

DAFTAR ISI

	Halaman
JUDUL	i
PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN KARYA TUGAS AKHIR	ii
PERSETUJUAN DOSEN PEMBIMBING TUGAS AKHIR	iii
PERSETUJUAN TIM PENGUJI TUGAS AKHIR	iv
ABSTRAK	v
KATA PENGANTAR	vii
DAFTAR ISI	viii
DAFTAR TABEL	x
DAFTAR GAMBAR	xi
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN	xii
 BAB I PENDAHULUAN	 1
1.1. Latar Belakang Masalah	1
1.2. Rumusan Masalah	6
1.3. Tujuan Penelitian	7
1.4. Manfaat Penelitian	8
1.4.1. Manfaat Teoretis	8
1.4.2. Manfaat Praktis	9
1.5. Sistematika Penelitian	10
 BAB II TINJAA N PUSTAKA.....	 12
2.1. Pengantar.....	12
2.2. Konsep Variabel.....	12
2.2.1. <i>Teori Structure – Process – Outcome</i>	12
2.2.2. <i>Teori Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)</i>	13
2.3. Penelitian Terdahulu	15
2.4. Model Penelitian	24
2.5. Pengajuan Hipotesis.....	25
2.5.1. Hubungan antara <i>Management Support</i> dengan <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	25

2.5.2. Hubungan antara <i>IT Infrastructure Quality</i> dengan <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	28
2.5.3. Hubungan antara <i>Adequate Training</i> dengan <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	28
2.5.4. Hubungan antara <i>Adequate Training</i> dengan <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i>	29
2.5.5. Hubungan antara <i>Physician's Involvement</i> dengan <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	30
2.5.6. Hubungan antara <i>Physician's Autonomy</i> dengan <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i>	30
2.5.7. Hubungan antara <i>Technology Readiness</i> dengan <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i>	31
2.5.8. <i>Openness to Experience</i> memoderasi pengaruh <i>Perceived Usefulness</i> terhadap EMR Adoption	32
2.5.9. <i>Openness to Experience</i> memoderasi pengaruh <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i> terhadap EMR Adoption	33
2.5.10. Hubungan antara <i>Perceived Usefulness</i> terhadap EMR Adoption	33
2.5.11. Hubungan antara <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i> terhadap EMR Adoption	34
2.6. Kerangka Konseptual.....	35
BAB III METODOLOGI PENELITIAN	39
3.1. Pengantar.....	39
3.2. Objek Penelitian.....	39
3.3. Unit Analisis	40
3.4. Jenis Penelitian.....	41
3.5. Pengukuran Variabel Penelitian.....	42
3.5.1. Skala Pengukuran Variabel	43
3.5.2. Definisi Konseptual dan Operasionalisasi Variabel.....	44
3.6. Populasi dan Sampel	52
3.6.1. Populasi penelitian	52
3.6.2. Penentuan Jumlah Sampel.....	52
3.6.3. Metode Penarikan Sampel.....	54

3.7. Metode Pengumpulan Data.....	55
3.7.1. Data Primer.....	55
3.7.2. Data Sekunder	55
3.8. Metode Analisis Data Multivariat.....	55
3.8.1. Analisis Model pada PLS-SEM	56
3.8.1.1.Advance Analytic dengan PLS-SEM	56
3.8.1.2.Outer Model.....	57
3.8.1.3.Inner Model	58
BAB IV HASIL DAN PEMBAHASAN	60
4.1. Pengantar.....	60
4.2. Profil Demografi Responden	60
4.3. Analisis Deskriptif	63
4.3.1. Variabel <i>Management Support</i>	64
4.3.2. Variabel <i>IT Infrastructure Quality</i>	65
4.3.3. Variabel <i>Adequate Training</i>	67
4.3.4. Variabel <i>Physician's Involvement</i>	68
4.3.5. Variabel <i>Physician's Autonomy</i>	70
4.3.6. Variabel <i>Technology Readiness</i>	71
4.3.7. Variabel <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	73
4.3.8. Variabel <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i>	74
4.3.9. Variabel <i>Openness to Experience</i>	75
4.3.10. Variabel <i>EMR Adoption</i>	77
4.4. Analisis Inferensial	79
4.4.1. Measurement Model (Outer Model).....	79
4.4.1.1.Outer Loading.....	81
4.4.1.2. <i>Construct Reliability</i>	83
4.4.1.3. <i>Construct Validity</i>	85
4.4.1.4. <i>Discriminant Validity</i>	86
4.4.2. Hasil <i>Inner Model</i> (Model Struktural).....	88
4.4.2.1.Pengujian Multikolinearitas.....	89
4.4.2.2.Koefisien Determinan (R-Squared).....	92
4.4.2.3.Effect Size (f-Squared)	93
4.4.2.4.Predictive Relevance (Q-Squared)	95
4.4.2.5. <i>Cross-Validated Predictive Ability (CVPAT)</i>	97


