and to our places.. Bartholomew contends that “whilst some thinkers celebrate the virtues of anonymity and mobility that are the modernity’s “gifts” ... their inevitable outcome is ... *atopia*, or placelessness” (2011, p. 20).

The triumph of empiricism and rationalism across the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries progressively desacralized the West, including a gradual yet insidious marginalization then eradication of the construct of place within society and the academy. Bartholomew highlights this phenomenon and concludes:

We live amidst a crisis of place. In our late modern age, we have lost that very human sense of place...Indeed... in our dromocratic [speed focused] society every person constantly “on the move” suffers from placelessness in one form or another (2011, p. 17).

This aching sense of placelessness has significant and far reaching implications for Christian educational learning communities that must confront and contest for the hearts and minds of an increasingly rootless generation who are shaped by the all-encompassing allure of unfettered freedom and unbridled autonomy within contemporary culture and society.

#### *Our Obsession with Spaces and not Places*

Whilst the concepts of place and space are interwoven, the particularity of place has, in the modern age, been superseded by space. Because our default position is now orientated towards personal space, we become active consumers in an ever-increasing quest for speed and individualised autonomy. Inge (2001) states that

although place was of importance in Greek thought, the Western intellectual tradition has tended to downgrade it, place being eclipsed by an emphasis first upon space and second upon time. This prevailing discourse has worked itself out in the development of Western society, the process reaching a dehumanizing culmination in the twentieth century (p. 62).

Within educational communities, traditions, rituals, habits and practices were historically always *implaced* – formed and perpetuated around clearly defined places, tightly bound relationships and learning localities, each with their own distinctive cultural stories, histories, symbolic elements, norms and assumptions. Lane (1998), in citing Bourdieu’s (1990) seminal work on field and habitus, states that the West has now reduced habitus to a “nonsacramental, individualistic quest for transcendent experience... We are, in short, a people without “habit”, with no common custom, place, or dress to lend us a shared meaning” (p.10). This unprecedented displacement of our habitus from our habitats has contributed to the subsequent decoupling of “landscape and spirituality” (ibid) that inevitably eventuates when we seek to live transient and displaced lives that discard and devalue the importance of place in our lives and learning.

The concern to move quickly and freely from one place to another perpetuates this sense of placelessness in culture, in relationships and community, and its impact has unquestionably infiltrated our modern social institutions including schools, colleges and universities.

### *Placelessness and “Distanced” Education*

The empty promise of such lives without constraints and individualised paths of freedom and autonomy have transferred seamlessly into contemporary educational practices. Charles Taylor (1992) warns that:

The rise of individualism has wrenched us loose from all the settings that gave meaning to the lives of our forebears; we have been thrown back on our inner resources, but when we look inside ourselves, we find emptiness because we have been cut adrift from everything that once supplied the resources we are seeking” (p. 142).

David Brooks suggests that education communities who have succumbed to these potent forces become soul-less institutions. He adds that these institutions “are more professional and glittering than ever, but in some ways, there is emptiness deep down” (para. 3). Truth is relativized and fragmented and the consequences are soulless cynics who are characterized by what Mark Schwehn (1993) terms “a disenchantment with the world” (p. 9), without any anchor of place to circumvent nor ground their aching disconnection.

Rather than being circumspect of these seismic cultural shifts, the church and church-based institutions, including Christian educational communities, have sometimes sought to assimilate and then promulgate these consumerist and commodified modes of practice that inadvertently can alienate rather than connect people within their communities.

The *genius loci* (spirit of place) that once powerfully shaped and grounded our identities within many of our Christian education communities has been replaced by a *Libera animam* (free spirit) a license to break free from any established and connected roots or fetters to location, community and neighbourhood. The modern education lexicon is replete with terms that reflect this ontological shift away from interdependent places to autonomous and privatized spaces. Our curriculum is promulgated as individualised; we reduce student cohorts to commodified raw scores and standard distribution curves that assign value to impersonal numbers not names; we engage “mobile” self-paced, self-directed learning. These new modes and models are certainly not to be universally discredited, dismissed nor discarded. But contemporary educational communities have at times been far from discerning nor circumspect in critiquing and evaluating these compendia of new tools and techniques and the consumerist *Libera animam* that underpins so many of these approaches.

