

## ABSTRACT

MUHAMAD MUKHIMUDIN (01051190163)

### **ANALYSIS ABOUT DIRECT DEFAMATION REVIEWED BASED ON FREEDOM OF SPEECH**

**(Decision Number. 226/Pid.B/2022/PN.Bir)**

**(xiv+ 75 pages)**

*This research aims to find out how material punishment is applied to criminal acts of direct defamation to the implementation based on Decision No.226/Pid.B/2022/PN.Bir and what the judge's legal considerations are regarding criminal acts of defamation related to human rights implementation regarding Decision No 226/Pid.B/2022/PN.Bir. From the results of the analysis that I found, it shows that the application of criminal provisions carried out by the judge in criminal case No.226/Pid.B/2022/PN.Bir is based on the results of the research, the author considers it to be in accordance with the provisions of the applicable legislation namely based on Article 310 paragraph (1) of the Criminal Code. Based on the determination of legal provisions by the judge, the judge in his decision stated that the defendant was not legally and convincingly proven to have committed the criminal act of direct defamation. The judge's consideration in handing down a decision is based on the Public Prosecutor's indictment and what was proven at trial in accordance with the evidence and evidence plus the judge's belief and is based on aggravating and mitigating reasons for the defendant.*

**References : 79 (1945-2024)**

**Keywords : Defamation, Freedom of Speech, Legal Protection.**