

## **ABSTRAK**

Farhan Hanif Harahap (01051190247)

### **“ANALYSIS OF NOVELTY AND INDUSTRIAL DESIGN PROTECTION SYSTEMS IN INDONESIA”**

*(IX + 73 Pages)*

*Research in this final task, has the purpose of analyzing anything that is the cause of inconsistency with a new condition especially related to protection of industrial designs. These adults often arise disputes over ownership rights on designs in food or beverage containers. As the point of discussion in the writing of this final assignment, where the author refers to the judgment of the court with item No. 874K/Pdt.Sus.HKI/2017 revised by the Act No. 31 of 2000 on Industrial Design, in this case the fact that a novelty in industrial design is not judged by the absence of similarities of configuration significantly. However, based on Judex Facti's view of determining the existence of novelty if there are "slight differences" on an industrial design is clearly obscene and contrary to the Industrial Design Act. The type of research used in this final task is normative legal research, with the research source being secondary data, which is derived from library studies and analyzed qualitatively. The results of this final task of research indicate that against an industrial design which, if it has similarities with the previous design, does not mean an inducement, because in its application to the product the parties to the dispute do not have significant similarities in configuration between the product.*

*References : 35 (1996 – 2021)*

*Keywords : “Industrial Design”, “Innovation”, “Significantly”*