Rather than these communities responding as harbingers for authentic and neighbourly placemaking that evidence and showcase their distinctive localities and communal cultural elements, some Christian educational communities have succumbed to the embrace of a plethora of disconnected and displaced educational practices and principles that disassociate place from their entire educational pedagogy and practice. Whilst digital technologies have afforded exciting new ways of engaging in education, some of these approaches have not thoughtfully considered how placemaking priorities can find expression in these new learning ecosystems and digital environments. Such discordant approaches in regard to place making in a technological age has allowed utilitarian priorities to prevail which perpetuate a neo-pragmatism that elevates the promise and practice of mobility and anonymity over rootedness and meaningful connection to place to alarming levels.

The recent Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) phenomenon revealed that whilst courses can indeed be free, autonomous and independent of location and geography – the lived experiences of an overwhelming majority of students has found that engagement and meaningful learning could not be sustained without an authentic community, a sense of rootedness and belonging and a real time personal connection to orientate the learning process. John Warner wrote in *Inside Higher Education* that “In 2012 Sebastian Thrun, founder of ...MOOC provider Udacity told *Wired* magazine that in 50 years, there would be only 10 higher education institutions in the world and Udacity had a “shot” at being one of them.... However, [by October 2017], Udacity declared an intention to move away entirely from open access courses. Company Vice President Clarissa Shen said MOOCs ‘are dead’” (2017, para. 1,6). She went on to add “Our mission is to bring relevant education which advances people in careers and socio-economic activities, and MOOCs aren’t the way” (para. 7). Warner concludes that this was a cautionary tale of over-promise and under-delivery which saw the audacious vision to “transform all of higher education reduced to targeting niche corporate training occur within only five short years” (para. 8).

In reality, the most successful courses and learning that utilise on-campus, online and MOOC inspired platforms recognise that without developing a *genius loci* (whether digitally and/or in person), the course delivery space is void of authenticity, identity and purpose. Such creative blending of places with spaces, of habitus with habitats, provides much thoughtful reflection on the implications and opportunities of navigating this new terrain for educational practice in a commodified age. It is why place making – across whatever medium or method we seek to adopt and utilize in our tech-savvy and constantly mobile digital age is of such importance. The failure of these courses also illuminates the danger in decoupling place within a contemporary the education milieu, where the quest for autonomy, individualised space, mobility and personalised freedom has at times eclipsed other teaching and learning considerations within many educational communities.

### **3. Homecoming: Christian Education as an implaced and embodied learning community**

Considering these commodified and displaced demands that perpetuate rather than curtail rootlessness and atopia, Christian educational communities are confronted with a compelling rationale to turn hearts towards **home**. Bouma-Prediger and Walsh (2008), thoughtfully describe the displaced landscape of our contemporary age by contending:

Both postmodern tourists and global capitalists want to keep their options open, whether for the identities they will construct in cyberspace or the products they will buy at the mall. Both value choice over loyalty. And both remain deeply homeless because being at home is seen to be a limiting of choices and requires an acknowledgement that we are not autonomous but interdependent and interrelated homemakers (p. 263).

As part of God’s restorative and redemptive plan, all of those involved within Christian education are afforded a unique and strategic opportunity to curate placemaking. The wanderers and vagabonds of a placeless age that enter Christian institutional places (including staff and students), must be afforded a hospitable welcome door by these

schools, colleges and universities that warmly invite them to come “home”. It is therefore to this homemaking process of preparing the table through embodied practices of placemaking and the practical application of a theology of place that Christian educators should be focused.

In an age where personal space and freedom to merely “pass through” our educational communities are prized over rootedness and interconnected community, what should a thoughtful Christian response be? What would it look like if Christian educators were to re-orientate and re-imagine how embodied placement finds expression in our school communities? In an age where electronic learning devices are essential, what would it look like if student learning was to equally consider the embodiment, practice and presence of the pedagogue (authentic mentor and guide) rather than defaulting to depersonalized and displaced emphases on an information disseminator or content host? In an age where unfettered freedom, mobility and spatial transience dominate both the classroom and the curriculum, what would it look like to intentionally engage in embodied placemaking principles of hospitality and invitation to transform these learning spaces? The following three foci exemplify facets of how a reorientation towards an applied theology of place can contribute to flourishing Christian learning communities.