4.4.2.6. Hasil Uji Hipotesis	99
4.4.2.6.1. Pengaruh <i>Management Support</i> dengan terhadap <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	102
4.4.2.6.2. Pengaruh <i>IT Infrastructure Quality</i> terhadap <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	102
4.4.2.6.3. Pengaruh <i>Adequate Training</i> terhadap <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	103
4.4.2.6.4. Pengaruh <i>Adequate Training</i> terhadap <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i>	104
4.4.2.6.5. Pengaruh <i>Physician's Involvement</i> terhadap <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	104
4.4.2.6.6. Pengaruh <i>Physician's Autonomy</i> terhadap <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i>	105
4.4.2.6.7. Pengaruh <i>Technology Readiness</i> terhadap <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i>	106
4.4.2.6.8. Pengaruh <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i> terhadap <i>EMR Adoption</i>	107
4.4.2.6.9. Pengaruh <i>Physician's Autonomy</i> terhadap <i>EMR Adoption</i>	107
4.3.3.6.10 Hubungan antara <i>Perceived Usefulness</i> terhadap <i>EMR Adoption</i>	108
4.3.3.6.11 Hubungan antara <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i> terhadap <i>EMR Adoption</i>	109
4.4.2.7. Analisis <i>Importance -Performance</i>	109
4.5. Diskusi	118
BAB V KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN	92
5.1. Pengantar	92
5.2. Kesimpulan Penelitian	92
5.3. Implikasi Manajerial	95
5.4. Keterbatasan dan Saran bagi Penelitian Berikutnya	97
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	99
LAMPIRAN	103

DAFTAR TABEL

	Halaman
Tabel 3.1 Definisi Konseptual dan Operasionalisasi Variabel.....	46
Tabel 4.1. Profil Demografi Responden.....	60
Tabel 4.2. Kategori Jawaban Responden	63
Tabel 4.3. Deskripsi Variabel <i>Management Support</i>	64
Tabel 4.4. Deskripsi Variabel <i>IT Infrastructure Quality</i>	66
Tabel 4.5. Deskripsi Variabel <i>Adequate Training</i>	67
Tabel 4.6. Deskripsi Variabel <i>Physician's Involvement</i>	69
Tabel 4.7. Deskripsi Variabel <i>Physician's Autonomy</i>	70
Tabel 4.8. Deskripsi Variabel <i>Technology Readiness</i>	72
Tabel 4.9. Deskripsi Variabel <i>Perceived Usefulness</i>	73
Tabel 4.10. Deskripsi Variabel <i>Perceived Ease of Use</i>	74
Tabel 4.11. Deskripsi Variabel <i>Openness to Experience</i>	76
Tabel 4.12. Deskripsi Variabel <i>EMR Adoption</i>	77
Tabel 4.13. Hasil <i>Outer Loading</i> Penelitian Aktual.....	82
Tabel 4.14. Pengujian Reliabilitas	84
Tabel 4.15. Nilai <i>Average Variance Extracted (AVE)</i>	86
Tabel 4.16. <i>Discriminant Validity Heterotrait – Monotrait Ratio (HTMT)</i>	87
Tabel 4.17. <i>Variance Inflation Factor Inner</i>	91
Tabel 4.18. Nilai R-Square.....	92
Tabel 4.19. Nilai F-Squared	94
Tabel 4.20. Hasil <i>Q Predict</i> Konstruk.....	96
Tabel 4.21. Nilai <i>Cross-Validated Predictive Ability (CVPAT)</i>	98
Tabel 4.22. Nilai <i>Specific Indirect Effect</i>	101
Tabel 4.23. Nilai <i>Importance</i> dan <i>Performance</i> Konstruk.....	110
Tabel 4.24. Nilai <i>Importance</i> dan <i>Performance</i> Indikator	114

DAFTAR GAMBAR

		Halaman
Gambar 1.1	Distribusi Jumlah pasien Rawat Inap RSUD Banten	2
Gambar 1.2	Distribusi Penjamin RSUD Banten	3
Gambar 1.3	Distribusi Jumlah pasien Rawat Jalan RSUD Banten	3
Gambar 2.1	Kerangka Konseptual Penelitian	37
Gambar 3.1	Perhitungan Jumlah Sampel Minimum dengan <i>Power Analysis</i>	53
Gambar 4.1	Outer Model	80
Gambar 4.2	Inner Model	89
Gambar 4.3	Hasil Uji Q ²	95
Gambar 4.4	Hasil IPMA Konstruk	113
Gambar 4.5	Hasil IPMA Indikator.....	116



DAFTAR LAMPIRAN

	Halaman
Lampiran 1. Kuesioner Penelitian	140
Lampiran 2. Letter of Acceptance	146

