### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to give thanks first and foremost to God because of his blessings and guidance has enabled me to finish this thesis which entitled "Factors Influencing UPH Surabaya Students' Intention to Adopt Internet Banking Services" as a final requirement to obtain the degree of Sarjana Ekonomi Strata Satu at Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya.

It is a great pleasure for me to thank everyone who helped me write this thesis successfully, especially:

- 1. Prof. Louie Divinagracia, M.Sc., DBA, as the Dean of Faculty of Business School Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya.
- 2. Mr. Ronald Suryaputra S.T., M.M., as the Head of Department of Management.
- 3. Prof. Maria Rochelle G. Divinagracia, MSc., DBA, as the academic advisor of International Business class and thesis advisor I. Thank you for taking care of me and my fellow classmates, listening to our problems, and sticking with us till the end. You have my respect and gratitude.
- 4. Miss Oliandes Sondakh, S.E., M.M., as thesis advisor II. Thankyou for being great advisor and motivator for me and my fellow classmates, the one who always chase me around to finish up my thesis. You always become my nice friends, my advisor, I thank to you a lot.
- 5. Miss Amelia, RFP-I,M.M and Sir Hananiel Mennoverdi Gunawan, BA.MBA as the panelist, I would like to thank you for all the command and critics towards my thesis.
- 6. Lecturers and staffs of Universitas Pelita Harapan Surabaya for sharing their knowledge and support.
- 7. My parents, Antoni David and Vivi Halim, who have so much faith in me, they are great figure in my life who always tell me not to give up but always give my best, hope I made you proud.
- 8. My grandparents, Liem Hoking and Farida Yusuf, my uncles, my aunties, I would like to thank for the biggest support and being super excited to see me graduate.
- 9. My brothers, Bryan, Kent and Ethan who have been my energizer even though they are still little boys. They are always my beloved kids.
- 10. I would like to thank to my senior in this university, special thanks to: Stella Wahyudi for being very special friends, great senior, the one who

always share her thesis things with me, care to me, and the time that we had together. Evy, Erick, Amin, Steve, Elza, Sherlita, Hendrick, thankyou for the time that we had together in Singapore for attending the WMUN conference, you are the best senior that I ever had!

- 11. Umita Nathani and Natascha Lacksono, they are my best family that I ever had, we had spent great time in this university life! I really love you all, each moment that we did it was my incredible one. I hope we always stick together no matter where we are, still loving and caring each other.
- 12. Fasco Andreo for the endless support for me, I would thank to you too sir. Thank you for always here and there for me, and loving me. So blessed to have you by my side.
- 13. My fellow classmates whom I will never forget: Evelyn, Anita, Malvin, Johan, Christian, Edo, Rudi, Hadi, Phillip, Gede, Vivi, Caroline, Sutrisno, Johanes, Alex, Sandi, Tommy.
- 14. My NDAGEL groups from junior high school, thankyou for the craziest time that always cheer me up when I was stressed up during the thesis time. I hope we will be always hang out together to talk, share, chill, play, laugh, fight like a tom and jerry who always miss each other.

At last, I apologize for the limitations in the writing of this thesis and hopes that this thesis will be of use as academic and management reference.

Surabaya, Nov 22, 2012

Author

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| STATEMENT (  | OF ORIGINALITY OF FINAL PAPER                   | Page  |
|--------------|---|-------|
|              |   |       |
| AGREEMENT    | OF MENTORING GUIDANCE FOR FINAL PAPER           |       |
| FINAL PAPER  | EXAMINATION COMMITTEE                           |       |
| ABSTRACT     |   | . iv  |
| ACKNOWLED    | GEMENT  | . v   |
| TABLE OF CO  | NTENTS  | . vii |
| LIST OF TABL | ES  | . x   |
| LIST OF FIGU | RES   | . xii |
| LIST OF APPE | NDICES  | xiii  |
| CHAPTER I    | INTRODUCTION                                    | . 1   |
|              | 1.1 Background of the Study                     | . 1   |
|              | 1.2 Research Problems                           | . 4   |
|              | 1.3 Research Objectives                         |       |
|              | 1.4 Research Contributions                      |       |
|              | 1.5 Research Limitation                         |       |
|              | 1.6 Research Outline                            | . 5   |
| CHAPTER II   | REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE                    | . 7   |
|              | 2.1 Internet Banking                            |       |
|              | 2.1.1 Overview                                  |       |
|              | 2.1.2 Conception of Internet Banking            |       |
|              | 2.2 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)           |       |
|              | 2.2.1 Definition of TAM                         |       |
|              | 2.3 Theory of Reasoned-Action (TRA)             |       |
|              | 2.4 Perceive Characteristic of Internet Banking |       |
|              | 2.4.1 Relative Advantage                        |       |
|              | 2.4.2 Customer Learning Requirement             |       |
|              | 2.4.3 Perceive Risk and Cost                    |       |
|              | 2.5 Intention                                   |       |
|              | 2.5.1 Intention as Act of Willing               |       |
|              | 2.5.3 Intention as Best Predictor of Behavior   |       |
|              | 2.5.4 Intention to Adopt                        | . 14  |