#### *A. Place restores embodiment and incarnational emphases within Christian education*

Author and poet, Wendell Berry (2004), encapsulates the embodied pathway back towards restoring the importance of place within communities by articulating how inextricably linked love is to where we dwell: “Love in this world doesn't come out of thin air. It is not something thought up. Like ourselves, it grows out of the ground. It has a body and a place” (p. 88). If our explicit intention within Christian education is, as James KA Smith surmises, to cultivate loves, shape loves and rightly order loves, (Smith, 2009, p. 18) then it is of great importance that we are highly intentional about ensuring that such loves – for learning, for leading, and for living, are ordered well within our local communities and are both embodied and implaced.

The importance of embodied placement for Christians is exemplified in the incarnation. The Gospel of John records that “The Word became flesh and blood and moved into the neighborhood” (John 1:14 MSG). The incarnation has been described as an “absolute foundational doctrine, not just an irreducible part of the Christian confession, but the theological prism through which we view our entire missional task in the world” (Frost and Hirsch, 2004, p. 35). The incarnation highlights the importance of intentional placement – The Son of God, whilst embodying the omnipotent and omnipresent fullness of the Godhead was not named Jesus of the universe – some all-encompassing and boundaryless abstraction. He was known as Jesus of Nazareth – bound by place and politics, history and culture, story and ritual. So much of His earthly identity was tied to geography and socio-cultural mores that some people even questioned his cultural pedigree decrying “Can anything good come out of Nazareth? (John 1:46). Lane would therefore contend that:

One necessarily reads the scriptures with a map in hand... The God of Old and New Testaments is one who “tabernacles” with God's people, always made known in particular locales. When Paul celebrates the 'scandal of the gospel', this is a reality



geographically rooted in Jesus, a crucified Jew from Nazareth, of all places. The offence, the particularity of place, becomes intrinsic to the incarnational character of Christian faith (1992, p. 5).

Through the scandal of particularity – of place, location, setting and culture, God entered our world – moved into the neighbourhood – and underscored that love really is grounded in a body *and* a place. The essence of the incarnational model for Christian educators necessitates a posture whereby the “enfleshment of commitment and knowledge must be widely evident. Teachers must be paradigms of that which we “profess” both academically and religiously... The sine qua non of an educator is the ability to communicate through embodiment” (Gill 1979, p. 1012).

To embody the paradigms which we profess, Christian educators must take seriously the importance of being placed and imitate Christ’s “pitching of a tent” or “dwelling amongst” within our families, neighbourhoods and cities, but also intentionally within our classrooms and educational communities.

Christian educational leaders must therefore consider what incarnational postures and approaches best enable and promote placemaking initiatives within their communities. The push towards the decentralisation of the academy and the individualised and commodified nature of the contemporary “space orientated” workforce requires Christian leaders to be discerning and deliberate with decision making processes that may directly or inadvertently undermine embodiment and placement within their communities and cultures.

#### *B. Place reinforces the importance of cultural liturgies within Christian education*

Lane proposes that because of our endemic sense of displacement and our age’s obsession with mobility and anonymity, many communities, cultures and organisations have lost the capacity to form habits that are grounded in habitats. He reveals: “We are bereft of rituals of entry that allow us to participate fully in the places we inhabit ... We have realised, in the end, the 'free individual' at the expense of a network of interrelated meanings” (1998, p. 10).

Restoring, reimagining and celebrating such rituals and practices therefore lies at the heart of any intentional placemaking and home coming within Christian educational communities. Smith’s seminal cultural liturgies series defines these liturgies as “practices and rituals of ultimate concern” (2009, p.86). that are “the most loaded forms of ritual practice because they are after nothing less than our hearts” (p. 87). He recommends that those leading modern communities:

will not adequately grasp what is at stake in... cultural institutions if we just look at what appears in the present or on the surface; we need to “read” these institutions and practices in order to discern the telos at which they are aimed.... In short, we will only adequately “read” our culture to the extent that we recognise... there are an array of liturgies that function as pedagogies of desire (2009, p. 73).

It is through these specific pedagogies of desire and their interrelationship to our locality and physical settings that a pathway of embodied placement can be effectively articulated, communicated, promoted and practiced within our educational communities. Such an

intentional process can only be effectively achieved as Christian educational communities understand and articulate their own spirit of place, and the specific purpose, role and assignment within their particular place, at a particular time. The apostle Paul highlights this in Acts 17, revealing that God has “determined their reappointed times and the boundaries of their dwellings, so that they should seek the Lord, in the hope that they might grope for Him and find Him” (Acts 17:26-27 NIV).

As these liturgies are purposively and consistently habited in real and authentic places and locales, a distinctive and tightly integrated habitus can form, enabling all those placed within the learning community to embody and enact the spirit of place which they inhabit. In this way the opportunity to be drawn into the story of a place, the beauty of a place, the telos of a place, the calling to *this* place becomes animated and celebrated. This is especially relevant in an age of flexible work and mobile learning options and individualised course pathways where decisions by Christian learning communities may not necessitate nor are concerned about how placemaking and hospitable practices can be infused into every facet of their genius loci. Embodied and implaced communities who are habited in this way also rightly order time – as place gives rhythm, routine, stability, and seasons: work and sabbath-rest, time to engage and retreat. Our embodied and implaced habits discipline our days and provide boundaries and borders in our otherwise on-call, 24/7 autonomous spaces. Bartholomew (2011) attests to these place making disciplines and reflects, “the best writers on place speak of the need for attentiveness, familiarity, silence, slowness, stability, repetition, particularity, hope, respect, love... all characteristics and the fruit of Christian spirituality, but rare in our speed-driven, consumerist Western culture” (p.320). Furthermore, Robert Benne (2001), traces the key conditions for Christian colleges to sustain their ethos and culture, and highlights the importance of these embodied cultural liturgies for learning communities:

Living traditions...also carry many practices, habits, celebrations, and memories that make them unique and attractive communities. They are dense networks of both meaning and obligation that persist over time.... It is important that that these schools have effective ways of introducing all new members of the community... into the living tradition that is theirs (p. 196).

Embodied and implaced learning communities will reflect and celebrate these living traditions – putting flesh and bone around these networks of meaning making as a placemaking priority.

In this regard, “The genius of the Christian university (or school or college) is to create a storied community... [that] must develop and prize their own spirit of place” (Allen and Badley, 2017, p.299) through celebrating these context specific liturgies of desire that orientate and ground people within authentic place making cultures. Christian educational communities that are intentional about their people and their places, their placemaking stories, liturgies and histories and the particularity of place being seamlessly tied to their practices, enhance the potential to promote a sense of connection, community, and belonging. Through these placemaking priorities and practices, it may also compel and inspire those within such learning communities to ask: “I wonder what is *my* place in *this* place?”

*C. Place provides an authentic context for celebrating the now and the not quite yet*

Thirdly, Christian educational communities who desire to embody and faithfully live out the biblical story, should both recognise that place is of essential importance in this moment, whilst simultaneously affirming that all place making endeavours are but a glimpse and forestate of the ultimate place we will one day call “home”. Christian educational communities need to be reminded that our schools, colleges and universities are landscapes charged with God’s grandeur that are sanctified and set apart for Emmanuel, our God *with us*, in our place, our locality and our community. Christ’s incarnational posture means that He has “moved into the neighborhood”, and specifically *this* neighbourhood, and set up His dwelling, within our sovereignly placed educational communities. For this reason, Christian educators need to be diligent and highly intentional about restoring embodied priorities in both the classroom and the wider community in their cultural moment.

Such an orientation should seek to draw upon a well understood and well-articulated theology of place that encourages and inspires us to be welcoming placemakers, graciously and expectantly preparing the table for all those who come into our communities. The application of place making principles will seek to promote a safe, stable, secure, supportive sense of place, a distinctive spirit of place and a formative journey towards home for all. Through this recognition and celebration of the importance of place, locality can be fused seamlessly with learning and in so doing, facilitate an expectation that our geography and physical places may provide meaningful and authentic contexts for our place, pedagogy and practice to be enhanced in creative and dynamic ways.