|             | 2.6 Adoption   | 15   |
|-------------|--|------|
|             | 2.6.1 Adoption in Internet Banking                   | 16   |
|             |  | 18   |
|             | 2.8 Hypotheses of the Study                          | 19   |
|             |  | 20   |
| CHAPTER III | RESEARCH METHOD                                      | 22   |
|             | 3.1 Research Design                                  | 22   |
|             | 3.2 Research Variables                               | 22   |
|             | 3.2.1 Operational Definitions                        | 22   |
|             | 3.2.2 Variables to be tested                         | 23   |
|             | 3.2.3 Level of Measurement and Scaling               |      |
|             | Techniques   | 23   |
|             | 3.3 Methods of Data Collection                       | 23   |
|             | 3.3.1 Sources of Data                                | 23   |
|             | 3.3.2 Sample and Sampling Plan                       | 24   |
|             | 3.3.3 Research Instrument                            | 25   |
|             | 3.4 Methods of Data Analysis                         | 25   |
| CHAPTER IV  | RESULT AND DISCUSSION                                | 31   |
|             | 4.1 Research Object Description                      | . 31 |
|             | 4.2 Characteristics of the Respondents               |      |
|             | 4.2.1 Users of Internet Banking Services             |      |
|             | 4.2.2 Frequency of Use of Internet Banking           | 32   |
|             | 4.2.3 Intention to Use Internet Banking              | 33   |
|             | 4.2.4 Age of the Respondents                         | 33   |
|             | 4.2.5 Gender of the Respondents                      | 34   |
|             | 4.2.6 University Batch of the Respondents            | 34   |
|             | 4.2.7 Program Study of the Respondents               | 35   |
|             | 4.2.8 Reason of Use Internet Banking                 | 35   |
|             | 4.3 Mean Rating & Standard Deviation of Relation     |      |
|             | Advantage  | .36  |
|             | 4.3.1 Mean rating & standard deviation of Custon     |      |
|             | Learning Requirement.                                |      |
|             | 4.3.2 Mean rating & standard deviation of Perceive R |      |
|             | and Cost   |      |
|             | 4.4 Validity & Reliability Test                      |      |
|             | 4.5 Classical assumption test                        |      |
|             | 4.6 Hypothesis Testing                               |      |
|             | 4.7 Discussion                                       |      |
| CHAPTER V   | SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION                  | 55   |
|             | 5.1 Summary  | 55   |
|             | 5.2 Conclusion                                       | 56   |

| APPENDIX   | *************************************** | A-1 |
|------------|---|-----|
| REFERENCES |   | 59  |
|            | 5.3 Recommendation                      | 57  |

# LIST OF TABLES

|          |   | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| Table 1  | Delivery Platform for electronic banking                            | 8    |
| Table 2  | Operational Definition.   | 22   |
| Table 3  | Data of Students of UPH Surabaya                                    | 24   |
| Table 4  | Categories of Respondent's Answer Mean Value                        | 26   |
| Table 5  | Guidelines for R <sup>2</sup> Interpretation                        | 29   |
| Table 6  | Users of Internet Banking Services                                  | 32   |
| Table 7  | Frequency of Use of Internet Banking Services                       | 32   |
| Table 8  | Intention to Use Internet Banking Service                           | 33   |
| Table 9  | Age of the Respondents  | 33   |
| Table 10 | Gender of the Respondents   | 34   |
| Table 11 | University Batch of the Respondents.                                | 34   |
| Table 12 | Program Study of the Respodents.                                    | 35   |
| Table 13 | Reason for Use Internet Banking Service                             | 35   |
| Table 14 | Mean Rating & Standard Deviation for Relative Advantage             | 36   |
| Table 15 | Mean Rating & Standard Deviation for Customer Learning Requirement. | 36   |
| Table 16 | Mean Rating & Standard Deviation for Perceive Risk and Cost         | 37   |
| Table 17 | Mean Rating & Standard Deviation for Intention                      | 38   |
| Table 18 | Validity test using r <sub>table</sub>                              | 40   |
| Table 19 | Multicolinearity Test.  | 42   |
| Table 20 | Linearity Test.   | 44   |
| Table 21 | Multicolinearity Test   | 45   |

| Table 22 | Linearity Test Result.                         | 47  |
|----------|--|-----|
| Table 23 | Multiple Regression coefficient result table   | 47  |
| Table 24 | Multiple Regression model summary result table | 49  |
| Table 25 | Multiple Regression coefficient result table.  | 50  |
| Table 26 | Multiple Regression model summary result table | .52 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

|          |   | Page  |
|----------|---|-------|
| Figure 1 | Theory of Reason (TRA)                                      | 11    |
| Figure 2 | Theoretical Framework of the study                          | 18    |
| Figure 3 | Operational Framework of the study                          | 20    |
| Figure 4 | Normal Probability Plot for Users of Internet Banking       | 41    |
| Figure 5 | Histogram for Normality Test for Users of Internet Banking  | 42    |
| Figure 6 | Homoskedaticity Test for Users of Internet Banking          | 43    |
| Figure 7 | Normal Probability Plot for Non-Users of Internet Banking   | 44    |
| Figure 8 | Histogram for Normality Test for Non-Users of Internet Bank | ing45 |
| Figure 9 | Homoskedaticity Test for Non-Users of Internet Banking      | 46    |

# LIST OF APPENDICES

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Appendix A: Questionnaire                    | A-1  |
| Appendix B: Sample Size for Given Population | B-1  |
| Appendix C: SPSS Output                      |      |