It is important, however, to recognise that, in all of our faithful placemaking endeavours, whilst we are unquestionably a divinely placed people, and called to be formed within our places, we are all also longing for an ultimate homeland. The biblical narrative of place both affirms and celebrates the importance of placemaking but also echoes of our ultimate home coming. Anderson (2018) identifies this reality by stating: “This is the deeper truth about place: no earthly place can fill what is ultimately a longing for a heavenly one. We can stay here because we know we’ll never find our final home on this earth” (para. 34). It is within this liminal place between the now and the not quite yet, that we dwell in place, both as settlers and sojourners towards a kingdom to come.

Upon reflecting deeply about this in-between space between our two homes, Frederick Beuchner in his book, *The Longing for Home*, ponders: “The first home foreshadows the final home, and the final home hallows and fulfills what was most precious in the first” (Beuchner (1996, p. 3). Inge concludes that Beuchner:

understands that people and place are vitally entwined. He writes of how the word longing comes from the same root as the word long in the sense of length in either time or space and also the word belong, so that in its full richness the word to long suggests to yearn for a long time for something that is a long way off and something that we feel we belong to and that belongs to us. (2001, p.235).

In a wonderful twist and delightful play on words, the concepts of time and space *and* place all draw from the same root word and will, one day, ultimately each find their fullest meaning and perfect divine order when we finally come “home”.

There is an elegant Welsh word, *hiraeth*, that also encapsulates this yearning. Whilst the etymology comes from the root meaning for longing – its meaning cannot be easily translated into English. It is often used to refer to a longing, but the multi-layered meaning of the word also implies a specific kind of longing – a deep soulful desire for “home” and even a homesickness, that you deeply long for and affectionately seek after (Davis, 2007, para. 1). Whilst our learning communities should work diligently and faithfully to reflect and animate His kingdom on earth, all our placemaking labours are but momentary glimpses of what one day will be a restoration and consummation of all things for His glory. Only then will we be finally “home” and our placemaking tasks and responsibilities will finally find their ultimate fullness and completion in Him.

### Conclusion

This chapter has sought to remind Christian educators of the importance of a theology of place in responding to the divine calling to lovingly cultivate God’s good creation through hospitable and embodied place making for all who enter Christian learning communities in a rootless and displaced age. There is much for the Christian educational community to glean from a restoration of a theology of place to the academy and the themes and foci of this chapter are but a primer for a more comprehensive and detailed exploration of the importance of place to contemporary Christian educational communities.

Whilst engaging in this creative and dynamic task, may we also rejoice with anticipation and expectation that our soulful practices of placemaking are but a foretaste for “home”. May the *hiraeth* that is latent within our collective souls, our deep longing for home, be cultivated and celebrated through intentional and incarnational place-making within our Christian learning communities. For Jesus himself has promised “there are many rooms in my Father’s house; if there were not, I would have told you. I am going now to prepare a *place* for you” (John 14:2). In the meantime, will you go and do likewise within your learning community?

### Reference

- Allberry, S. (2012). *Connected: Living in the light of the trinity*. Nottingham, England: Inter Varsity Press.
- Allen P. & Badley K. (2017). *Echoes of insight: Past perspectives and the future of Christian higher education*. Abilene Christian University Press: Texas.
- Anderson, H. (2018) *Our place: Our family’s long quest for calling and home*. Gospel Coalition. <https://www.thegospelcoalition.org/article/finding-place-search-for-calling-home/> Accessed 5<sup>th</sup> June 2019.
- Bartholomew. C.G. (2011). *Where all mortals dwell: A Christian view of place for today*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic.
- Benne, R. (2001). *Quality with soul. How six premier colleges and universities keep faith with their religious traditions*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans Publishing.
- Berry, W. (2002). *The art of commonplace: The agrarian essays of Wendell Berry*. Washington, DC: Counterpoint.
- Berry, W. (2004). *Hannah Coulter*. Berkley CA: Counterpoint.
- Bouma-Prediger, S., & Walsh, B.J. (2008). *Beyond homelessness: Christian faith in a culture*

- of displacement*. Grand Rapids: William B. Eerdmans Publishing.
- Bourdieu, P. (1990). *The Logic of practice*. Stanford: CA, Stanford University Press.
- Brooks, D. *The big university*. The New York Times. <https://www.nytimes.com/2015/10/06/opinion/david-brooks-the-big-university.html> Accessed 25 June 2019.
- Brueggemann, W. (1977). *The land: Place as gift, promise, and challenge in biblical faith*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press.
- Buechner, F. (1996). *The Longing for home: Recollections and reflections*. Harper: San Francisco.
- Craft, J.A. (2018). *Placemaking and the arts: Cultivating the Christian life*. Downers Grove: Illinois: Inter Varsity Press.
- Davis, T. (2007). *Hiraeth*. Texas A&M University. <http://faculty.cse.tamu.edu/davis/Poetry/hiraeth.html> Accessed 15 July 2019.
- Foucault, M. (1986). 'Of other spaces' (English Translation) *Diacritics*, Vol. 16 (1986), 22-27
- Gill, J. H. (1979). Faith in learning: Integrative education and incarnational theology. *Christian Century*, 96, 1009–1013.
- Hopkins, G.M. (2011) *Gerard Manley Hopkins: Selected poems*. New York: Dover Publications.
- Inge, J. (2001). A Christian theology of place. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Durham University. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/108425.pdf> Accessed 15 July 2019.
- Inge, J. (2007). *A Theology of Place*. New York: Routledge.
- Irvin, R.A. (2017). Redeeming home: A Christian theology of place in a placeless world. *Augustine Collegiate Review* vol. 1 number 1 pp. 75-88
- Kemmis, D. (1990). *Community and the politics of place*. Norman: University of Oklahoma Press.
- Lane, B.C. (1998). *The solace of fierce landscapes: Exploring desert and mountain spirituality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McClay, W.M., & McAllister, T.V. (2014). *Why place matters: Geography, identity, and civic life in modern America*. New York: New Atlantic Books.
- Mendez, C.F. (2014). This is CS50. The Harvard Crimson. <https://www.thecrimson.com/article/2014/9/18/this-is-cs50/> Accessed 5<sup>th</sup> July 2019.
- Schwehn, M.R. (1993). *Exiles from Eden*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Smith, J. K.A. (2009). *Desiring the kingdom*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic.
- Smith, J.K. A. (2013). *Imagining the kingdom*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic. 124-125.
- Smith, J.K.A. (2016). *You are what you love*. Grand Rapids: Brazos Press. 182.
- Taylor, C. (1992). *The ethics of authenticity*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Taylor, C. (2007). *A secular age*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Warner, J. (2017). MOOCs are “dead”. What’s next? Uh oh. Inside Higher Education. <https://www.insidehighered.com/blogs/just-visiting/moocs-are-dead-whats-next-uh-oh> Accessed 15 July 2019.

### **Author Biography**

Dr Darren Iselin (BTeach, BEd, MEd, PhD MACE)

Darren has had extensive leadership experience within Christian education in both Australian and international contexts and has served in a wide range of CEO/executive and senior leadership roles within both K-12 schooling and higher education sectors. He is currently Director of Research and Innovation with Christian Schools Australia (CSA) and the Coordinator for the Cardus Education Survey Australia Project. Prior to this appointment, Darren was President of Christian Heritage College (CHC), a fully accredited higher education provider of over 800 students, offering over 26 courses across five faculty areas.

He has completed extensive formal study, including doctoral studies through the University of Queensland where his PhD explored “How Principals are cultivating Sustainable School Cultures Within Christian Schools During Changing Times”. Darren’s thesis was awarded the Grassie- Basset prize for best thesis in Educational Administration from The University of Queensland and also won the Research in Educational Leadership Management Award from the Australian Council of Educational Leaders (ACEL).



**UPH PRESS**

Penerbit UPH Press  
Kampus Universitas Pelita Harapan  
Gedung C Lantai 3  
Jl. MH. Thamrin 1100 Lippo Village  
Karawaci, Tangerang 15811

ISBN 978-602-5859-17-5 (PDF)

